have started, there is almost universal support for them and increased use of the MDT.

Messages for others This project created a lot of good will locally across sectors and between health professionals. It is a viable model that can improve outcomes for children/young people though integrated training.

Please declare any conflicts of interest below This project was resourced from the local Health Education funders.

G511 SAFE PRESCRIBING IN A KENYAN HOSPITAL

LJ Ford. *Global Links, RCPCH, London, UK*

10.1136/archdischild-2015-308599.464

Context This work was undertaken on the paediatric ward of a large government funded district general hospital in Kenya. The age range of the patient was from birth – 12 years of age. The clinicians involved were Kenya trained Medical Officer Interns (FY-1 equivalent), Medical Officers (FY2/SHO equivalent), Clinical Officer Interns and Clinical Officers. I undertook the work in collaboration with the hospital pharmacist.

Problem Prescribing on the paediatric ward was very poor, with multiple errors. The morbidity and potential risk of mortality associated with drug errors is widely recognised. There was a lack of awareness amongst the medical staff regarding this, and the potential for harm.

Assessment of problem and analysis of its causes: I undertook a one day audit looking at the prescription charts (treatment sheets) of all inpatients on the paediatric ward. I found

Only 17% of treatment sheets were correct.

Only 4% of treatment sheets had dates indicating when the drugs had been started.

Only 2% of treatment sheets had signatures for the drugs prescribed

There were a significant number of drug errors, nearly all the errors made were prescribing the drugs which are most commonly prescribed – IV antibiotics and paracetamol.

Following discussion with the staff involved, there were a multitude of factors leading to these errors. One of the most significant was that their undergraduate education did not provide any teaching on prescribing or medication harm.

Intervention I undertook a joint teaching session on the principles of prescribing. This session had two parts – a presentation on good prescribing, and a practical prescribing scenarios. Following this session, I informed the clinicians that I would be examining the prescribing charts once a week, on an unspecified day, and on a Friday I would give a small prize to the best prescriber of that week. This is clearly at odds with how we would undertake an improvement project in the UK.

Strategy for change The main change required in this setting was a behavioural one from the prescribing clinicians following the teaching. I shared the results on the initial audit of prescribing with the staff members present at the weekly CME sessions, and formally submitted it to the head of department for paediatrics

Measurement of improvement I undertook a re-audit 4 week later using the same proforma as before. In this re-audit, 61% of treatment sheets had correct prescriptions and 61% had signatures for all medications prescribed. This was an improvement from the previous audit where on 17% of prescription sheets were correct, and only 4% at this time had signatures for all medications prescribed. There was still much room for

improvement, but there was marked progress. The majority of errors in this re-audit came from a minority of clinicians.

Effects on change These changes had a significant effect on prescribing. The reduction in prescribing errors meant there was a reduced risk of harm to individual patients. The clinicians had also benefited from the education, commenting “no one has taught us to do this before”, “we can use this in other departments too”. One of the issues was apathy from a senior staff member who commented “its not worth it”, “they’ll never change”.

Lessons learnt I learnt about effecting change in a low-resource setting, and the need to do this in a different manner from which I am used to. This included taking into account cultural differences within the work environment.

Message for others It is possible to effect change in this setting with simple quality improvement projects. The pharmacist has continued to deliver this teaching program, hopefully leading to sustained improvement.

No conflicts of interest.

G512 MULTIDISCIPLINARY IMPLEMENTATION OF NURSE-LED PROLONGED JAUNDICE CLINIC TO IMPROVE SERVICE QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY

¹E Sage, ²S Shetty, ³M Rahman. ¹Paediatrics, Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; ²Paediatrics, Ashford and St. Peter's NHS Foundation Trust, Chertsey, UK; ³Paediatrics, Royal Alexandra Children's Hospital, Brighton, UK

10.1136/archdischild-2015-308599.465

Context The project was undertaken in the outpatient department of a general paediatric hospital, involving paediatric junior doctors and nursing staff. Stakeholders engaged were carers and infants attending the neonatal prolonged jaundice clinic.

Problem Audit of the SHO-led prolonged jaundice clinic service showed that whilst the majority of infants were adequately screened, a large number of unnecessary and repeated blood tests and clinic follow-ups were generated; causing inefficiency of service, increased cost, and unneeded anxiety for parents.

Assessment of problem and analysis of its causes Audit of 85 infants screened and followed-up in the SHO-led prolonged jaundice clinic April 2009 to February 2010 showed that in addition to the baseline screening tests, 81% of otherwise well infants had further investigations and follow-ups performed, for which there was a clinical indication in only 20%.

Presentation and in-depth discussion of audit results in the department revealed a lack of understanding of the clinic proforma and screening protocol by SHOs, leading to over-investigation with the false assumption this would aid diagnostic accuracy. The rota-system meant a different SHO was allocated to run the clinic each week, causing poor continuity and ongoing unfamiliarity with protocols.

It was proposed that an embedded nurse-led service could provide a long-term solution.

Intervention A nurse-led neonatal prolonged jaundice-clinic was created, run by four band 5 paediatric nurses with a supervisory named paediatric registrar and Consultant available during clinic if additional input was required. The nurses were trained using a 3-stage induction programme in the clinical and operational aspects of running the clinic, and a new clinic proforma was developed. This was followed by a staged phase of observation, subsequent paediatric registrar-led supervision, and ultimately nurse-led independent practice.