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paediatricians’ participation. There has been insufficient participation to evaluate learning or impact on outcomes for children. Future options to support participation will be discussed.

LISTENING TO CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE – THE VOICE OF THE CHILD IN CHILD PROTECTION MEDICALS

Background  The RCPCH Child Protection Companion 2013 states ‘Record in quotes and verbatim. Record your questions, verbatim’.

Nurses have a long history of acting as advocates for children ensuring that children’s and young people’s voices are heard in relation to their health, well-being and care.

Children who had experience of the child protection system themselves ‘voiced the importance of being heard separately from their parents and being listened to’ (Munro, 2011).

Aims To examine whether the voice of the child was being heard and documented in our child protection medical reports.

Method All typed child protection medical reports by community paediatricians from January 2014 to November 2014 for children age 6 and above were examined (excluding child death).

Evidence in reports fell into 4 categories.

- Documentation that the entire history was obtained from the child, negating the need for quotation marks.
- Dialogue quoting specific questions asked and answers.
- Selected quotes from the child.
- Descriptive documentation without quotations e.g. child told me..., he explained...

Results 37 medical reports met the criteria. All 37 reports (100%) had one form of documented evidence the voice of the child had been heard.

10 of 37 (27%) reports the history was documented as obtained from the child, and within 3 of these there was also quoted dialogue.

2 of 37 reports (5.4%) contained documented dialogue.

17 of 37 (45.9%) documented selected quotes.

8 of 37 (21.6%) contained descriptive terms only.

Conclusions 100% of child protection reports examined had documented evidence that we listened to the voice of the child. There is much variability in how we as paediatricians are documenting this.

This variability reflects both the examiner, their experience and personal history taking style, as well as the child, their age, confidence, persons present and simply how much they are willing to share at that time.

There should be more joint working and training between Paediatricians and Children’s Nurses to establish secure environments which help children find their voice, specifically in child protection medicals.

PAIN IN THE NECK! NON-ACCIDENTAL, NON-LETHAL ATTEMPTED STRANGULATION IN CHILDREN. A DESCRIPTIVE STUDY OF 6 CASES SEEN IN A YEAR

Background The neck is a vulnerable part of the body due to its close proximity with major blood vessels, trachea and lack of bony protection. Neck injuries are more likely than not to be non-accidental. 2.5% of deaths worldwide are by strangulation.

Symptoms in survivors include bruising, hoarse voice, dysphagia, unconsciousness, surgical emphysema and psychological problems in the long term.

There is little literature about non-lethal, non-accidental strangulation injuries in children.

Method Case note review in a 12 month period of 6 children presenting with neck bruising and alleging to being strangled
Summary
These children had neck bruising consistent with being held around the neck (Table 1). There were no serious consequences. 2 children sustained injuries when restrained by teachers, one disabled child throttled by step-dad for not going to bed. 3 cases were associated with domestic violence.

Conclusions
When there are suspicions of attempted strangulation, Child protection procedures should be followed even if there are no visible injuries the child would continue to be at risk of harm.

Teachers should review their practice of restraint when dealing with violent children.

Adolescent girls, can be subjected to severe domestic abuse from their partners with of serious consequences.

More research on the long-term effects of children experiencing strangulation is required.

Abstract G54(P) Table 1
Results of 6 children presenting with neck bruising

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Alleged abuser</th>
<th>Injuries</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td>3 cms vertical bruise under ear</td>
<td>Restraint, fighting with peer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td>No neck injuries</td>
<td>Violent behaviour in class. Restrained by teacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>Scratches on neck</td>
<td>Fight with mum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Step-dad</td>
<td>Large bruise on neck</td>
<td>The abuser claims the child was slapped by step dad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Step-dad</td>
<td>Chest, behind ears</td>
<td>Autistic child; heard child intervene in class. Restrained by step dad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Boyfriend</td>
<td>Neck injuries</td>
<td>Domestic violence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abstract G55(P) FEMALE GENITAL MUTILATION: A SURVEY OF AWARENESS, TRAINING AND CURRENT PRACTICE

TL Roberts, X Poblete. Community Child Health, Northwick Park Hospital, London, UK

Background
“Tackling FGM in the UK”, Intercollegiate document calls for paediatricians to routinely ask about FGM in their clinical history to identify girls and young women who are at risk and require safeguarding.

Aims
To gather paediatricians’ views at a large district general hospital on awareness, training and current practice regarding female genital mutilation.

Methods
A pilot survey using Monkey Survey was compiled and sent out to paediatric registrars (ST3–ST8) which was followed by a survey to all paediatricians in the department. Questions included multiple choice and open ended format.

Results
Out of 105 invitations 32 responses (30%) were received from 9 consultants, 19 paediatric trainees, 4 unknown. Most of those answering reported “some” knowledge about FGM (88%) although only 6% said they had “adequate” knowledge. The source of information was through professional teaching in 66% and by the media in 34%. Only half of the sample (58%) thought FGM to be a cultural issue but most (97%) thought FGM to be a safeguarding issue. Of the 32 responses 53% had looked after a patient either who had been subjected to FGM themselves or with a mother/sister known to be affected. Open questions garnered comments on how doctors became aware of FGM in patient or female relatives; the confidence required to ask about FGM in history taking and management of suspected cases.

Conclusions
The findings of this survey represent the views of a small number of paediatricians in a general hospital. The poor response rate could suggest lack of awareness of FGM or that surveys are not a way of gathering the views of busy paediatricians.

Paediatricians taking part felt almost unanimously that FGM is a safeguarding issue although there was less certainty about cultural issues. The survey identified a significant training need to help paediatricians identify and manage possible cases of FGM.

G56(P) FEMALE GENITAL MUTILATION: KNOWLEDGE, TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE OF HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS AT A LONDON HOSPITAL

1R Gabrasadig, 2F Asamoah, 3N Wilson. 1Paediatrics, Barts Health, London, UK; 2Research and Development Department, Homerton Hospital, London, UK; 3Wonder Foundation, London, UK

The World Health Organisation defines female genital mutilation (FGM) as “procedures that involve partial or total removal of the external female genitalia, or other injury to the female genital organs for non-medical reasons.” Since 1985 it has been a criminal offence in the UK to perform FGM, or to assist a girl in performing FGM on herself.

This study was based at a district general hospital in London with a high prevalence of patients originating from countries where FGM is practiced. We aimed to assess healthcare professionals’ knowledge and confidence in managing FGM, as this underpins their ability to respond adequately to the medical needs of patients with FGM and safeguard girls and young women from the practice.

A confidential survey was distributed within the hospital from January–March 2014. 157 healthcare professionals responded. 35% were midwives (n = 51), 45% medical doctors (N = 71), 19% nursing (N = 30), 3% other (N = 5).

100% of respondents stated they knew what FGM was and 71.4% felt they would benefit from further training on the subject. Only 21% of respondents stated that they would feel comfortable discussing FGM with patients. Most healthcare professionals had not read any guidelines relating to FGM (65.3%). Midwives were more likely to have read guidelines compared with any other profession (p = 0.001).

Interestingly, 73% felt there were barriers that prevent healthcare professionals from speaking to patients about FGM. On a scale of 1–5 (1 = not important at all, to 5 = very important), lack of knowledge of FGM was rated as the most important barrier with a mean rating of 4.3 out of 5, followed by a language barrier (rating = 4.1) and fear of appearing culturally insensitive (rating = 3.9).

We have identified what are perceived by healthcare professionals to be the most significant barriers to discussing FGM with patients. We suggest that future training should particularly focus on overcoming these barriers. One potential approach would be specialised communication training provided by people who are already familiar with working with women who have suffered FGM. These barriers should be addressed if we are to...