EVALUATION OF THE KAISER PERMANENTE RISK CALCULATOR TO MANAGE NEWBORN BABIES AT RISK OF EARLY ONSET NEONATAL SEPSIS: A PROSPECTIVE COHORT STUDY
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Background Early onset neonatal sepsis (EONS) is rare but associated with significant mortality and morbidity. Most postnatal units assess risk and treat for suspected EONS using the NICE guideline (CG149) based on pregnancy and peri-partum risk factors. This sees many clinically well babies receive empirical antibiotics, before investigations rule out any evidence of infection. This process usually involves separating baby and mother and exposure to the risk associated with intravenous antibiotic therapy. As such, there is a clear mandate to validate a tool that can safely stratify and identify which babies should receive empirical antibiotics. The Kaiser Permanente Risk Calculator (KPRC) combines peri-partum risk factors with the clinical status of the neonate, resulting in a stratification to low, medium or high risk of EONS. Application of the KPRC in some centres has been shown to reduce antibiotic administration by 50–75% without missing any cases of culture positive sepsis.

Objectives To evaluate the performance of the KPRC in comparison to the NICE guideline in identifying babies at risk of developing EONS in a large UK maternity hospital.

Methods In this prospective single-centre cohort study, we identified all neonates born at 34 weeks’ gestation who were developing EONS in a large UK maternity hospital. We commenced on empirical antibiotics, as per the CG149 guideline. We prospectively collected data on these babies including peri-partum risk factors with the clinical status of the neonate, resulting in a stratification to low, medium or high risk of EONS. Application of the KPRC in some centres has been shown to reduce antibiotic admistration by 50–75% without missing any cases of culture positive sepsis.

Results Over a 4-month period we identified 94 babies born at 34 weeks’ gestation receiving empirical antibiotics for suspected EONS as per CG149. One baby had a positive blood culture and one had a positive CSF culture. Of these 94 babies, only 19 (20.2%) would have been commenced on empirical antibiotics by the KPRC. Those 19 babies were more likely to have a CRP >20mg/L (p<0.03) and need a longer course of antibiotics (p<0.05). Interestingly, 27 out of the 94 babies (28.7%) were classed as significantly unwell (as defined above) and of these, only 15 (55.6%) would have been identified by the KPRC as needing antibiotics. 6 babies with a CRP >50 were not identified for antibiotics by the KPRC, including a baby with a CRP of 155.

Conclusions Application of the KPRC to this cohort would have significantly reduced antibiotic administration rates. The safety and appropriateness of the KPRC for our population needs further assessment though, as some babies with significant evidence of infection went undetected by the calculator. In particular, the role of the KPRC in identifying clinically well babies with a very high CRP needs further consideration.
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Background In 2019 there were 2.4 million newborn deaths worldwide, accounting for nearly half of all child deaths under 5 years of age. Despite increasing rates of facility based deliveries, neonatal mortality rates remain persistently high in low resource settings (LRS). This has catalysed international focus on understanding and enabling quality newborn care in order to achieve global targets to end preventable newborn deaths by 2030. Digital interventions are a promising tool that may accelerate progress towards achieving quality improvement goals.

The WHO quality improvement standards for maternal and newborn care provide a blueprint for Quality of Care (QoC). Yet in many LRS, this agenda has yet to be operationalised and refined at the national and facility level to respond to country-driven priorities. We carried out a qualitative study to understand persistent barriers to QoC, and to identify quality improvement priorities from the perspective of a unique panel of neonatal experts with first-hand experience of delivering inpatient newborn care in LRS. We also sought expert views on the role of digital health as a vehicle to improve QoC.

Objectives The study aim was to identify barriers to quality inpatient neonatal care in LRS from the perspective of experts experienced in delivering and overseeing frontline neonatal care in these contexts.

Methods This study was part of a larger DELPHI study, carried out in 2018 to refine clinical diagnostic algorithms for the NeoTree platform. The Neotree is a digital quality improvement system combining immediate data capture, education and clinical diagnostic and management support. Physicians and nurse practitioners from high income and low income countries with clinical and research expertise in neonatal health were invited to participate. Additional inclusion criteria included
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having over ten years of neonatal experience (at least three years in LRS), neonatal postgraduate training, fluency in English and internet access. We conducted 13 semi-structured interviews via Skype. All interviews were recorded and transcribed verbatim. Transcripts were analysed using a thematic content analysis. Ethical approvals were not required.

**Results** Twenty-two experts were invited to participate, of whom 16 responded and 13 agreed to take part (5 neonatologists, 6 paediatricians and two advanced nurse practitioners). Participants had a mean of 13 (±7 SD) years working in LRS. Lack of physical resources including equipment, funding, infrastructure; combined with limited human resources, education and specialist neonatal training were cited as key barriers to QoC. In addition, poor leadership at the community, local and national level hindered progress and left experts feeling excluded from defining QoC priorities. Poor communication within clinical teams, limited documentation and lack of standardised and locally appropriate guidelines were also identified as challenges.

Digital technologies, such as the NeoTree, were perceived to have potential for data capture and enabling standardised care. However, some highlighted that unreliable internet access may hinder implementation.

**Conclusions** Digital technologies may alleviate some barriers to QoC. However, systemic change involving local actors and policymakers is required to address entrenched QoC challenges and accelerate progress towards achieving global neonatal survival goals.
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**Background** The RCPCH published a charter in 2019 outlining the what a trainee should expect from their training across 7 domains:

- Educational supervision.
- Rota
- Fatigue, rest facilities, breaks.
- Less than full time training (LTFT)
- Training environment
- Training programme’s.

The Wales deanery has signed up to comply with this charter. Until this study adherence to this charter has not been evaluated.

The Covid-19 pandemic has had an impact on training in Wales. Many trainees have been redeployed within paediatrics or moved to support adult services under pressure.

**Aims** To evaluate adherence to the trainee charter from the perspective of trainees in Wales across domains 1–5.