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Managing children on intravenous antibiotics (IVABs) at home is not new. The origins of outpatient parenteral antibiotic therapy (OPAT) can be traced back to the 1970s, initially for children with cystic fibrosis. Managing children at home offers clear benefits in terms of parent and patient satisfaction, psychological well-being, return to school/employment and reductions in healthcare-associated infections; as a result, children are being routinely ambulated on IVABs from paediatric units in Europe and elsewhere. However, there has been a recent resurgence of interest in this area, driven in part by the severe financial constraints being faced by hospitals, making OPAT an attractive option for reducing operational costs through reduced inpatient stays. The British Society of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (BSAC) has recently published paediatric OPAT guidelines. The focus of these recommendations, as well as the majority of the research literature, has been the management of children with complex infections requiring prolonged courses of IVABs.

Hodgson et al describe a large prospective cohort of children managed within an OPAT service in Australia. They attempted to both quantify their workload and assess the appropriateness of their IVAB prescribing. Over a 12-month period, they managed 251 infective episodes with a median of 7 days of IVABs delivered within their service. They suggest that there were 3084 days where OPAT replaced inpatient care, associated with an impressive cost saving of $A1.82 million in a single year. Ensuring that children receive the same quality of care at home as they would have received in hospital is paramount, and the authors report low complication rates: 11% of patients experienced adverse events and only 4% of patients required an unplanned readmission. Although all the adverse events were related to vascular access as opposed to side effects from their medication, the overall rate of central line-associated bloodstream infections was only 0.9%. This is far lower than described in previous studies.

These numbers at first glance appear very impressive. However, on closer inspection, one gets the impression that the dataset is perhaps incomplete, given that the information on adverse events is only available for 133 of the 251 episodes they managed. Robust data collection, including feedback from patients and parents, is a central tenet of an effective OPAT service; without outcome information, quality of care and cost-effectiveness cannot be robustly ascertained. In addition, it is hard to evaluate what these figures really mean without denominator or comparator data. Standardising data collection across hospitals enables centres to benchmark themselves against other paediatric OPAT services. The patient management system and registry developed by the BSAC OPAT initiative offers such a resource (http://e-opat.com/opat-patient-management-system/).

Prospective data collection also offers potential benefits beyond patient safety. There are mounting concerns about the evolution of antimicrobial resistance and monitoring the judicious use of antimicrobials to preserve their future effectiveness is essential. The convenience of OPAT makes it extremely easy to administer long courses of IVABs. Due to the paucity of high-quality evidence available to inform decision making about antibiotic prescribing, including the optimal route and duration of administration, Hodgson et al have been forced to resort to expert opinion to decide whether prescribing was appropriate or not within their cohort of patients. In their opinion, 8% of IVAB courses were too long and 6% too broad, though infectious diseases specialists are often more likely to err on the side of caution and prescribe longer courses. Such ambulatory OPAT services demonstrated that tertiary OPAT can be safely delivered and this should provide further impetus for introducing them in all regional children’s hospitals. What is now urgently needed is more data on the appropriateness and effectiveness of ambulatory OPAT and implementation strategies for ensuring robust clinical governance and effective data collection. This may prove more challenging!
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