Safe and effective pharmacotherapy in infants and preschool children: importance of formulation aspects
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ABSTRACT
Safe and effective paediatric pharmacotherapy requires careful evaluation of the type of drug substance, the necessary dose and the age-appropriateness of the formulation. Generally, the younger the child, the more the attention that is required. For decades, there has been a general lack of (authorised) formulations that children are able to and willing to take. Moreover, little was known on the impact of pharmaceutical aspects on the age-appropriateness of a paediatric medicine. As a result of legislative incentives, such knowledge is increasingly becoming available. It has become evident that rapidly dissolving tablets with a diameter of 2 mm (mini-tablets) can be used in preterm neonates and non-rapidly dissolving 2 mm mini-tablets in infants from 6 months of age. In addition, uncoated 4 mm mini-tablets can be used in infants from the age of 1 year. Also, there is some evidence that children prefer mini-tablets over a powder, suspension or syrup. Other novel types of age-appropriate oral formulations such as orodispersible films may further add to the treatment possibilities. This review provides an overview of the current knowledge on oral formulations for infants and preschool children, the advantages and disadvantages of the different types of dosage forms and the age groups by which these can likely be used.

INTRODUCTION
For decades, the availability of medicines with an approved paediatric indication has been lagging behind those for adults, there has been a general lack of formulations that children are able to and willing to take, and the younger the child, the poorer the situation.1 All this has resulted in high off-label and unlicensed prescription rates, which increase the risk of harm.2

A range of initiatives have been undertaken to improve this situation. From 1994, the US government has implemented several legislative frameworks to increase paediatric drug labelling ultimately resulting in the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act (FDASIA).3 In 2007, the European Union installed a Paediatric Regulation to improve the health of the children of Europe, for example, by increasing the availability of authorised and well-designed medicines for children.4 In the same year, the WHO started a programme to make medicines child sized.5 The European Regulation enforces the pharmaceutical industry to consider children at an early phase in the development of a medicine with a new active substance, new indication or new route of administration, unless a waiver of deferral applies, and to develop a paediatric investigation plan (PIP) describing the proposals and timelines for the clinical trials in children of different ages as well as the formulation(s) intended for future marketing.6

All these provisions have resulted in an increased focus on paediatric formulation development worldwide. In 2012, WHO issued a ‘points to consider’ document in pharmaceutical development.7 Following an earlier reflection paper, in 2013, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) published guidance requiring industry to justify all aspects in the design of a paediatric medicine at the time of marketing authorisation and, where appropriate, the PIP. The guideline indicates that companies may need to develop more than a single formulation to treat children of different ages and disease statuses. Aspects to be justified include the selection of the route of administration, type of dosage form, product strength/concentration, dosing frequency, excipient composition, container closure system, administration device, user instruction and patient acceptability.8

In order to meet the regulatory expectations and taking advantage of increased funding opportunities, the provisions have also fostered science and innovative, collaborative research initiatives.4,8 Currently, major progress has been made resulting in, for example, innovative approaches to drug delivery, especially for young(er) children.9 Therefore, this review provides an overview of current knowledge on oral formulations for infants and preschool children, the advantages and disadvantages of the different types of oral formulations and the age groups by which these can likely be used.

Oral formulations
Oral administration may be directed at a systemic effect following absorption, or at a local effect in the gastrointestinal tract.10,11 It is defined as the taking of a medicine by means of swallowing and must be differentiated from oromucosal administration, which encompasses the use of medicines such as buccal tablets and sublingual sprays in the oral cavity or throat.12

Regardless of the target patient population, oral formulations can be divided into those providing flexible doses, such as liquids, powders and granules, and those providing unit doses, such as tablets and capsules (tables 1–5). In addition, they can be divided into formulations that are liquid or (semi) solid on manufacture by the pharmaceutical industry, on administration or on swallowing.13,14 Although oral
administration is a favourable route to administer medicines, it cannot always be used. For example, because of stability issues or inadequate absorption eg, human growth factor. Moreover, children may not accept some types of oral formulations because of swallowing difficulties, recalcitrance or bad taste. Non-oral routes of administration, for example, nasal, rectal or (trans)dermal, may provide a valuable alternative. However, children may not accept these routes as well. Administration by injection may be used as a last resort for children who are critically ill.

Oral liquid formulations

Oral liquid formulations such as solutions and suspensions are commonly given to young children because they are easy to swallow. However, they are normally packed in multidose containers requiring preservation and accurate dose measurements. Dosing accuracy is highly determined by the volume to be measured and the type of dosing device. A minimum volume for accurate dosing with an oral syringe, measuring spoon or cup is yet to be defined. As formulations may be marketed for a wide patient population without a dosing device or with a device that is suitable for some doses only, it is essential that healthcare professionals ensure that children will obtain a dosing device that is appropriate to deliver the recommended dose, and that any inappropriate devices are removed from the packaging. This approach will help reducing the risk for 10-fold overdosing.

Oral liquid medicines, for example, tramadol, clonazepam, may be marketed as drops for children of different ages. Their main benefits include a low dosing volume, ease of swallowing and dosing flexibility. However, drops require careful consideration when dosing is critical in view of the risk of drop size variation and counting errors. It is recommended that nurses and parents are clearly instructed to hold the dropper in a vertical position. Acknowledging that the risk of dosing errors adds to the general disadvantages of oral liquid formulations, there is a need for better administration modalities.

Formulations that are swallowed as a liquid or pulp

Oral formulations may be designed in a form that is solid upon manufacture, yet liquid upon administration to the child, for example, dispersible tablets, effervescent tablets and granules for oral solution. The main reason for such approach is the limited stability of the active substance in the liquid form, the need for dosing flexibility or the wish to ease swallowing. Easy swallowing may also be achieved by orodispersible formulations such as orodispersible tablets, granules and lyophilisates. These dosage forms are solid upon manufacture and administration, yet they rapidly disintegrate in the mouth so that the child actually swallows a liquid or pulp.

Oral solid formulations

Oral solid formulations are commonly manufactured as powders, granules, capsules or tablets. Granules can be coated in order to modify the release or to mask the taste of the active substance. Powders and granules are easy to swallow for infants and preschool children, yet children may not like their mouth

---

Table 1  Liquid formulations for young children: type of dosage form, age group and main user advantages and disadvantages*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dosage form</th>
<th>Formulation characteristics</th>
<th>Likely to be used from</th>
<th>Main (user) advantages</th>
<th>Main (user) disadvantages</th>
<th>Specific reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>Immediate release†</td>
<td>(Term) birth</td>
<td>Easy to swallow</td>
<td>Flexible dosing</td>
<td>9 13 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Potential need for harmful solvents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Potential short product/in-use shelf-life</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Common need for preservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Common need for taste optimisation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dosing volume may be high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Need for suitable dosing device</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Risk for errors when measuring the dose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Risk of spillage upon administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Portability may be a problem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emulsion (including microemulsion)</td>
<td>Immediate release†</td>
<td>(Term) birth</td>
<td>As solution</td>
<td>Reduced need of harmful solvents</td>
<td>9 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As solution, but</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Risk for incorrect shaking/emulsion break up resulting in incorrect doses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspension</td>
<td>Immediate† or modified† release</td>
<td>(Term) birth</td>
<td>As solution, but</td>
<td>Reduced need of harmful solvents</td>
<td>9 13 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As solution, but</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mouth feel may be an issue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Risk for incorrect shaking/segmentation resulting in incorrect doses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrate for oral liquid</td>
<td>As solution/emulsion/ suspension</td>
<td>(Term) birth</td>
<td>As solution/emulsion/ suspension, but</td>
<td>Fewer stability problems</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(solution, emulsion, suspension)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As solution/emulsion/suspension, but</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Need for reconstitution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Need for clean water by patient/ pharmacist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drops (solution, emulsion,</td>
<td>Immediate release†</td>
<td>(Term) birth</td>
<td>As concentrate for oral liquid, but</td>
<td>Low dosing volume</td>
<td>14 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suspension)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As solution/emulsion/suspension, but</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Variable drop size</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counting errors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Recommendations on age are based on the reflected literature, considerations of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) guideline on the pharmaceutical development of medicines for paediatric use and expert knowledge of marketing authorisations.
†Immediate release administration implies the general disadvantage that dosing frequencies may be high. Although not intended, immediate release formulations may normally be chewed, crumbled or crushed. However, this may affect taste.
‡Modified release administration implies the general advantage that dosing frequencies may be reduced. Depending on the type of modified release, these formulations may sometimes be chewed or crumbled; however, they may never be crushed to powder.
**Table 2  Solid formulations for young children that are liquid upon intake: type of dosage form, age group and main user advantages and disadvantages**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dosage form</th>
<th>Formulation characteristics</th>
<th>Likely to be used from</th>
<th>Main (user) advantages</th>
<th>Main (user) disadvantages</th>
<th>Specific reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Powder/granules for oral liquid (solution, suspension) in multiple dose container</td>
<td>Immediate or modified release</td>
<td>(Term) birth</td>
<td>As solution/suspension, but † ＞ Less risk short product shelf-life ＞ Reduced need of harmful excipients</td>
<td>As solution/suspension, but ＞ Need clean water patient/ pharmacist ＞ Compatibility with drink other than water may be an issue</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powder/granules for oral liquid (solution, dispersion, suspension) in single dose container (often sachet)</td>
<td>Immediate or modified release</td>
<td>Solution/suspension</td>
<td>As powder/granules for oral liquid (solution, suspension) in multiple dose container, but † ＞ Good portability ＞ No need of dosing device ＞ No need of refrigerated storage of reconstituted product</td>
<td>As powder/granules for oral liquid (solution, suspension) in multiple dose container, but ＞ Reduced dosing flexibility; various strengths may be required ＞ Mouth feel dispersion may be less than suspension</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersible tablets (dispersion intended prior to administration)</td>
<td>Immediate release †</td>
<td>Probably 3 months</td>
<td>As powder/granules for oral liquid (dispersion) in single dose container</td>
<td>As powder/granules for oral liquid (dispersion) in single dose container, but ＞ Potential need for rinsing administration device</td>
<td>9 17 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble tablets (dissolution intended prior to administration)</td>
<td>Immediate release †</td>
<td>(Term) birth</td>
<td>As powder/granules for oral liquid (solution) in single dose container</td>
<td>As powder/granules for oral liquid (solution) in single dose container</td>
<td>9 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effervescent dosage form (powder, granules, tablet)</td>
<td>Immediate release †</td>
<td>3 months, possibly younger</td>
<td>As powder/granules for oral liquid (solution) in single dose container</td>
<td>As powder/granules for oral liquid (solution) in single dose container ＞ May require large volume of water ＞ Increased risk of tooth erosion ＞ Risk for overload of sodium and bicarbonate</td>
<td>17 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Recommendations on age are based on the reflected literature, considerations of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) guideline on the pharmaceutical development of medicines for paediatric use10 and expert knowledge of marketing authorisations.

†Immediate release administration implies the general disadvantage that dosing frequencies may be high. Although not intended, immediate release formulations may normally be chewed, crumbled or crushed. However, this may affect taste.

‡Modified release administration implies the general advantage that dosing frequencies may be reduced. Depending on the type of modified release, these formulations may sometimes be chewed or crumbled; however, they may never be crushed to powder.

feel. Moreover, clinical evidence indicates that powders and granules are commonly given with food or drink to ease swallowing even when the joint intake of the medicine with food or drink is not part of the marketing authorisation, that is, not recommended in the user instruction.7 10 19 In the majority of cases, the lack of such a recommendation will be based on the lack of data justifying the adequate stability (chemical, physical) and bioavailability of the medicated food.7 40

Capsules can be either soft or hard. Hard capsules can be filled with powder or (coated) granules. They are normally intended for direct swallowing, however some may be opened and their contents given as such.7 Soft capsules are often filled with liquid and water insoluble substances. Some may be opened as well, however this practice is more likely to impact bioavailability.7

Tablets can be either coated or uncoated and for immediate or modified (gastroresistant, extended, prolonged) release. Immediate tablets can be manufactured as chewing tablets to enable easy swallowing. Otherwise, tablets are intended to be swallowed intact. Nevertheless, immediate tablets can be chewed, crumbled or crushed without any relevant impact on the medicine’s stability and bioavailability, although taste may be significantly deteriorated. The latter is more likely with immediate tablets that have a film-coating for taste masking. Modified release tablets can be achieved through different principles. When achieved through a dedicated coating of the tablet or an osmotic pump system, it is evident that the tablet must be swallowed intact. If the modified release character is based on coated granules, the effect of chewing and crumbling may be less drastic; however in the majority of cases there is still a need to take these formulations intact. In any case, these tablets should not be crushed to powder.7

So far, immediate and modified release tablets are rarely developed for use in infants and preschool children as historic opinions suggest that young children cannot swallow tablets intact.11 However, there is increasing evidence that small tablets (mini-tablets) can be swallowed safely by children from a very young age (figure 1).41 An overview of the current evidence is provided by Liu et al17 and Aleksovski et al.41 For example, Klingmann et al found that uncoated and coated 2 mm tablets are well accepted in children from 6 months onwards and that the uncoated mini-tablets were even better accepted than a syrup.28 The same authors also showed that rapidly dissolving uncoated 2 mm tablets are were well taken by (pre)term neonates. The tablets were placed in the cheek pouch and swallowing was facilitated by offering the child a drink of the parents’ choice (breast milk, milk, tea, water, maltodextrine). Actually, the tablets were even better accepted than 0.5 mL of an oral syrup.22 In addition, Kluk et al29 showed that children from 2 years on are able to swallow several 2 mm mini-tablets as a single dose, eventually with the help of a gliding agent. Although the repeatability of these findings in the domiciliary
setting remains to be investigated, we showed that 4 mm uncoated placebo mini-tablets are well accepted in children older than 1 year when given by their parents at home. Actually, we found that the 4 mm mini-tablets were generally better accepted than an oral powder, suspension and solution.25 Mini-tablets may also be developed to provide modified release.41

**Novel approaches to paediatric formulation development**

Novel types of oral solid dosage forms are increasingly being investigated to realise safe and effective medications that are also (more) easy to use by children, parents and caregivers, for example, orodispersible mini-tablets, pulptablets, pellets, oral dispersible films, oral gels and medicated nipple-shield.17 20 42 Pulptablets are intended to be put on the spoon where they form a pulp upon contact with a small portion of water. This dosage form combines the advantages of the manufacture of a solid formulation, such as limited need for harmful excipients, good stability and good portability, with easy swallowing by the child, while at the same time limiting the risk of spillage.

Orodispensible films consist of a water-dissolving polymer that adheres to the mucosa upon contact with the saliva. Oral administration is realised by swallowing medicated saliva. Orodispensible films may also be intended for oromucosal use.*17 42

Another approach to oral administration in children is provided by Bar-Shalom. The author indicates a need for a flexible formulation that is acceptable to children of any age and health status. He proposes an automated compounding concept consisting of a pulp-like carrier, microencapsulated drug and a dispensing robot by which a pharmacy can deliver any dose upon request. However, the application of such an approach may require rethinking of commonly applied clinical, regulatory and marketing principles.37

**Excipients**

Excipients form the major constituent of the majority of medicines. They serve different functions as, for example, filler, binder, desintegrants, preservative, antioxidant, sweetener, taste masker, colouring agent and coating agent. Excipients are anticipated to have no pharmacological action. However, it is increasingly recognised that excipients may exert a different safety profile in children due to the immaturity of their organ and body systems. Thus, excipients that are safe for use in adults and older children may not necessarily be so when used in (younger) children.43 As this phenomenon has gained little attention for decades, the use of excipients in authorised, off-label and pharmacy compounded paediatric medicines is now subject to increased concern and re-evaluation.43 44

Currently, the European Paediatric Formulation Initiative (EuPFI) is developing an open access Safety and Toxicity of Excipients for Paediatrics (STEP) database. The database provides an easy searchable tool of the pharmacology, toxicology

---

**Table 3** Solid formulations for young children that are liquid upon swallowing: type of dosage form, age group and main user advantages and disadvantages*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufactured and administered as a solid, but swallowed as a liquid</th>
<th>Dosage form</th>
<th>Formulation characteristics</th>
<th>Likely to be used from</th>
<th>Main (user) advantages</th>
<th>Main (user) disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orodispensible granules in single dose packaging</td>
<td>Immediate release†</td>
<td>Birth</td>
<td>Easy swallowing Easy to use Water not required for intake Flexible dosing Good portability Can be stored outside refrigerator Likely to improve patient acceptability and adherence</td>
<td>Potential need of excipients of unknown safety profile Taste masking is challenging Fragile product, requires careful handling Critical packaging and storage conditions Risk for delayed market entry as technologies may be under patent</td>
<td>20 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orodispensible tablets</td>
<td>Mini-tablets (2–5 mm) Immediate release†</td>
<td>2 mm (preterm birth; 3–4 mm probably at least from 6 months)</td>
<td>As orodispersible granules, but ▶ limited risk for spillage ▶ Easy administration</td>
<td>As orodispersible granules, but ▶ Fixed dose ▶ Single dose may require several tablets ▶ Increased risk for accidental swallowing ▶ Acceptability in domiciliary setting not yet confirmed</td>
<td>20–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventionally sized (&gt;5 mm) immediate release†</td>
<td>5–8 mm at least 1 year, probably older</td>
<td>As orodispersible mini-tablets</td>
<td>As orodispersible mini-tablets, but ▶ May be accepted in older children only ▶ Reduced dosing flexibility; various strengths may be required</td>
<td>20 21 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orodispensible lyophilisate (= freeze dried solution/ suspension)</td>
<td>Immediate release†</td>
<td>Possibly from birth</td>
<td>As orodispersible tablets</td>
<td>As orodispersible tablets, but ▶ Product damage upon handling ▶ Need for peel off blister packs ▶ Higher price than conventional dosage forms</td>
<td>20 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orodispensible films</td>
<td>Immediate release†</td>
<td>Possibly from birth</td>
<td>As orodispersible granules, but easy to manufacture in various strengths</td>
<td>As orodispersible tablets</td>
<td>20 21 24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Recommendations on age are based on the reflected literature, considerations of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) guideline on the pharmaceutical development of medicines for paediatric use*16 and expert knowledge of marketing authorisations.
†Immediate release administration implies the general disadvantage that dosing frequencies may be high. Although not intended, immediate release formulations may normally be chewed, crumbled or crushed; however this may affect taste.

---
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Table 4  Solid formulations for young children: type of dosage form, age group and main user advantages and disadvantages*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dosage form</th>
<th>Formulation characteristics</th>
<th>Likely to be used from</th>
<th>Main (user) advantages</th>
<th>Main (user) disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Powder</td>
<td>Immediate release†</td>
<td>From 6 months, probably younger</td>
<td>Easy to swallow, flexible dosing</td>
<td>Grininess/taste may be an issue, may be given with food or drink even if food compatibility had not been shown, less accepted than mini-tablets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granules/pellets/sprinkles</td>
<td>Immediate or modified release</td>
<td>From 6 months, probably younger</td>
<td>As powder, but • Suitable for coating and taste masking • May be combined with novel sipping administration modality</td>
<td>As powder, but • Potential need for packaging/dispensing system • Better accepted than drops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-tablet (1–4 mm)</td>
<td>Immediate release†</td>
<td>6 months, possibly younger</td>
<td>Easy to swallow, flexible dosing, can be stored outside refrigerator, good portability</td>
<td>Possible need for tablet dispenser • Acceptability in domiciliary setting not yet confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tablets (conventional size)</td>
<td>Immediate release†</td>
<td>2 year, possibly younger</td>
<td>As granules/pellets/sprinkles, but • Reduced dosing flexibility • Reduced swallowability; can be improved by training</td>
<td>Acceptability will depend on size, shape, coating, child characteristics • Reduced dosing flexibility; various strengths may be required• Potential risk of choking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chewable tablets</td>
<td>Immediate release†</td>
<td>2 years, possibly younger</td>
<td>Easier to swallow than conventional tablets • Water is not required</td>
<td>Reduced dosing flexibility; various strengths may be required • Mouth feel and taste may be an issue • Controlled release is challenging • Potential need of excipients of unknown safety profile • Risk of overdose if used as candy • Risk of choking in young children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsules</td>
<td>Hard or soft</td>
<td>Probably 2 years</td>
<td>In case of swallowing difficulties, sometimes contents can be taken as such</td>
<td>Various dose strengths may be required • No data on child acceptability in relation to size/shape available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Recommendations on age are based on the reflected literature, considerations of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) guideline on the pharmaceutical development of medicines for paediatric use37 and expert knowledge of marketing authorisations.
†Immediate release administration implies the general disadvantage that dosing frequencies may be high. Although not intended, immediate release formulations may normally be chewed, crumbled or crushed; however this may affect taste.
‡Modified release administration implies the general advantage that dosing frequencies may be reduced. Depending on the type of modified release, these formulations may sometimes be chewed or crumbled, however they may never be crushed to powder.
not appropriate to young children, for example, because of a change in the ratio between paediatric dose and gastric volumes over growth. In fact, Batchelor et al.\textsuperscript{19} showed that drugs may shift to another class in the BCS when the testing conditions are altered to better reflect child physiology. As a consequence, they considered that there is a need for an age-specific BCS system to support paediatric formulation development.

**Formulation acceptability**

Child acceptability involves the overall ability and willingness of the child to use a medicine as intended.\textsuperscript{7, 17} It is mainly determined by recalcitrance and the organoleptic properties of the formulation, such as taste, aftertaste, smell, texture, appearance and colour.\textsuperscript{7, 17, 43} Acknowledging that many active substances have a bad taste, this implies the need for adequate measures in the pharmaceutical target product quality profile.\textsuperscript{43} In addition, child acceptability and adherence rates may be influenced by other aspects in the pharmaceutical design of the medicine, for example, type of dosing device (spoon, oral syringe) or dosing frequencies.\textsuperscript{39, 50} As young children are likely to depend on a caregiver for drug administration, parent and caregiver acceptability may be of equal importance.\textsuperscript{16, 25} Aspects to be considered involve health literacy, anticipated child acceptability and usability factors.\textsuperscript{11, 17}

Adequate user acceptability and drug adherence are key to safe and effective paediatric pharmacotherapy. Therefore, these aspects require due consideration during paediatric drug development, prescriptions and pharmacovigilance. When drug administration causes problems, healthcare professionals or caregivers may decide to manipulate the dosage form or to give medicines with food or drink, even when this is not recommended in the user information.\textsuperscript{10, 39, 51} However, such handling may result in altered bioavailability and adverse drug reactions due to, for example, chemical and physical instability.\textsuperscript{2, 7} This further strengthens the need for child and caregiver acceptability testing during (early) paediatric clinical trials and paediatric formulation development as well as for an internationally harmonised methodology for testing the acceptability of paediatric drugs in different age groups.\textsuperscript{31, 32}

**CONCLUSION**

Safe and effective paediatric pharmacotherapy requires careful consideration of selecting the type of drug, a suitable dose and an age-appropriate formulation, and the younger the child, the more the attention that is required. The lack of knowledge on the pharmaceutical development and production of medicines for (the youngest) children has been identified as a barrier to essential medicines. Recent global incentives and funding opportunities have resulted in increased research in this domain and in an integrated approach to formulation development. Key aspects involve the development of (novel) dosage forms such as mini-tablets and orodispersible formulations, the safety of excipients, child acceptability and the importance of suitable dosing devices. The acquired knowledge is useful to formulation scientists as well as to doctors, pharmacists and caregivers when prescribing, compounding, dispensing or administering medicines to children.

---

**Table 5** Novel types of oral dosage forms for young children: type of dosage form, age group and main user advantages and disadvantages*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Novel types of oral dosage forms</th>
<th>Formulation characteristics</th>
<th>Likely to be used from</th>
<th>Main (user) advantages</th>
<th>Main (user) disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medicated spoon that forms oral pulp</td>
<td>Immediate release†</td>
<td>Probably 4–6 months</td>
<td>Easy to swallow</td>
<td>Various strength may be required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple scored tablet</td>
<td>IMMEDIATE release†</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>Easy handling</td>
<td>Need for clean water by patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk-based oral liquid formulation</td>
<td>Immediate release</td>
<td>(Term) birth</td>
<td>As tablet but</td>
<td>As tablet but</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nipple-shield drug delivery device Robot system using microencapsulated drug</td>
<td>Immediate release</td>
<td>(Term) birth</td>
<td>As for granules, but</td>
<td>Need for dosing accuracy upon breaking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| †Modified release administration implies the general advantage that dosing frequencies may be reduced. Depending on the type of modified release, these formulations may sometimes be chewed or crumbled; however, they may never be crushed to powder.  

---

**Figure 1** Tablets of different sizes. From left to right: 2 mm mini-tablet; 4 mm mini-tablet; 5 mm tablet (fludrocortisone acetate 0.0625 mg); 6 mm tablet (thyrox duotab 0.025 mg); 13 mm tablet (paracetamol 500 mg).
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Figure 2  Integrated approach to formulation development.
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