outcome if transplant is made affordable and accessible as most patients are from lower socioeconomic groups. Awareness about these disorders may improve the diagnosis of these conditions and help in appropriate management. There is a need to share experience and data on these rare conditions and build support groups to guide patients and families afflicted with these ominous disorders.
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**PARENTAL PERCEPTIONS OF PAEDIATRIC EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTAL ATTENDANCE IN CHILDREN DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC IN UK (PPEDIC). THE QUANTITATIVE OUTCOMES**

1Lorraine Wicksey, 1Marilyn Emedo, 1Tina Sajjanhar, 1Anthony Hemeson, 2Emmanuel Singogo, 2Gayle Appleby, 2Benjamin Cahill, 2Sophie Kearns, 2Alastair Yim, 4Alexandra Wharton-Smith, 1Maggie Nyirenda. 1Lewisham and Greenwich NHS Trust; University Hospital Lewisham; 2University of Northern Carolina; 2Lewisham and Greenwich NHS Trust: Queen Elizabeth Hospital; 3London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

Background Since March 2020, National lockdowns in UK to control the spread and impact of the COVID-19 pandemic have resulted in a reduction in children attending Paediatric Emergency Departments (PED) nationwide. At our Trust, there was a 46% reduction in PED attendances in 2020 compared to the same two-month period in 2019 (6631 v 12092).

Objectives The study aims were to analyse the impact of lockdown on PED attendance in our Trust, identify reasons for reduced attendance, drivers of change in behaviour, the clinical conditions, timing, and outcomes of PED attendees.

Methods This quantitative sub-study was part of a prospective mixed methods study that recruited caregivers of children ≤16 years attending PEDs in our Trust during November-December 2020. A semi-structured questionnaire was used to collect data including demographics, details on reason for attendance, source and type of advice sought prior to attendance, the form of transport used to get to PED and clinical outcomes of the attendees. Likert scales were used to assess fears and drivers of change in behaviour around PED attendance. We used frequencies, proportions and Spearman’s correlation coefficient to summarize the data. Likert scale data was analysed using measures of central tendency (median) and dispersion (interquartile range).

Results A total of 98 caregivers who attended PED with their children during the study period were recruited randomly. Most participants were female (79.6%). About 92% of the participants disclosed their ethnicity: with 57/90 (63%) were white, 19(21%) identified as black and 14(16%) were other races including people of Asian and Hispanic descent.

Over 60% of participants came to PED within 2 days of illness, with mode of transport being: by ambulance (13%), drove their own cars (59%) and used public transport (13%). The commonest clinical presentation was injuries 29/98 (30%), followed by respiratory conditions 11/98 (11%). A total of 76 (78%) were discharged while 17(17%) were admitted.

The main concerns related to PED attendance were: risk of contracting COVID-19(41%); and concerned about overburdening the NHS (25%). Just under half, 42/98 (43%), of participants stated that they would have attended PED in the same time frame as they did in contrast to 32/98 (32.6%) of those who felt they would have attended earlier if there was no pandemic. Whilst 22/98 (22.4%) were not worried about attending PED at all. There was no correlation between self-rated level of worry about coming to PED and time taken to PED attendance (Spearman correlation co-efficient = 0.1399)

Most participants 60/98(61.2%) discussed their child’s illness with another person prior to presentation. 5/98 (5.1%) of the participants were advised not come to ED but they decided to attend anyway. 28/98 (28.6%) participants contacted GPs followed by 19/98 (19%) who consulted the NHS 111 service.

Conclusions This study demonstrates that in line with national figures, PED attendances fell dramatically during the Covid pandemic, especially in the area of respiratory illness. For the carers who brought their children to the PED, there was a high level of concern about safety and infection control for themselves and their children that needs to be addressed.
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**IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF NEONATAL HANOVER: A QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT**

Karim Noordally, Peeethka Mosaari. Royal Berkshire NHS Trust

Background Effective handovers facilitate safe, error-free and efficient continuity of care of patients through the sharing of information between two engaging parties. This requires one party to ‘hand over’ relevant information succinctly to the recipient who should be able to read, question, digest and therefore understand the patient’s issues, before resuming their care. Several guidelines from the National Patient Safety Agency, as well as the Royal Colleges emphasise the importance and benefits from effective handovers.

Following on from several ‘near misses’, handover practices within our medium sized DGH providing Level 2 neonatal care were reviewed between 2019 and 2020.

Objectives To introduce a system of formal handover within the Neonatal Unit and Postnatal Ward and to assess its effectiveness amongst relevant team members.

Methods Team members:

Consultants, Trainees and Nurses of all grades, were invited to participate in an electronic survey to gain further insight into the current handover arrangements and to identify possible improvements in these processes. The survey was designed via the ‘Survey Monkey’ web interface. The survey aimed primarily to address the safety and effectiveness of handovers. Questions were reviewed and validated by both internal and external colleagues prior to being sent to all team members.

The initial survey period was between June 2019 and July 2019. Changes were subsequently implemented from September 2019, followed by a period of re-evaluation between May 2020 and July 2020. The period of evaluation during the second survey was slightly longer than the initial survey to account for the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Results A total of 35 invitations for participation were sent out to the medical team. There were 26 completed responses for the initial survey (74% completion rate; ranging from consultants to foundation year trainees) and 28/35 (80%
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Results

of culture positive sepsis.

The WHO quality improvement standards for maternal and newborn care provide a blueprint for Quality of Care (QoC). Yet in many LRS, this agenda has yet to be operationalised and refined at the national and facility level to respond to country-driven priorities. We carried out a qualitative study to understand persistent barriers to QoC, and to identify quality improvement priorities from the perspective of a unique panel of neonatal experts with first-hand experience of delivering inpatient newborn care in LRS. We also sought expert views on the role of digital health as a vehicle to improve QoC.

Objectives

The study aim was to identify barriers to quality inpatient neonatal care in LRS from the perspective of experts experienced in delivering and overseeing frontline neonatal care in these contexts.

Methods

This study was part of a larger DELPHI study, carried out in 2018 to refine clinical diagnostic algorithms for the NeoTree platform. The Neotree is a digital quality improvement system combining immediate data capture, education and clinical diagnostic and management support. Physicians and nurse practitioners from high income and low income countries with clinical and research expertise in neonatal health were invited to participate. Additional inclusion criteria included
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BARRIERS TO ACHIEVING QUALITY NEONATAL CARE IN LOW RESOURCE SETTINGS: PERSPECTIVES FROM A UNIQUE PANEL OF NEONATAL HEALTH EXPERTS

Background

In 2019 there were 2.4 million newborn deaths worldwide, accounting for nearly half of all child deaths under 5 years of age. Despite increasing rates of facility based deliveries, neonatal mortality rates remain persistently high in low resource settings (LRS). This has catalysed international focus on understanding and enabling quality newborn care in order to achieve global targets to end preventable newborn deaths by 2030. Digital interventions are a promising tool that may accelerate progress towards achieving quality improvement goals.

The WHO quality improvement standards for maternal and newborn care provide a blueprint for Quality of Care (QoC). Yet in many LRS, this agenda has yet to be operationalised and refined at the national and facility level to respond to country-driven priorities. We carried out a qualitative study to understand persistent barriers to QoC, and to identify quality improvement priorities from the perspective of a unique panel of neonatal experts with first-hand experience of delivering inpatient newborn care in LRS. We also sought expert views on the role of digital health as a vehicle to improve QoC.

Objectives

The study aim was to identify barriers to quality inpatient neonatal care in LRS from the perspective of experts experienced in delivering and overseeing frontline neonatal care in these contexts.

Methods

This study was part of a larger DELPHI study, carried out in 2018 to refine clinical diagnostic algorithms for the NeoTree platform. The Neotree is a digital quality improvement system combining immediate data capture, education and clinical diagnostic and management support. Physicians and nurse practitioners from high income and low income countries with clinical and research expertise in neonatal health were invited to participate. Additional inclusion criteria included