registrars to allow the non-medical professionals to gain necessary experience and competence.

**Measurement of improvement** The non-medical professionals needs training to be able to manage the required tasks autonomously, before the mapping process can be repeated.

**Effects of changes** We anticipate that training of the non-medical workforce to perform more advanced clinical tasks will result in more efficient patient care, with better provision of training and education for those existing trainees.

**Lessons learnt** A post-task debrief identified a degree of anxiety that the process was being used as a performance assessment tool. This suggests discordance between participants’ performance and their declarative knowledge of the task. Another challenge was “mapping fatigue” that became particularly evident as the project progressed. Social acceptability determinants of self reporting affects the validity of data and to ensure the integrity of the data, data collection by independent observers may be a more effective method.

**Message for others** Diversifying the workforce is inevitable for paediatric departments in the future. The multi professional task forces on maintaining flight through their Aviate / Navigate / Communicate approach, whilst another runs the checklist in an attempt to diagnose the problem we:

We produced single page checklists for each of the three different types of ventilator used by our service (see example below). After positive feedback from staff, we are now producing checklists for therapeutic hypothermia failure and nitric delivery system failure.

**Study design** Checklists are produced by staff concentrating on their area of expertise, and are checked by senior staff members and with equipment manufacturers where appropriate. Satisfaction with the use of checklists was assessed by survey and their ongoing use will be reviewed during simulator sessions.

**Strategy for change** Checklists have been used during staff training days, to provide an opportunity for staff to familiarise themselves with them, and to receive feedback about their use. Relevant checklists have now been attached to each transport trolley, for reference in emergencies. Staff from other transport teams have now asked for copies to develop their use within their service.

**Measurement of improvement** Satisfaction with the use of checklists is high, based on responses to the question “Do you find equipment failure checklists useful?” (1 – not at all useful to 5 – very useful). We will formally audit the time taken to diagnose equipment faults with and without checklists during simulator sessions for bank transport staff, and also plan to use these sessions to refine the checklists.

**Effects of changes** Our survey suggests staff feel more confident in dealing with time-critical equipment failure since checklists were introduced.

**Lessons learnt** The process of development of checklists highlighted variation in practice amongst staff and reinforced the need for an efficient systematic approach to be adopted. The format of “Challenge-verification-response” checklists has been tried and tested in the aviation field during time-critical emergencies and we believe is transferable to a medical setting.

**Message for others** Although equipment failure is more critical during transport (where spares are not readily available), checklists may provide a systematic approach to other emergency situations, and could therefore be applicable in neonatal units and paediatric intensive care. However, the information contained in them must be rigorously checked and tested prior to use. In addition, the temptation to provide too much information or to provide too many checklists should be avoided, as this will dilute their effectiveness.
2. Held consultation sessions with multidisciplinary PAU team. An assessment proforma including timings, observations, history, examination and predicted outcome was designed to aid data collection.

Areas identified for improvement included:
- Consultant cover at peak times
- Facilities and space
- Waiting times to see a decision maker
- Early discharge/admission planning
- Parental information

**Intervention** Some improvements were more challenging to tackle than others. We are exploring relocation of PAU to a more fit for purpose area. Consultants are reviewing working patterns to provide cover during peak activity. In the meantime we carried out simpler interventions:

- Following feedback we developed the integrated assessment proforma improving assessment efficiency and focussing professionals towards planning for likely outcome. Prescription boxes and prompts will encourage early interventions (such as antipyretics, dioralyte).
- A junior doctor dedicated to PAU should meet nursing team at shift start ensuring names +/- photos are on the PAU board. A full time trust doctor employed for consistent middle grade PAU cover (although sometimes fills rota gaps).
- Consultants to attend PAU after morning ward rounds and evening handover to discuss +/- review patients.
- Expected waited times standardised o Seen by doctor within 1 h o Seen by senior within 4 h
- Patient/parent information leaflet distributed, outlining what to expect from a visit to PAU.

**Study design** Not applicable

**Strategy for change** We produced Standard Operating Procedures outlining the above expectations. This was presented and e-mailed to all staff. Three months were allowed for changes to be embedded before re-audit.

**Measurement of improvement** The results of our original and re-audit are summarised in the following Table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abstract G567(P) Table 1</th>
<th>Results of original and re-audit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>March 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% presenting out of hours (5 pm–9 am)</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seen by doctor within 1 h</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior review within 4 h</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of patients seen by a consultant</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of admitted patients seen by consultant within 24 h</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct prediction of outcome made at initial assessment</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was an 18% increase in patients seen within 1 h and a 14% increase in patients seen by a senior within 4 h, bringing us closer to national levels. Although consultant input doubled with our initial interventions, it is clear that planned review of consultant working patterns is necessary for us to achieve national standards.

**Effects of changes** These improvements led to reduced waiting times and earlier decision making and discharge from PAU. There is a tangible sense of improved parental satisfaction, although this is to be formally assessed.

**Lessons learnt/Message for others** To produce adequate, consistent improvement requires a longer process of workforce planning and service relocation/development. However, we have shown that significant improvements can be made by going ‘back to basics’. Formalising and communicating expected standards, more efficient paperwork and maximising medical staffing, has been the mainstay to achieving improvement within our service. It can be difficult to obtain agreement for service developments from all involved, but we found involving all parties early in the process was beneficial.
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**Context** The information for this project was collected from the children’s wards at UHCW. Patients attending for elective scans requiring sedation were included. Sedation was administered as per the trust protocol. This protocol is based on current NICE and BNFc guidance.

- Weight <15 kg - Chloral hydrate sedation
- Weight >15 kg - Midazolam sedation

**Problem** We found that sedation of children for elective MRI/CT scans was insufficient more often than not. This led to failed scans which in turn led to delays in diagnosis, stress for patients and families. In addition this incurred significant financial cost to the Trust due to failed scans and referrals to BCH for scans under GA.

**Assessment of problem and analysis of its causes** 60 scans were reviewed over a 6 month period in 2013. 38 children underwent midazolam sedation and 22 were given chloral hydrate.

- Chloral hydrate sedation was successful in 90% of cases, whereas midazolam was only successful in 18% of cases.

After discussion with the finance department we calculated that failed scans combined with referrals to BCH was costing the trust c. £70,000 per year.

**Intervention** We have discontinued the use of midazolam sedation for MRI/CT scans.

The trust is in the process of developing a paediatric GA scan service at UHCW.

**Strategy for change** The initial results of the study were presented to the paediatric department at the monthly clinical audit meeting. After discussion, the decision to stop using midazolam for scan sedation was disseminated amongst community and hospital based paediatricians.

- A multi-disciplinary team comprising paediatricians, radiographers, radiologists and anaesthetists was formed. This team is in the process of designing and trialling a GA scan service at UHCW. This will enable us to provide scans to children who would beforehand have probably had 1–2 failed scans and then been referred to BCH. In addition this service may also provide the trust with increased revenue and savings.

**Measurement of improvement** We now only have children weighing <15 kgs (i.e. eligible for chloral sedation) attending our unit for elective CT/MRI scans. Children weighing >15 kgs that require sedation are being referred directly to BCH for GA scans while we develop our own service. This ensures that they will not need to undergo a probably unsuccessful scan under sedation with us before being referred.