their survival outcomes. Limitations of the study are acknowledged and recommendations for future research proposed.

**G148(P) AUDIT OF LONG BONE FRACTURES AND SAFEGUARDING ASSESSMENT IN CHILDREN UNDER 3**

JH Sims, K Bentley. Safeguarding Children Team, Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Bradford, UK

10.1136/archdischild-2018-rpch.144

**Aims** Approximately 1/3 of all children will sustain a fracture before they turn 16 years old. Most are accidental but some are due to abuse, with the youngest child at highest risk. We sought to assess how robustly such young children presenting to the Emergency Department (ED) in our Trust are assessed for abuse.

**Methods** Both authors reviewed the notes for all children aged <3 years attending ED with a lower limb long bone fracture during a 12 month period 2016–2017 (36 cases).

**Results** 34 had appropriate safeguarding information completed at Triage. In 75% of cases, the ‘mandatory’ Safeguarding Questions on the ED card were completed by the doctors. Only half of the assessments included a comment about development. 8 had ‘high risk’ injuries by radiological type– all were spiral fractures however none were under 12 months of age. The authors were concerned about 2 of these cases which either contained insufficient information or were not discussed with paediatrics when the mechanism was not absolutely clear. Only 44% of children were fully examined. In several cases, there was disparity between the opinion given in ED and that of the reviewers. The authors felt that an extra 7 patients had potential safeguarding concerns and should have been discussed with paediatrics, with a further 6 cases where there might have been safeguarding risk but information was insufficient.

**Conclusion** Whilst some positives were demonstrated including some excellent individual assessments, use of interpreters and triage actions, the audit establishes the need for improvements in assessment of safeguarding risk.

**G149(P) A REVIEW OF GENERAL PRACTITIONER ATTENDANCE AT CHILD PROTECTION CASE CONFERENCES**

KCA Ferris, R Moffett, G Mackin. Paediatric Department, Western Health and Social Care Trust, South West Acute Hospital, Enniskillen, UK

10.1136/archdischild-2018-rpch.145

**Background** Child Protection Case Conferences (CPCCs) are multi-agency meetings, bringing together the various professionals involved when a concern about safeguarding has been raised.

**Aims** To understand the restrictions that prevents GPs from attending CPCCs.

**Method** Questionnaire posted to these GP's

**Results** 201 questionnaires were posted in April 2017, 62% responded by June. 5% of GP's had attended a case conference within the last year, and where they had attended 60% found them to be useful and felt they had made a worthwhile contribution. Common reasons for non-attendance where lack of locum cover, time constraints and work load.

**Conclusions** GPs stated they would like to attend these meetings however due to time constraints, current workload and lack of locum cover this is currently impossible. They felt that video link facilities could be an alternative option. Another suggestion was the development of a standard proforma for the GP to complete. This will lead on to further work amongst the paediatric team within the trust to enable more GP's to attend CPCCs in the future.
in the reports to summarise opinion and the readability level using an imbedded Microsoft office programme that provides a result comparable to school age literacy level. This information was then collated and analysed between the trusts. The extracted statements were then used to undertake a multi-agency survey to explore how different professionals interpret statements.

**Results**

35 different statements were used in the report opinions. Two statements were used commonly. These were ‘consistent’ as in ‘this mark is consistent with’ and ‘likely/unlikely’

A quarter of disseminated reports had spelling errors and 27% were felt to have no clear opinion. Only 17% had a readability level likely to be readable to most adults of all literacy abilities.

29 professionals completed the survey, primarily from safeguarding social work or paediatric background. The statement with the best concordance between practitioners and shortest range of responses was ‘this is highly likely to be due to non-accidental injury’. For this statement the surety of the practitioner that the presentation was due to child abuse was 90% (range 75% to 99%). There was discordance amongst practitioners in many responses and the surety range was often wide.

**G151(P) SUPPORTING PARENTS OF SEXUALLY EXPLOITED YOUNG PEOPLE: AN EVIDENCE REVIEW**

D McNish, S Scott. Research, DMIS Research, North Dalton, UK

10.1136/archdischild-2018-rcpch.147

**Background**

Positive relationships with parents are important protective factors in young people’s lives. This review gathers evidence on what is helpful in enabling parents to maintain or rebuild good relationships where a child has been sexually exploited or serious concerns exist.

**Methods**

The research draws on evidence from the limited research around parenting and child sexual exploitation, supplementing this with evidence from family support and parenting research more broadly.

The search strategy included:

- A search of websites including those of relevant government departments, voluntary organisations and think-tanks.
- A search of multiple databases, published research, research in progress and grey literature.

The parameters of the search strategy were literature with a specific focus on ‘parents’ and ‘child sexual exploitation’ and studies of parenting in adversity.

**Results**

A substantial amount of research which can offer valuable transferable lessons was identified.

The loudest message was that practitioners need to start with a focus on the strengths of parents and avoid assumptions of deficit or blame. Fundamentally, parents want service providers to work with them as partners in supporting their child and to be seen as part of the solution.

There are some key areas in which parents will have strengths but may also experience difficulties. The difficulties experienced include:

- Parents’ social support networks may be compromised by the stigma associated with sexual exploitation and the lack of understanding they may encounter within their wider family/community.
- Their capacity for coping will be influenced by their own circumstances and their past experiences, and they will have different resilience factors in their lives.
- Parents will have different experiences of attachment, both from their own childhood and as parents.

There is good evidence that supporting parents has a direct effect on outcomes for their children.

**Conclusions**

The report highlights the importance of supporting positive relationships which benefit the child, and outlines challenges for supporting parents and mechanisms for being more inclusive.

Overall, it gives a sense of parents as a key piece of the puzzle for addressing CSE more holistically.