Antenatal diagnosis of critical congenital heart disease. Optimal place of delivery is where appropriate care can be delivered

Julene S Carvalho

Congenital heart disease (CHD) is considered major if it requires cardiac surgery or catheter intervention or results in death in the first year of life, while it is defined as critical if these occur in the first 28 days. Current strategies to increase early diagnosis of such defects involve improving antenatal screening programmes and implementing neonatal screening by pulse oximetry. Structural forms of critical CHD are usually associated with a duct-dependent lesion. Within this group, the spectrum of outcome varies, from guarded outlook such as in hypoplastic left heart syndrome (HLHS) to conditions with better outcome such as complete transposition of the great arteries (TGA).

Eckersley and colleagues report the results of a population-based study carried out in New Zealand (2006–2010), where one in five neonates with critical CHD had late diagnosis (after discharge from hospital following birth or at postmortem examination), while the remainder were diagnosed early (prenatally or before discharge). For these potentially critically ill infants, the authors showed an impact of timing of diagnosis (early vs late) on 1-year mortality. Such an impact was more significant in isolated critical CHD (early diagnosis; 12%, 95% CI 9% to 17% vs late diagnosis; 29%, 95% CI 20% to 41%), highlighting the role of associated syndromes and major extracardiac abnormalities on overall infant mortality. It follows that for critical CHD, isolated or not, early diagnosis is better and every effort should be made to achieve this goal. However, despite being diagnosed early, some neonates may still die if prompt cardiac intervention is not available. In the accompanying study, neonatal deaths in complete TGA were mainly related to distance between place of birth and the cardiac centre. Eckersley and colleagues highlight the importance of time of diagnosis. Place of delivery will further influence outcome in critical CHD.

Similarly, in a recent meta-analysis, Holland et al2 showed that prenatal diagnosis of critical CHD improved preoperative neonatal survival (pooled OR 0.26; 95% CI, 0.08 to 0.84) when comparing cases with similar forms of CHD, standard risk and intention to treat. Better survival could only be demonstrated if fetal cases followed an optimal delivery plan and after excluding death of a neonate with fetal diagnosis of TGA who was delivered outside a centre with facilities for balloon atrial septostomy.

Thus, place of delivery should be taken into account when a perinatal management plan is put in place for fetuses with critical CHD. The question is: should all such babies be delivered at or in close proximity to tertiary centres or can they be delivered in the local hospital? To put this in perspective, it is important to recognise critical abnormalities that may require urgent catheter or surgical intervention shortly after birth and thus benefit from delivery at a specialised centre (table 1).

CRITICAL CHD EXPECTED TO REQUIRE URGENT POSTNATAL INTERVENTION

With critical obstruction to pulmonary or systemic flow. Therefore, site of delivery requires a hospital where this level of neonatal care can be provided safely, including facilities for mechanical ventilation. This management care plan is supported by the retrospective study of Bennett et al3 showing no significant difference in 90-day mortality between infants with duct-dependent lesions delivered in specialised centres or other centres.

In summary, for most duct-dependent lesions, close proximity to a cardiac centre is not necessary, provided the neonate can be stabilised safely in the local hospital and subsequently transferred electively to the cardiac centre.
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by postnatal closure of the ductus venosus in the infradiaphragmatic type. Thus, to optimise neonatal outcome, delivery should also take place at close proximity to a surgical centre, as urgent cardiac surgery is required.

**CRITICAL CHD ASSOCIATED WITH SEVERE VALVAR REGURGITATION AND/OR CARDIOMEGALY**

The fetus with critical CHD and severe atrioventricular or semilunar regurgitation leading to cardiomegaly may remain haemodynamically stable in fetal life but may also develop hydrops. In either case, the neonate is likely to be unstable. Prediction of postnatal level of care required to stabilise the neonate with cardiomegaly with or without myocardial dysfunction may vary from case to case. Risk assessment should take into account any concerns regarding possible lung hypoplasia, the presence of fetal hydrops or any other indicator of potential neonatal instability. Such fetuses are unlikely to require surgery in the first few hours of life, but will require high level of intensive care, so delivery should be planned in hospitals with tertiary neonatal units or near a cardiac centre.

**Table 1** Suggested delivery options for infants with prenatally diagnosed critical CHD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Critical CHD</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Expected neonatal cardiovascular status</th>
<th>Place of delivery and care plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duct-dependent pulmonary circulation</td>
<td>Includes all forms of CHD associated with: ▶ pulmonary atresia* or ▶ severe pulmonary stenosis</td>
<td>Without additional critical lesion  ▶ Stable neonate on PGE infusion</td>
<td>Can be delivered in local hospital, with facilities to stabilise the neonate ▶ PGE infusion ▶ Transfer to cardiac centre Planned delivery at or near cardiac centre with surgical and catheter interventional facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duct-dependent systemic circulation</td>
<td>Includes all forms of CHD associated with: ▶ aortic atresia or ▶ severe aortic stenosis or ▶ aortic coarctation or ▶ aortic interruption</td>
<td>Without additional critical lesion  ▶ Stable neonate on PGE infusion</td>
<td>Can be delivered in local hospital, with facilities to stabilise the neonate ▶ PGE infusion ▶ Transfer to cardiac centre Planned delivery at or near cardiac centre with surgical and catheter interventional facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete transposition</td>
<td>No major associated abnormalities</td>
<td>Potentially unstable neonate  Atrial septum can become restrictive shortly after birth (low sensitivity of prenatal scans) Fetus at risk of postnatal restriction of foramen ovale if septum primum bulges &gt;50% towards the left atrium, forms an angle &lt;30° with the rest of the septum or does not swing with the cardiac cycle Highly unstable neonate if the arterial duct is also constricted</td>
<td>Planned delivery at or near cardiac centre with facilities for balloon atrial septostomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other foramen ovale-dependent circulation</td>
<td>CHD that may require balloon atrial septostomy Includes CHD associated with mitral or tricuspid atresia or severe stenosis</td>
<td>Potentially unstable neonate if atrial septum is severely restrictive In HLHS and mitral atresia, a ‘to-and-fro’ pattern of pulmonary venous Doppler signal indicates severely restricted/intact atrial septum</td>
<td>Planned delivery at or near cardiac centre with facilities for balloon atrial septostomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAPVC, obstructed</td>
<td>Isolated TAPVC or associated with complex lesions (eg, isomerism)</td>
<td>Potentially unstable neonate due to obstruction at different sites</td>
<td>Planned delivery at or near cardiac centre with surgical and catheter interventional facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD associated with important valvar regurgitation and/or myocardial dysfunction</td>
<td>▶ Ebstein malformation or dysplasia of the tricuspid valve ▶ Truncus arteriosus with severe truncal valve regurgitation ▶ Tetralogy of Fallot with absent pulmonary valve syndrome with severe pulmonary regurgitation ▶ CHD with severe atriointerventricular valve regurgitation</td>
<td>Potentially unstable neonate</td>
<td>Planned delivery at or near tertiary neonatal unit or near cardiac centre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cases with pulmonary atresia with VSD and collaterals are usually non-critical lesions.
†For cases of suspected coarctation of the aorta, the elective use of PGE will depend on the degree of suspicion as antenatal diagnosis has a relatively high false-positive rate.
CHD, congenital heart disease; HLHS, hypoplastic left heart syndrome; PGE, prostaglandin E; TAPVC, total anomalous pulmonary venous connection; VSD, ventricular septal defect.

**CRITICAL CHD—OTHER FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT PLACE OF DELIVERY**

One of the main factors that influences place of delivery is spontaneous onset of labour leading to prematurity, which is often unpredictable. Thus, despite having a careful perinatal management plan tailored for the individual fetus, delivery may occur unexpectedly at a different location. In some instances, however, premature labour may be anticipated such as in cases with significant polyhydramnios due to associated gastrointestinal obstruction. It is therefore important that a perinatal management plan be defined early in pregnancy.
documented in the pregnant woman’s notes and updated as pregnancy advances, according to the ultrasound findings.

The presence of additional major extracardiac abnormalities is another factor that may dictate place of delivery and should be taken into account in all forms of critical CHD.

CONCLUSIONS

Place of delivery of the fetus with critical CHD should be decided based on individual diagnosis and assessment of risk associated with the cardiac diagnosis and its pathophysiology, as well as the level of care available locally. Decision making should be tailored to the individual case, taking all factors into account—but ensuring that place of elective delivery is optimal and sufficiently placed to look after the neonate, with the awareness that a significant number of babies will be born prematurely. The best strategy therefore is to define a clear perinatal management plan and good communication with local teams. Time of delivery (and therefore place of delivery) may be unpredictable. Ultimately however, the best place to deliver the fetus with critical CHD is where appropriate care can be delivered safely.
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