local setting and users, education of staff groups, and the JMT itself as both a reminder and an easily accessible guide to care.

Charts and images: Please see Figure 1: Jaundice Management Tool

**G587(P)** IMPROVING UNDERSTANDING OF CHILDREN ATTENDING PAEDIATRIC OUTPATIENTS


10.1136/archdischild-2015-308599.536

**Context** A busy paediatric outpatient department in a UK district general hospital

**Problem** There is currently no information provided to children by the trust. This may have been adversely affecting our paediatric outpatients. By providing an information leaflet to children we hoped to improve their understanding and patient experience.

**Assessment of problem and analysis of its causes** Children are often overlooked in relation to patient information. Paediatric leaflets are usually written for parents. We surmised that children would benefit from understanding why they were coming to outpatients and what they could expect to happen while they were there. When children are more informed they may be more relaxed and therefore more cooperative with the physical examination. Children who have a positive experience of their first outpatient appointment may be more comfortable with future appointments.

**Intervention** A trust patient information leaflet that parents could go through with their children prior to attending their first paediatric outpatient appointment.

**Study design and strategy for change** Send out information leaflet with all new appointments for children aged 2–12 years (over an 8 month period) with a request that parents go through it with their child before attending the appointment. Leaflet designed with assistance of senior paediatric speech and language therapist to ensure that age-appropriate language used. Pictures were included to illustrate components of outpatient appointment, such as being weighed by nurse. Leaflet approved by in-hospital communications department.

**Measurement of improvement** A questionnaire given to parents in outpatient reception. Parents were asked whether they received leaflet, whether they went through it with their child and whether they felt it was helpful. If they didn’t receive it they were asked whether they thought it may have been helpful.

We also asked our clinicians to ask the children pre-defined questions prior to beginning the consultation to try and capture the children’s views verbatim. Most clinicians did not complete these questions. This may reflect time pressure during appointments.

We collated the questionnaire responses and analysed the data. We also obtained further suggestions for improvement from parents.

**Key findings:**

- 42% of parents who had not received the leaflet reported that it would have been helpful.
- However 78% of parents who received the leaflet prior to the appointment reported that it was useful (Figure 1).

When asked to explain what their child understood about why they were coming to clinic and what would happen 24% left the question blank, possibly due to a language barrier.

Some comments from parents who had not received leaflet prior to appointment included ‘I wish we could have received this beforehand to go through with my child’ and ‘it’s always important to communicate with children and explain to them everything in advance. This will help to keep them calm and happy.’

A parent who had received leaflet commented ‘perhaps a child-friendly version - in colour and larger font would be helpful’.

**Effects of changes** Our work demonstrated that most parents in our population valued the provision of this information to their children. We used parents’ views as a proxy for their children’s which may not be accurate. Over time, as more leaflets are distributed, it may be possible to investigate whether children who received the leaflet had a more positive experience of outpatients than those who didn’t.
Lessons learnt
1. Designing an information leaflet requiring trust approval took much longer than we anticipated.
2. Our leaflet needs to be translated into other languages to better serve the local population.
3. Work is needed to make our leaflet more user-friendly for younger children.

Message for others Give consideration to children’s views and understanding of what coming to hospital means for them. Seek to inform them and make their experience a positive one.

IMPROVING DOCUMENTATION AND CRANIAL ULTRASOUND SCANNING STRATEGY ON A TERTIARY NICU
LP Bray. Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Queen Alexandra Hospital, Portsmouth, UK
10.1136/archdischild-2015-308599.537

Context A tertiary neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). Involved were the unit doctors, and advanced nurse practitioners (ANPs).

Problem Cranial ultrasound scanning (CrUSS) is a useful diagnostic tool used for assessment of brain structure, identification of pathology, and for monitoring. The doctors and ANPs scan inpatients on the NICU. There was no formal guidance as to when these ought to be done, on whom, and how to document findings. I identified a need for clarity and formalisation of the scanning process and documentation.

Assessment of problem and analysis of its causes I undertook an audit of inpatient notes looking at when scans were done, why they were done, and what had been documented. I asked the consultants to formalise when they would expect a baby to be scanned, what documentation they expected, and what scanning schedule they anticipated would be required. The standard for the audit was that uniformly agreed by the consultants. We found that all who should be scanned were (preterm, had required ventilation, had suffered Hypoxic Ischaemic Encephalopathy, or had another reason e.g. risk factor for brain injury/ anatomical variation detected antenatally), however there were varying time frames in-between scans, with little guidance for the junior scanning team as to when the next scan should be scheduled. Essential documentation including the date/time of the scan, gestation of the baby, and a legible name of the scanning doctor was poor.

Intervention I created a unit guideline formalising scanning procedure, schedules, expected views and a template for documentation. The template provides a section separate from other radiological reports for ease of clarity reviewing when scans have been done, what the findings were, and when the next scan is due (Figure 1).

Strategy for change The findings of the initial audit were presented to the NICU in February 2014. I created the guideline and documentation template in agreement with the consultant body over the next nine months. A poster summarising the guideline and demonstrating the new documentation template was placed in the doctors office and on our “one minute wonder education board” for all staff to read. The documentation template was emailed to the medical team by the consultant in charge of clinical governance, and printed copies placed ready for use in our documentation trolley. The guideline is available in our unit guidelines folder.

Measurement of improvement The guideline, documentation template and poster have been implemented from November 28th 2014. After 8 weeks I will reaudit inpatient notes on the NICU, and have the findings ready to present at our unit Audit Presentation meeting on February 4th 2015, and at the RCPCH annual conference 2015.

Effects of changes We anticipate this project will help us optimise patient care by providing accessible reports, guiding the team as to when the next scan is due so that we provide a scanning schedule appropriate to each baby. Medicolegally the template provides clear evidence as to who undertook the scan, when it was done, what was found, and if a consultant reviewed it.

Lessons learnt Creating a unit guideline and template for documentation required agreement from all the Consultants. This takes time to arrange. The motivating factor is the knowledge that you are improving the quality of care you give to patients.

Message for others It is important that there is a structured approach in a unit where junior team members change every 6 months, rotating gives us the opportunity to provide insight into areas that could be improved and to implement change.

CrUSS Documentation Template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Right</th>
<th>Left</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal Scan?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVH? (grade)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular dilatation/Vis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenchyma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of cysts?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date: / / Time: 
Day of Life: Corr Gest Age: 
Scan operator: 
Designation: Bleep no. 
Supervised by: 
Reviewed by: 
Next scan due:
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