• A homecare registration (if required) and prescription form are completed.
• A telephone consultation with the parent/patients is provided by the pharmacist explaining the homecare process and answering any clinical questions.
• The specialist nurse completes output documentation for GP and arranges next follow up.
• A real-time biologics database records core quality data for auditing purposes.
• VBC list is sent to the service manager for reimbursement.
• Patients who do not meet national guidance are referred to the weekly MDT.

Results
The service has 120 patients being treated on biologics. Since November 2018, 112 patients of these patients have been reviewed. 1.7% have been non-compliant with national guidance and 5% did not have Blueteq numbers.

The VBC has enabled the MDT to assess response to biologic therapies by ensuring core set criteria is being recorded. A snapshot audit showed that documentation had increased from 25% to 50%.

Prescription turnaround time has reduced from 7 days to 3 days preventing treatment delay.

Discussion
VBC has enabled the majority of patients to be reviewed, whilst showing we are compliant with national guidance. Routine and pre-biologic bloods had been requested for all patients and the recording of core set criteria had shown some improvement. Although not achieving the required level of documentation. Telephone consults have been perceived well by patients/parents. Having a pharmacist prescriber has had a positive outcome within the MDT and both the workflow and workload has improved.

Conclusion
The VBC has been pivotal in improving patient care within the service. The MDT have collaboratively been able to ensure cost-effective prescribing, improve data collection, and reduce treatment delay whilst enhancing the pharmacist’s role. The process has highlighted the documentation of core set criteria is still low and requires further improvement. Ensuring compliance with NHS England commissioning criteria, Blueteq forms should be completed prior to prescriptions being written.

Patient outcomes
i. Metabolic stability: electrolyte, glucose, bilirubin and lipid measurements summarised by the need to change from standard PN regimen and/or requirement for insulin.
ii. Fluid balance summarised by the lowest weight during the first two weeks of life and time taken to regain birth weight.
iii. Growth summarised by change of standard deviation score of weight and head circumference between birth and discharge or transfer back to local hospital.
iv. Liver tolerance of lipid solutions summarised by incidence of cholestasis (>25 μmol/l conjugated fraction of serum bilirubin)
v. Days and type of PN
vi. Sepsis

Service outcomes
i. Nursing time taken to prepare PN
ii. Cost
iii. Wastage
iv. Access to product

Results
Although we finished collecting the data in April 2019, we are still in the process of analysing it and evaluating the final results. There have been no cases of lipid overdose and our neonates (including the preterm ones) have so far tolerated well the new parenteral nutrition solution. Average nursing time preparing Numeta went down from 18.5 minutes to 8 minutes and comparison of cost came in favour of Numeta. PN wastage was higher with Numeta (4.7% Maintenance, 10% ‘light’, peripheral 50%) especially in the first month during the transition phase. There was no significant increase of bespoke bags when Numeta was introduced.

Conclusions
In summary, so far we have not identified significant clinical differences between the first six months of the project -using our old standardised nutrition regimen- and the last months -on the new all-in-one solution. We have continued with Numeta preterm solution on the basis of assumed safety.
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