Paediatric Clinical Leaders: Service Planning, Provision and Best Practice

WHAT IMPACT HAS COVID-19 HAD ON PAEDIATRIC WORKFORCE AND SERVICES?

1Marie Rogers, 1Davide Carzedda, 1Nawseenoodhun, 1Nicola Jay, 1Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health, 2Sheffield Children’s Hospital

Background Child health services in the UK had to respond rapidly to the challenge of the COVID-19 pandemic, with no precedent or playbook. There was large variation in how services were affected, depending on local incidence rates, location, and the systems they were working in.

There was a lack of data about how services were coping, what types of pressures they were under, and how things are changed over time. We launched a project to collect and report data about the impact of COVID-19 on child health services. The first phase ran from April to July 2020 and the second from December 2020 to February 2021.

This project collected data on a weekly basis to track trends and patterns, highlight service pressures, and help identify pinch points.

Objectives 1. To capture a picture of how COVID-19 is affecting paediatric services throughout winter.
2. To provide members with information to help with workforce and service planning and recovery.
3. To ensure RCPCH members feel listened to and supported, and provided with a way of alerting us to issues in their service.
4. To make the responsible bodies in each of the UK nations aware of the problems facing paediatric services throughout winter.

Methods We asked representatives, such as the paediatric clinical lead, to respond on behalf of their Trust or Health Board on a weekly basis. The first phase covered 12 weeks from April to July 2020 and the second phase covered 14 weeks from December 2020 to February 2021.

Respondent were asked to submit data about ‘the 7 days up to 08:00 on [date of Friday in the current collection week]’. Questions were answered as compared to ‘normal’ levels of staffing and capacity i.e., the same week in previous years. Some questions were changed over the data collection period in response to changing priorities.

Results Response rate per week varied from 30% to 53%.

In the first phase of collection (Spring 2020), up to 10% of all paediatric staff were not available to work (e.g., shielding). Up to 22% of junior paediatric medical staff (on the tier 1 rota) were redeployed to adult services, and up to 46% of community child health trainees and 14% of community career grade staff were also redeployed within paediatrics.

In the second phase (Winter 2020–21), 16% of paediatric staff were reported absent due to stress and up to 28% of services reported trainee redeployment to adult services. We also found that paediatric inpatient beds occupied with children admitted due to a mental health issue had doubled from 6% in 2019 to 12% in 2020.

Conclusions The paediatric workforce has been working intensely and beyond their usual scope for the past year. Prior to the pandemic, paediatric services and workforce already could not meet the demand for care. Redeployment and staff shortages due to the pandemic have therefore posed further challenges on an already stretched system. Staff and services must be supported to restore and recover.
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AUDIT OF THE MANAGEMENT OF TERM BABIES AT RISK OF HYPOGLYCAEMIA

1Ahmed Shaker Mohammed, 1Mohamed Khair, 1Friday Eseagwui, 1Diana princess of wales hospital; 2NHS; 3Diana Princess of Wales Hospital

Background BAPM introduced new guideline in April 2017 on identification and management of neonatal hypoglycaemia in the full term infant. This was adopted in our Level 2 Neonatal unit in October 2018. We wanted to assess if we were adhering to it.

Hypoglycaemia is the most common manifestation of failure of metabolic adaptation in the newborn period. It can have devastating consequences if we do not manage appropriately. It is associated with increased rates of executive and visual motor dysfunction (Christopher et al). It is one of the reasons for claims in NHS (Hosden et al). We need to be aware of the various causes of hypoglycaemia in term babies and have a low threshold to screen for sepsis.

We wanted to ensure that we are providing Intensive breast feeding support and using 40% dextrose gel (200mg/kg) as the initial management. Guideline suggest two different values for target blood glucose in hyperinsulinism i.e. 3mmol/L in first 48hrs and 3.5mmol/L after that.

Objectives
1. To look into number of term babies admitted to the neonatal unit with hypoglycaemia.
2. To understand the causes of hypoglycaemia in term babies in our unit.
3. To see if the management was as per the BAPM guideline.

Methods
1. Duration: 6 months (Nov 2018 to Apr 2019).
2. Study population: Term Babies at risk of Hypoglycaemia.
3. Of all the babies admitted, 17 met the inclusion criteria.

Results
1. 2/3rd of the babies were infant of diabetic mother.
2. 88% of the babies had feeding regime documented.
3. 100% of the babies had temperature checked.
4. 100% of the babies had pre-second feed blood glucose and temperature checked.
5. 100% of the mothers had support with feeding & hand expression.
6. 100% of babies receive 10–15ml/kg/feed 3hrly over first 24hrs.
7. 88% of the time the blood glucose was measured by gas machine.
8. Only 18% of the babies needed IV fluids (n=3).
9. Only 12% (n=2) of the babies had blood glucose <1 as pre-second feed blood glucose.

Important findings