Abstracts

**G310(P)** AUDIT OF DISCHARGE LETTER DIAGNOSIS AND ITS EFFECT ON CLINICAL CODING

S Liew, J Bandi. Paediatrics, Leicester Royal Infirmary, Leicester, UK
10.1136/archdischild-2015-308599.287

**Introduction** Discharge diagnoses can have significant implications on patient management from a clinical governance point of view. It can further impact the clinical coding with a risk of potential financial loss to the service provider. Accurate clinical coding also contributes to appropriate data collection and analysis in audit and research.

**Aims** To look at the accuracy of discharge letter primary diagnosis and co-morbidities. To look at the relation between clinical coding and potential financial loss.

**Methods** We did a retrospective review of 50 discharge letters randomly selected from the paediatric wards in August 2014.

An excel spread sheet was used to collect the data which included: date of admission and discharge, discharge diagnosis and any co-morbidity. The accuracy of the diagnosis was ascertained by looking through the patient notes and cross-checking them with clinical coders and against the ICD-10 manual.

**Results** 13 out of 50 discharge letters (26%) had inaccurate diagnosis.

6 out of 50 case notes (12%) had co-morbidities that were not included on discharge letters.

We looked thorough seven case notes’ discharge diagnosis (7/13) in detail and compared the financial tariff between the ICD-10 code and against the ICD-10 manual.

**Discussion** Coding accuracy on average is high in the United Kingdom, especially for operations and procedures. Inaccurate coding can have significant financial implications. There is a need to raise the awareness of the importance of accurate clinical coding for all clinicians.

**Conclusion**

1. Our audit demonstrated that inaccurate diagnosis can have significant financial implications.
2. A Do’s and Don’ts table was designed and circulated to all the clinical staff (see Figure 1)
3. We aim to raise the awareness of clinical coding during junior doctors induction and aim to re-audit in 6 months’ time.
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**Background** Isolated sacral dimples are common and it is increasingly recognised that most are not linked to spinal dysraphism. Based on less features they can be classified into low risk and high risk. The Royal College of Radiologists guidance states that the high risk dimples (large, base not visible, >25 mm from anus or >5 mm from midline or associated with additional stigmata of spinal dysraphism) should be investigated with SU.

**Objective** To determine whether hospital guidelines specific to sacral dimples are being followed and to ascertain the yield of SU.

**Method** A cohort of neonates from the entire Trust who received a spinal ultrasound scan due to the presence of a sacral dimple between 2007–2011 were included. Information was gathered from clinical letters, referral forms, and the presence or absence of a spinal abnormality was assessed by evaluating the ultrasound scan reports.

**Results** 31 (33%) sacral dimples were ultrasound against guidelines. 13% of high risk sacral dimples in this audit revealed a spinal abnormality upon imaging. No abnormality was detected in cases not classed as high risk. This is in line with other reports and supports the current practice of selective SU in newborns with high risk dimples.

**Conclusion** Trust guidelines are being followed, to some degree.
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