that a simulation session would be useful. Following the intro-
duction of the Safeguarding Passport, 100% of ST1 trainees
(n=18) uploaded the passport to their ePortfolio and all had
demonstrated achieving level 3 children’s safeguarding com-
tence, with most logging more than the minimum required
evidence.

Conclusions A new safeguarding training package, developed
by way of a TNA, was successful in improving trainees’ con-
fidence in key areas of children’s safeguarding. The results
also show that the introduction of a Safeguarding Passport
has been successful in ensuring ST1 trainees evidence meeting
mandatory children’s safeguarding requirements. Further eval-
uation over a longer period of time is needed to fully optimise
the delivery of safeguarding training to all levels of paediatric speciality trainees in the North East and to assess the benefits of using a Safeguarding Passport in the ARCP process.
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1313 IDENTIFICATION OF CHILDREN WITH LIFE LIMITING CONDITIONS WITHIN THE BELFAST TRUST AND THEIR USE OF SHORT BREAKS
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Background Children with life limiting conditions are an important group of patients within Paediatrics. Life limiting conditions or life threatened conditions encompass a wide range of presentations. They include conditions for which treatment may be feasible but could fail, chronic conditions which increased susceptibility to poor health and progressive conditions which will result in an early death. Current data on the numbers of children with life limited conditions in Northern Ireland is lacking. Short breaks are often utilised by patients within this group. Short breaks are services which allow the carers to have a break from caring responsibilities. They can be in a variety of formats including residential stays or carers coming into the home to help the primary carers. Short breaks have many benefits which have been identified through previous research.

Objectives We aimed to identify the numbers of children living with life limited conditions within the Belfast health and social care trust (BHISCT). Cedar in Reach, the Northern Ireland children’s hospice and Forest lodge provide short breaks within the trust. Cedar in Reach provides a short break within the home through carers coming in. Both Forest Lodge and the NI Childrens Hospice provide residential short breaks. We aimed to identify the use of these services within this group of patients.

Methods We started with a list of children on the community children’s nursing (CCN) caseload. Additional children were identified through children who had been referred to the Northern Ireland Childrens Hospice. We also contacted specialist medical teams for details of appropriate patients. Patients’ details were reviewed and duplications deleted. We used their electronic care record to record use of the services identified in the objectives. We looked at service use within 2019.

Results The number of children identified as having a life limiting condition within in the Belfast trust was 126. 35% of these children accessed short breaks in the form listed above within the year 2019.

Conclusions Only 35% of children within the identified cohort of life limited children currently utilise short breaks. The Public Health Agency (PHA) plan to do further work on access to short breaks within Northern Ireland, to look at barriers to access for parents and how some carers utilise them. Identifying the number of children with life limited children who could potentially benefit from short breaks is important for shaping future services within the trust. There are limitations with this work due to some services struggling to identify patients retrospectively and this has opened discussions about potential for prospective collection of data about this group of children to gain better data in future.
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1314 VIRTUAL CONSULTATIONS IN PAEDIATRICS – WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED?
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Background With the current pandemic there have been many changes in the way we work. The most obvious one is use of remote clinics with the likelihood that this would remain with us in the long term. There has been widespread acceptance of this way of working in a very short time with benefits in cost efficiency and patient attendance described. Remote consultations in paediatrics has its own challenges and this would be a good time to analyse how it works, the practical challenges and to see if any changes are needed with the how it is provided in the future.

Objectives To get feedback from paediatricians regarding their use of remote consultations so that we could plan our services for future.

Methods A questionnaire Survey was sent to paediatricians regarding their experience of use of virtual consultations and their responses were analysed.

Results 66 doctors responded to request for feedback and provided answers to the questions. 78% of the respondents were paediatric consultants, 6% were neonatal consultants, 6% community consultants and 10% were specialist registrars. 94% of the respondents hadn’t done remote clinics before the pandemic. Over 60% of the respondents had done more than 50% of their outpatient clinics over the last one year remotely. 75% of the respondents also provide specialist clinics apart from general clinics. 15% of the respondents used only video clinics, 28% only telephone clinics and 50% did both video and telephone clinics. 27% of the general paediatric patients and 39% of the speciality paediatric patients seen remotely were called in for a face to face consultation subsequently. Main problems with telephone clinics were calls not being answered, safeguarding concerns not being evident, difficulty in diagnosis and patient rapport. Amongst video clinic