Diversity of child health care in Europe
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The health of a nation depends on the health of its children, and it is now evident that child health underpins health in adolescents, later life and also in subsequent generations for a variety of reasons including environmental, metabolic and epigenetic changes. There are many challenges in child health in Europe and globally.1,2 Inequalities in health status and health outcomes of children and adolescents within Europe are of great concern to paediatric societies and all those working in child health.3

DIVERSITY A VALUABLE RESOURCE
Diversity, or noticeable heterogeneity, for many years has generated controversy on whether it is a resource for success or a barrier to improvement. Many feel uncomfortable with the term diversity and some academics and businesses have replaced it with ‘inclusion’ and ‘equity’. Diversity is difficult to interpret in many European contexts, including science and particularly in the care of children, due to differing historical, cultural, social and economic influences. This may lead to misunderstandings, detrimental conflicts, resentment and resistance, so that its benefits are not always realised. However, diversity and inclusion should be perceived as a valuable resource to help provide optimum healthcare for European children living in different social, cultural and economic environments. We should resist the temptation to indiscriminately equalise clinical approaches, but at the same time not compromise therapies, protocols or outcome. We should support countries to provide child health services that best meet the needs of their individual country.

DIVERSITY IN PAEDIATRIC CARE
European paediatrics has a longstanding history of coping with the concept of diversity.4 From the ashes of the two world wars that engulfed the globe during the past century, Europeans moved to build a better future. Equity and social justice among others were essential factors in this positive effort. Medical science in general and paediatrics in particular experienced an unprecedented boost, favoured by successful scientific cooperation between medical doctors, their institutions and with industrial companies. The multiple achievements reached during this period, such as the development of antibiotics, vaccinations and chemotherapy, well represent that fruitful period. The Cold War and the creation of the iron curtain was an unfortunate obstacle to the integration of European paediatrics into a true single scientific community operating under a common set of principles, promoting the pursuit of knowledge and the sharing of common ethics with regard to the integrity of the scientific process.

In the cultural atmosphere produced by circumstances where scientific interactions between Eastern and Western Europe were extremely rare, European paediatricians felt the compelling need to found in 1975 the Union of National European Paediatric Societies and Associations, later developed into the European Paediatric Association (EPA-UNEPSA). Its mission was to overcome obstacles to productive scientific interactions, embracing diversity as a resource and fostering the exchange of experiences and cooperation among paediatricians in Europe. Its goal was to enable paediatricians and scientific societies exchange scientific information and share best practices, in the spirit of learning across borders and languages. Half a century later and following the collapse of the iron curtain, the importance of exploring diversities and similarities in current challenges and approaches to child health across Europe has not declined.1 More recent successful innovations in the prevention and management of healthcare in children include the management of unpredicted challenges such as COVID-19 and fiscal difficulties.5 Also, migrant children and adolescents have their own unique health and psychosocial issues requiring additional services and support.6 These challenges provide unique opportunities for different countries to share experience.

PAEDIATRIC TRAINING
There are considerable differences among the 53 European countries in their approach to paediatric educational programmes, curricula content, educational strategies and its quality assurance. Undergraduate paediatric programmes vary from a relatively short period allocated to child health within a general medical curriculum to a curriculum completely devoted to child health.

There are profound diversities among postgraduate paediatric programmes attributable to a multiplicity of factors including history, traditions, model of paediatric care, cultural aspects and economic issues.7 Curriculum content varies depending on whether primary care general physicians, primary care paediatricians or combinations of both are primarily responsible for child health.

The duration of postgraduate training in general paediatrics varies from 2 to 8 years together with varying assessment procedures. Most countries in Europe need more well-trained general paediatricians and paediatric subspecialists to improve child healthcare.

Continuing professional development and lifelong learning, which is essential for safe patient care, is a mandatory legal requirement in some European countries but not in others.

PAEDIATRICS LOOKING TO THE FUTURE
Critics of diversity contend that it may only benefit a few privileged countries; however, research indicates that diversity actually benefits everyone. Groups that are not diverse risk being narrow-minded and overlooking important information. Having a variety of perspectives helps new ideas develop as demonstrated by recent research conducted by the European paediatric societies.

During the last two decades, European paediatric research experienced a noteworthy boost, which is documented by the number of scientific articles published on paediatric topics, perinatology and child health. According to the ScImago Journal Rank indicator, the total number of articles published by all European countries in the decade 2008–2019 increased by 41% to 115 868, compared with 82 045 published in the preceding decade. The countries belonging to the former eastern part of the iron curtain showed an increase in publications from 7141 to 12 493 representing a 73% and an increase from 8.7% to 10.7% of the total publications in Europe.

In recent years, changes are more often driven by economic motivations,
particularly after the 2009 global economic crisis; strategic decisions were taken by governments to reduce budgets allocated to child healthcare due to pressure to deliver more for less, rather than the healthcare needs of children. Social determinants have a major influence on child health and well-being and further research is necessary, including interventional programmes, in order to prevent negative consequences.1

The most efficient healthcare approach in paediatrics is based on the harmonisation of primary, secondary and tertiary care in order to provide comprehensive child and adolescent health services. However, many European countries have limited or eliminated primary care paediatrics, with an emphasis on ‘balancing the budget’, instead of providing good healthcare to children, supported by properly trained professionals. The European Confederation of Primary Care Paediatricians and EPA-UNEPSA have recently joined forces in the shared belief that a coordinated interaction of primary, secondary and tertiary care specialists is essential for the health and well-being of children. These two organisations are collaborating to develop a common strategy to improve child healthcare. Other European Paediatric organisations are welcome to contribute to this common goal and enable European paediatricians to speak with an influential and authoritative voice on behalf of European children and adolescents.1

The recent development of electronic platforms greatly enhance the opportunities for collaboration including the collection of extensive local, national and international data along with opportunities for research and quality improvement processes to monitor and improve patient outcomes.2

CONCLUSIONS
Investing in the health and well-being of children is the most effective way to improve adult health and quality of life. Diversity and inclusion should be the foundation of a prioritised joint effort by European paediatricians and their national organisations to effectively promote the accessibility to optimum healthcare for all children, as well as give protection by age-appropriate laws and regulations.

While each country should have the freedom to adopt and develop variations in child health services, in order to serve the needs of their own community, there is a need for collaboration including the development of standards of training and healthcare across Europe along with monitoring the implementation of policies, provision of services and particularly patient outcomes based on the collection of robust data.3

European paediatricians and their professional societies must collaborate and share their experiences with different health challenges and healthcare systems and mitigate against inequalities in child health within and between countries and together strongly and courageously advocate for the health and well-being of children with health service providers and at Government level.
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