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ABSTRACT
Remarkable advances have been made over the past decade in defining the burden of newborn mortality and morbidity and stillbirths, and in identifying interventions to address the major risk factors and causes of death. However, progress in saving newborn lives and preventing stillbirths in countries lags behind that for maternal mortality and for children aged 1–59 months. To accelerate progress, greater focus is needed on improving coverage, quality and equity of care at birth—particularly obstetric care during labour and childbirth, and care for small and sick newborns, which gives a triple return on investment, reducing maternal and newborn lives as well as stillbirths. Securing national-level political priority for newborn health and survival and stillbirths, and implementation of the Every Newborn Action Plan are critical to accomplishing the unfinished global agenda for newborns and stillbirths beyond 2015.

BACKGROUND
Over the past decade, major progress has been made in outlining how to address newborn health and survival in low and middle income countries (LMICs).1 The burden of disease is clearly defined: newborn conditions comprise an estimated 8.1% of global disability-adjusted life years, approximately equivalent to that for cardiovascular diseases, exceeding the burden for all cancers combined, and equivalent to that for cardiovascular diseases, exceeding the burden for all cancers combined, and roughly 10 000.9,10 One million newborns die on their birthday and 1.2 million babies succumb during labour and are stillborn; in addition, nearly half of maternal deaths in LMICs mention the newborn. Moreover, partners lack convergence in their approaches to supporting country programmes, and data on coverage of interventions and use of data to improve programme performance are lacking.1 As a result, progress in reducing the annual 2.9 million neonatal deaths in the first 28 days after birth (2.0% annual rate of reduction (ARR) in 1990–2012) lags substantially behind that for older children 1–59 months of age (3.6%).9 10 At present rates of decline, it will take over a century for African newborn babies to have the same survival probability as those born in Europe or North America in 2013.2

While commitment and actions to address neonatal survival are weak in many countries, stillbirths (defined by the WHO as born dead weighing ≥1000 g and/or after 28 completed weeks of gestation)11 remain even more neglected. Interventions to prevent stillbirths are also known, and many have collateral benefits for maternal and newborn health,12 yet the ARR for stillbirths in high-mortality countries was only 0.6% from 2000 to 2009.1 Moreover, a keyword search of official development assistance donor disbursement records for 2002–2010 identified only two mentions of stillbirth among 4584 grant or loan disbursements that included at least one newborn search term,13 14 in stark disproportion to the 2.6 million stillbirths—including 1.2 million intrapartum deaths—that occur each year.11 Slow progress in neonatal mortality reduction (NMR) is a major factor preventing achievement of Millennium Development Goal (MDG)4 for child survival in many countries. Worldwide the proportion of under-five child deaths that occur in the neonatal period has risen from 36% in 2000 to 44% in 2012, and now exceeds 50% in five developing regions.15 Preterm birth recently became the number one cause of death in children under the age of 5 years.16 Without greater attention to newborn health and survival, post-2015 targets for child survival and ending preventable child deaths cannot be met.2 Without concerted action to address stillbirths, more than one million women each year will continue to experience tragic loss and untold pain during childbirth.17 18 To accelerate progress, three key elements must be further prioritised: (1) improve care at birth and for small and sick newborns, (2) improve equity for maternal and newborn care and (3) reach every woman and newborn and achieve impact at scale (figure 1).

PRIORITIES FOR ADDRESSING THE UNFINISHED AGENDA
Improve care at birth and care for small and sick newborns
Care at birth
One million newborns die on their birthday and 1.2 million babies succumb during labour and are stillborn; in addition, nearly half of maternal deaths (46%) occur in the approximately 48 h period during labour, birth and the first day after childbirth.2 The package of care with the maximum potential to avert newborn deaths and stillbirths is obstetric care during labour and childbirth (41% and 70% of all newborn deaths and stillbirths averted, respectively).5 Care at birth is also most important for averting maternal deaths, and thus gives a triple return on investment (ie, maternal and newborn deaths and stillbirths averted) or quadruple if the consequences on child development from adverse birth events are also counted.4

Care for small and sick newborns
The second most impactful package of interventions is care for small and sick newborns (30% of
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all newborn deaths averted), particularly during the first days to week after birth. Among the three leading causes of newborn deaths globally—complications of preterm birth, intrapartum conditions and infections—progress has been the least for addressing deaths due to preterm births (<20% reduction from 2000 to 2012). In 2012, >80% of neonatal deaths in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia occurred in babies born too small, either prematurely (65%) or small for-gestational age (SGA, defined as <10th centile of a standard optimal reference population for a given gestational age and sex) at term gestation (19%). With available interventions—many of them relatively simple and inexpensive such as thermal care, resuscitation, Kangaroo Mother Care (KMC), breast feeding, antenatal corticosteroids, antibiotics for sepsis and pneumonia—an estimated 58% of newborn deaths due to prematurity could be averted by 2025 if high coverage (90%) of care was achieved. Overall, care for babies born small and sick could avert an estimated 580 000 newborn deaths per year; it should be noted that effectiveness of antenatal corticosteroids is based on data from middle-income and high-income countries. However, coverage for many of these interventions in LMICs remains exceedingly low—for example, just 11% for simple thermal care and <10% for KMC and antenatal corticosteroids—and thus greater attention is needed to delivery of what we know works. In contrast, it is estimated that only a small fraction (<3%) of preterm births could be prevented with existing maternal interventions (eg, progesterone supplementation, smoking cessation, cervical cerclage); thus, greater investment is also needed in the discovery of new preventive interventions. An important step forward is the creation of a solution pathway to guide research investments to prevent preterm birth, as well as the rapid scale-up of effective interventions for care of infants born preterm, which will have a major impact even without intensive care.

Nearly three million lives of women, newborns and stillbirths could be saved each year through high coverage of care around the time of birth and care of small and sick newborns at an additional running cost of only $1.15 per person in the 75 high-burden LMICs. Arguably, increased focus here has the greatest potential for accelerating progress in the coming decade. Promoting this agenda is central to the Every Newborn Action Plan (ENAP) (box 1, figure 2). Care at birth is also the target for innovation through the Grand Challenge in Development: Saving Lives at Birth.

**Improve the quality and equity of maternal and newborn care**

Poverty and inequality, punctuated by societal conflict and humanitarian emergencies, and poor quality of healthcare underlie much of the world’s newborn mortality and morbidity and stillbirths. Quality improvement in maternal and newborn care provides substantial opportunity to improve the distribution, delivery and impact of interventions, and must now receive further emphasis in programmes. Improving availability and access to primary healthcare workers equipped with knowledge, competencies and essential commodities, and linked to quality care at health facilities, will be necessary for saving lives and optimising neurodevelopment. Improving gender equality and women’s empowerment, early nutrition and ability to plan—one’s family are particularly important avenues for advancing newborn health and survival.

**Gender equality and women’s and girls’ empowerment**

Addressing gender inequalities and empowering women and girls is widely recognised as fundamental for achieving global health and development goals, including equality. Several approaches to empowering women and girls are associated with improved maternal and child health outcomes, for example, education, control over household and community resources, equitable decision-making authority and physical safety.

Women’s groups at sufficient saturation in the community (eg, >30% of pregnant women in group) are cost-effective for improving maternal and newborn mortality. Smart investments in development seek to identify and address gender inequalities and empower women and girls (eg, promotion of decision-making power) alongside sector interventions (eg, supply chain logistic to reduce contraceptive stockouts). However, much remains to be learned about the mechanisms and pathways—
Box 1  Every Newborn Action Plan and Movement

What is Every Newborn?
Every Newborn is a movement of parent groups and over 60 partner organisations responding to increasing demand from countries to accelerate action on newborn survival and health, closely linked to maternal health. The Every Newborn Action Plan provides an evidence-based roadmap to reduce preventable newborn deaths and stillbirths, and to increase human capital through a healthy start in life. Women’s health is closely linked and counting the impact on both makes the case for investment much stronger. The evidence gives clear principles for action, but for each country context-specific adaptation linked to country strategies and accountability mechanisms is critical.

How has the Action Plan been developed?
The plan content is based on The Lancet Every Newborn Series with the data and evidence shaped by the input of thousands of stakeholders including >40 national governments, as well as donors and foundations, civil society groups and the private sector, as well as health professional organisations. The Every Newborn process is coordinated by UNICEF and the WHO in support of the UN Secretary-General’s Every Woman Every Child platform to implement the Global Strategy for Women’s and Children’s Health. The plan was endorsed at the World Health Assembly 2014 by 194 member states with commitment to implement and track the process and results.

What does the Action Plan include?
Vision: A world with no preventable deaths of newborns or stillbirths, where every pregnancy is wanted, every birth celebrated, and babies, mothers and children thrive and reach their social and economic potential.

Goals for 2035, linked to the post-2015 development framework: For all countries to have a neonatal mortality rate <10 deaths per 1000 live births by 2035, and a stillbirth rate <10 per total births by 2035, with interim 5-yearly targets to enable monitoring. These targets have been developed on the basis of extensive consultation and the full wording includes an explicit focus on equity and on child development outcomes. Analyses indicate that these targets can be reached by achieving universal coverage with existing interventions.

Guiding principles: Country leadership, human rights, integration, equity, accountability and innovation.

Actions: The Plan outlines the latest evidence on costs and expected impact of interventions, and calls for implementation by all stakeholders in countries. An expected output in countries is an integrated reproductive, maternal, newborn and child health plan—not a separate newborn plan—which is sharpened to include the highest impact interventions for care at birth and care of small and sick newborns in that country context. This emphasis lies at the heart of universal health coverage, and a functional health system that works for the poorest, as well as wider coverage along the continuum of care—notably also for family planning services, pregnancy care and child healthcare.

The Plan has five strategic objectives to achieve the targets (figure 2).
1. Strengthen and invest in care during the critical period of labour, childbirth and the first days of life.
2. Improve quality of maternal and newborn care.
3. Reach every woman and every newborn and reduce inequities.
4. Harness the power of families and communities.
5. Count every newborn: improve measurement, oversight and accountability, including birth and death certificates.

How does Every Newborn build on other plans and efforts?
Every Newborn builds deliberately on the targets, interventions, strategies and/or actions proposed by other efforts to promote women’s and children’s health. These efforts include Committing to Child Survival: A Promise Renewed, with its emphasis on eliminating preventable child deaths by 2035, and also the maternal mortality post-2015 targets, as well as Family Planning 2020. Every Newborn emphasises approaches consistent with the UN Commission on Lifesaving Commodities for Women’s and Children’s Health, which includes four life-saving commodities specific to newborn survival, the Scaling Up Nutrition framework for action, the Global Immunization and Vaccine Strategy, the Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Pneumonia and Diarrhoea, and Countdown to Zero for eliminating Mother-to-Child Transmission of HIV and improving the health of women with HIV. Wider environmental change is also needed, notably for water, sanitation and hygiene. Plans are an important step towards action; however, their proliferation can also promote issue-specific tensions and pull national stakeholders into multiple meetings, even distracting from implementation.

The key message of Every Newborn is a call for context-specific attention to ensure newborns no longer fall between the gaps in the continuum of care and between multiple plans. Given the core value of a healthy start in life and the vulnerability of newborns, they deserve special attention within many issue-specific plans (adapted from ref. 6).
Figure 2 Integrated packages of care for reproductive, maternal, newborn and child health showing the focus of the Every Newborn Action Plan on care around the time of birth.
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Figure 2

Focus of the Every Newborn action plan

- Reproductive health including family planning
- Management of pregnancy complications
- Skilled care at birth
- Comprehensive emergency obstetric and newborn care
- Essential newborn care
- Emergency care of newborn and sick newborns
- Hospital care of childhood illness
- Reproductive health including family planning
- Pregnancy care
- Skilled care at birth
- Basic emergency obstetric and newborn care
- Essential newborn care
- Postnatal care, care of small and sick newborns
- Prevention and management of childhood illness
- Adolescent and preconception health care and nutrition
- Gender violence prevention
- Intersectoral: Improved living and working conditions, including housing, water and sanitation, nutrition, education and empowerment, especially of girls; folic acid fortification; safe and healthy work environments for women and pregnant women
- Counselling and birth preparedness
- Home birth with skilled care and clean practices
- Essential newborn care
- Postnatal home visits for mothers and newborns
- Ongoing care for the child at home

3 MILLION LIVES SAVED EACH YEAR
With an additional investment of US$1.15 per capita

30% of wasting are attributed to being born SGA—and adult-onset diabetes and cardiovascular diseases as well as neurocognitive impairment. Efforts to reduce SGA have focused primarily on increasing protein and calorie intake (which reduces SGA and stillbirth) and addressing micronutrient deficiencies (eg, multiple micronutrient supplementation, which reduces SGA) during pregnancy; however, extending intervention approaches to include adolescent and preconception nutrition (eg, folic acid supplementation) is urgently needed.

Family planning

Linkages between reproductive health and newborn health and survival have received increased attention in recent reports, but need further analysis and emphasis in country programmes. Communities in LMICs with the highest fertility rates tend to also have the highest NMRs, and mortality is 40% higher for children born to young women and girls under the age of 18 years compared with children born to older women. Interpregnancy intervals shorter than 18 months and longer than 59 months are associated epidemiologically with increased risk of preterm birth and SGA. Moreover, if spacing between pregnancies was at least 2 years for all children, mortality among infants and children aged 1–4 years would fall by an estimated 10% and 21%, respectively.

An estimated 222 million women and girls—162 million of them in LMICs—who do not want to get pregnant lack access to contraceptives, information and services, and are defined as having unmet need for family planning. Addressing unmet need for family planning in LMICs with use of modern contraceptives would avert (based on estimates for the year 2012) 54 million unintended pregnancies, 26 million abortions, 79,000 maternal deaths, 600,000 neonatal deaths and 500,000 postneonatal infant deaths annually. Family planning reduces unintended and high-risk pregnancies, and can delay age at first pregnancy and enable healthy timing—including delaying onset of childbearing—and spacing of births. Thus, family planning is a powerful approach for improving the health of women and their newborns and children. Moreover, recent analysis shows that the LMICs with the fastest reductions in NMR also had rapid reductions in total fertility rate, in some cases within a decade and in the absence of major advances in the economy or girls’ education. This indicates that demographic transition can be accelerated and suggests that changes in family size are associated with higher newborn survival. Commitments to advance family planning in LMICs generated at the London Summit on Family Planning in 2012 and supported through Family Planning 2020 provide a key opportunity to advance newborn health and survival, as well as improve outcomes for women and integration of service delivery.

Child development

As child mortality falls, attention turns increasingly to optimisation of child development and long-term economic productivity potential. The global burden of disabilities due to newborn conditions was recently quantified for the first time, revealing that risk of disabilities is greatest in middle-income countries where survival is improving but establishment of neonatal intensive care and assurance of quality care is uneven. Most disabilities in these settings, however, occur in preterm infants 28–32 weeks gestational age and are preventable with improvements in quality of care. High-income (low mortality) and low-income (high mortality) countries tend to have low risk of disabilities among survivors due to availability of quality care in the former settings and death rather than survival with disabilities for many newborns with severe morbidities in the latter settings.

Currently, interventions and programmes to address child survival and child development tend to be implemented separately, often through different ministries and partnerships. Several global initiatives are underway to validate measurements of child development; define effective interventions across relevant sectors, including health, nutrition, education, child protection and social protection; and identify delivery approaches to simultaneously achieve improved child survival and optimal neurodevelopment at large scale in countries.

Reach every woman and newborn and achieve impact at scale

Although progress in saving newborn lives lags behind that for older children, some measure of success has been achieved in most countries. Between 2000 and 2012, NMR reduction...
exceeded 50% in 13 countries and was 25%–50% in 102 countries, including 17 in Africa. Countries with the highest NMRs, however, made the least progress in reducing neonatal mortality and stillbirths.

Count every newborn and stillbirth
Coverage data for many of the most simple and cost-effective interventions for averting newborn deaths and stillbirths (eg, antenatal corticosteroids for preterm labour, clean delivery practices, newborn resuscitation, prevention and management of hypothermia, KMC, case management of neonatal infections) and quality-of-care measures are lacking, crippling performance improvement and accountability efforts. At an even more fundamental level, over one-third of babies in South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa never receive a birth certificate, and death registration is even poorer; stillbirths are invisible in most countries. Without registration and awareness, fatalism and inaction are enabled as social norms. Improved vital registration, facility-based perinatal data systems and household surveys including additional neonatal and stillbirth indicators, as well as improved metrics and tracking for neurodevelopmental impairment, are urgently needed.

Implement programmes at scale
Several conceptual frameworks and tools for scaling up health-care to avert neonatal deaths and stillbirths have been developed to inform planning and implementation of programmes. Common principles across these frameworks for achieving impact include (a) convene and work with local communities to analyse and understand the local social and cultural context— including community beliefs, practices, perceived needs and preferences—as well as local policies, health systems, partners and evidence for what works; (b) participatory design of solutions, addressing bottlenecks and missed opportunities, and integrating interventions into local systems, programmes and networks across the continuum of reproductive, maternal and child health and nutrition; (c) monitor progress and use data to adapt and improve the process and coordination of implementation and programme performance; and (d) spread solutions via networks and primary healthcare delivery channels. In countries where substantial progress has been made—Bangladesh (2000–2012 NMR ARR 4.3%), Malawi (4.3%), Nepal (3.8%) and Uganda (3.8%)—key success factors in achieving impact in saving newborn lives at scale have included strong national leadership and convening of partners around newborn health; effective use of global and local evidence to design and implement policies and programmes; linking community and facility-based care; balancing demand (eg, behaviour change) and supply-side interventions (eg, commodities); and accountability for results.

To accelerate global progress, countries where the burden of neonatal morbidity and mortality and stillbirths are highest must prioritise newborn health within their budgets, policies and programmes. Major emphasis needs to be placed on ensuring that all groups working in countries on women’s and children’s health and nutrition include the newborn within their programmes. Moreover, reach to the poorest and most marginalised groups must be ensured.

Finishing the unfinished agenda
To enable countries to take up these priorities for accelerating progress, national-level political priority for newborn survival and stillbirths must be secured and maintained. Priority for newborn survival among global organisations concerned with health has grown over the past five years, as evidenced by the endorsement of the WHO and UNICEF-led ENAP (box 1, figure 2). Also, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation provided nearly $500 million in grants with major neonatal health components between 2009 and August 2014, and the United States Agency for International Development has allocated $500 million for a 5-year period beginning in 2014 for its flagship programme on maternal and child health, with newborn health as a core priority.

However, high-level national political priority for newborn survival remains confined to a handful of countries, and for stillbirths is nearly non-existent. This situation stands in marked contrast to the political priority and resources many national governments provide for other health problems, including HIV/AIDS. Prospects for growth in national-level policy attention for newborn survival and stillbirths will depend on several factors, including (a) the emergence of effective national-level political champions; (b) the formation of cohesive national-level policy communities that take leadership on these issues; (c) evidence-based consensus on the set of interventions and policy alternatives needed to make progress; (d) the generation and dissemination of credible data on levels of burden and intervention uptake; (e) global agreements such that the MDGs that place pressure on countries to act and, (f) availability of resources from international donors to augment funds that national governments are willing to provide.

In order to meet the NMR targets established in the ENAP, there are 29 countries that will have to at least double their current rate of progress for NMR reduction. The ENAP provides the best opportunity yet for accelerating progress and realising these ambitious but achievable goals (box 1, figure 2). The goals and principles outlined above (figure 1), which are fundamental to the ENAP, have been adopted within A Promise Renewed, a global call to action to end preventable deaths. Newborn health and survival is also prominent in the new Global Investment Framework for Women’s and Children’s Health. In the near term, as we look beyond the MDGs to the Sustainable Development Goals, a critical step will be to incorporate outcome targets for maternal, newborn and under-five child mortality reduction, and to add stillbirths, which remain invisible on this agenda. With new targets in place, it is time to move forward with a common voice and focused actions (figure 1) to finish the unfinished agenda.
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