ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The impact of presenting problem based guidelines for children with medical problems in an accident and emergency department

K Armon, R MacFaul, P Hemingway, U Werneke, T Stephenson

Arch Dis Child 2004;**89**:159–164. doi: 10.1136/adc.2002.024406

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Dr K Armon, Norfolk and
Norwich University
Hospital, Colney Lane,
Norwich, NR4 7UY, UK;
kate.armon@nnuh.nhs.uk

Accepted 17 June 2003

Aims: To evaluate the impact of presenting problem based guidelines in managing children with either diarrhoea (with or without vomiting) or seizure (with or without fever).

Methods: This prospective observational study with an intervention was based on a paediatric accident and emergency (A&E) department in Nottingham. All patients (either GP or self referred) were acute attenders aged 0–15 years, with a medical presenting problem during 4 months in the spring of 1997 and 1999. Five hundred and thirty-one diarrhoea attendances (292 before guideline implementation and 239 after) and 411 seizure attendances (212 before guideline implementation and 199 after) were recorded. Evidence based and consensus ratified guidelines developed for the study were implemented using care pathway documentation. Process (documentation, time in the department, investigations, treatment) and outcome (admission to hospital, returns to A&E) data were collected from case notes.

Results: The percentage of children investigated with blood tests fell significantly (haematology requests in diarrhoea presentations from 11% to 4%, biochemistry in seizure presentations from 29% to 17%). Intravenous infusions in diarrhoea presenters fell (9% to 1%), and more appropriate oral fluids were used. Management time in A&E was reduced (diarrhoea presenters: median of 55–40 minutes, seizure presenters: 80–55 minutes, but remained static for other presenting problems). Marked improvements in documentation were seen. Admission rates for diarrhoea attenders increased (27% to 34%) but remained the same for seizure (69% v 73%).

Conclusions: The implementation of a presenting problem based guideline as a care pathway was associated with improvements in the quality of care by: improved documentation; reduced invasive investigations; more appropriate treatment, and reduced time spent in A&E.

Evidence based health care and clinical guidelines aim to improve effectiveness, standardise care and improve quality.^{1–2} Ideally a clinical guideline is based on strong evidence from a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials, but this is frequently not possible, especially when clinical practice is examined from the start point of presentation rather than diagnosis. Then lesser levels of evidence will have to be used. All guidelines require a trial of implementation to determine whether the expected health gains are achieved, especially those based on weaker levels of evidence. Unfortunately such a trial is often omitted. Implementation of a guideline often necessitates a change in practice on the part of the relevant health professionals that can be difficult to achieve.³ Guideline implementation literature suggests that a reminder at the time of the consultation (in particular in computer form) that is specific to the patient facilitates guideline implementation.⁴

Integrated care pathways provide such a reminder. They detail the essential steps in the care of patients with a specific clinical problem and describe the expected progress of the patient.^{5–7} They are most frequently seen in surgical specialities for procedure based practice. Care pathways (rather than guidelines) that are based on a “presenting problem” as opposed to a specified diagnosis have not to our knowledge been previously described.

We have developed evidence based guidelines for three of the most common presenting problems in children, breathing difficulty (in press), diarrhoea,⁸ and seizure⁹ (all available at the PIER website: www.pier.shef.ac.uk). Previous work has shown that only five acute presentations in children cover 80% of the total acute medical presentations, and include

diarrhoea (16%) and seizure (5%).¹⁰ These are comparable to those from other health care settings. In this paper we report results of a study conducted before and after implementation of two of these guidelines, namely management of children presenting with either diarrhoea with or without vomiting or seizure with or without fever. These presentations were selected because hospital admissions for gastroenteritis are at a high level and are rising¹¹ and the management of children presenting with seizure associated with fever varies between hospitals, in investigations undertaken, medication, and admission.¹²

This large-scale field study aimed to determine the impact of guidelines on the management of children with respect to process (documentation in the medical record, time in the department, investigations, and treatment) and outcome of care (admission to hospital and returns to the A&E department with the same complaint) using care pathways as an implementation tool. Throughout, the word “admit” is defined as: any admission to a paediatric facility with paediatric trained staff for observation, further investigation and management regardless of the expected length of stay.

Abbreviations: A&E, accident and emergency; CRP, C-reactive protein; EEG, electroencephalogram; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; IQR, interquartile range; IVI, intravenous infusion; LP, lumbar puncture; MWU, Mann–Whitney U test; ORS, oral rehydration solution; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; post GI, after guideline implementation; pre GI, before guideline implementation; SHO, senior house officer; U&E, urea and electrolytes

METHODS

Setting

The research was conducted in the paediatric accident and emergency department at Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham which is the only A&E department serving the whole of the population of the city of Nottingham and the surrounding area (approximately 745 000, of which children under 15 years make up approximately 136 000).

All acute child attenders aged 0–15 years, whether self or GP referred, are seen within the paediatric A&E department (40 000 new attenders per year). On average, half of the senior house officers (SHOs) working in the department have had previous paediatric experience. The majority of nursing staff are registered children's nurses. Children were admitted from the A&E department to a short stay admissions ward or a general paediatric ward.

Care pathway development

Care pathways incorporating the recommendations of the guidelines were developed and piloted in consultation with A&E and ward nursing staff and medical staff (between February 1999 and April 1999). The pathways contained boxed areas for the initial recording of observations and historical and examination findings, so that important symptoms and signs could be documented. The clinician is prompted for a diagnosis and directed to management sections depending on the findings. For example, in the diarrhoea care pathway, once acute gastroenteritis has been diagnosed, there are different sections for the management of children with "severe", "mild-moderate" or "no" signs of dehydration, each incorporating the relevant part of the guideline algorithm. The "severe" section includes spaces for the recording of the resuscitation fluid volume, subsequent vital signs, further fluids given, investigations done, and admission instructions. A section for recording any management that differed from that recommended (variance) was included. The documentation is available from the authors.

Implementation

Medical and nursing staff were taught how to use the care pathways in small groups by the first author over a period of a week. The nursing staff at triage identified children presenting with diarrhoea or seizure and care pathways were allocated. Laminated copies of the guideline algorithms were placed with the patient documentation. Copies of the full guidelines were available.

Power

The preimplementation admission rate for children presenting with seizure was 68%. We determined that a 20% fall in admissions would be of clinical significance. In order to show a 20% fall in admissions at a power of 80% with a 0.05% significance level, the total number required was 400¹³ (200 before and 200 after guideline implementation). It was known that 200 children were likely to attend with seizure in a 4 month period from previous data. Similar calculations were made for diarrhoea.

Data collection

Children with a medical problem presenting to A&E for a 4 month period preimplementation (April to July 1997) and postimplementation (May to August 1999) were identified prospectively. Data was collected from two sources, the patient administration system and a form completed by the attending doctor, and included: date and time of attendance; presenting problem category; length of stay in the department, and destination on leaving A&E. Two hundred seizure attendees and 300 diarrhoea attendees (divided equally between pre- and postimplementation) were selected for

more detailed data collection using a stratified random sample method, based on destination at discharge from A&E. The validity of the complete data set was checked using these case notes and an error rate of 0.3% was found. Data were analysed using the χ^2 and Mann-Whitney U tests as appropriate, with the help of SPSS® version 8.0 for windows.

RESULTS

Medical attendance data 1997 compared with 1999 (excluding diarrhoea or seizure presentations)

Changes that occurred between 1997 and 1999 for all medical attenders (excluding those with diarrhoea or seizure) were analysed. The total number of attenders rose by 13% (table 1) and there was a rise in the proportion of all medical attenders admitted to hospital between 1997 (26%) and 1999 (30.1%) ($\chi^2 = 8, 1 \text{ df}, p = 0.005$) with relative risk for admission of 1.1 (95% CI 1.0 to 1.24). There was no difference in the total time spent in the department.

Attenders with diarrhoea or seizure presentation pre and post implementation Numbers

Over the two study periods there were 531 attendances in 502 children with diarrhoea, 292 before guideline implementation in 1997 and 239 after implementation in 1999. For seizure there were 411 attendances in 398 children, 212 in 1997 and 199 in 1999 (table 2). There were no differences in the age profiles and sex of seizure or diarrhoea attenders before and after guideline implementation.

Time of attendance

There were no differences in the times of attendance, examination and departure nor in the proportion with severe dehydration (for diarrhoea attenders). However the time taken for treatment, and time spent in the department, for both presentations, were significantly reduced in the group after guideline implementation (for example the median treatment time for seizure was reduced by 25 minutes) (table 3).

Admission

Data on destination was not available for nine diarrhoea attenders and six seizure attenders. For children attending with seizure there was no change in the proportion of children admitted to hospital following implementation of the guideline (table 4). This was in contrast to the rise in the proportion admitted of other medical attenders, including those presenting with diarrhoea. The relative risk of admission with diarrhoea after guideline implementation was 1.3 (95% CI 1.25 to 1.35), marginally greater than the increased risk of admission for other medical attenders (see above).

Table 1 Medical attendance data 1997 compared with 1999 (excluding diarrhoea or seizure presentations)

	1997 (May to August)	1999 (May to August)	p value
Total numbers attending	1600	1834	–
Self referrals	992 (62%)	1300 (71%)	<0.001
GP referrals	352 (22%)	327 (19%)	<0.001
Males	864 (54%)	1006 (55%)	–
Age (yr)			
0–4	1008 (63%)	1198 (65%)	–
5–10	382 (24%)	403 (22%)	–
11–15	210 (13%)	233 (13%)	–
Admitted to hospital	433 (27%)	553 (30%)	<0.01
Mean total time in department (min)	97	94	0.8

Table 2 Diarrhoea and seizure attenders before and after guideline implementation

	Diarrhoea attenders			Seizure attenders		
	Pre GI (%)	Post GI (%)	p value	Pre GI (%)	Post GI (%)	p value
Attendances (no.)	292	239	–	212	199	–
Males	139 (48%)	126 (53%)	0.2	102 (48%)	112 (56%)	0.1
Age (yr)						
0–4	240 (82%)	192 (80%)	MWU0.7	137 (65%)	133 (67%)	MWU0.9
5–10	36 (12%)	39 (16%)	–	50 (23%)	48 (24%)	–
11–15	16 (5%)	8 (3%)	–	25 (12%)	18 (9%)	–
Self referral	138 (47%)	158 (66%)	<0.001	88 (42%)	137 (69%)	<0.001

Mean age of attenders: with diarrhoea 2.4 years; with seizure 4.2 years.

MWU, Mann-Whitney U; pre GI, before guideline implementation; post GI, after guideline implementation.

Reattendance (returns)

Any reattendance within 1 week with the same problem was classified as a return.

- **Diarrhoea.** Of the 271 children who attended with diarrhoea before guideline implementation, 19 returned once and one returned twice (hence total attendances in 271 children = 292). Of the 231 children seen with diarrhoea after implementation, eight returned once (hence total = 239). This fall in children reattending with diarrhoea from 20 of 271 (7%) to eight of 231 (3%) was not statistically significant ($p = 0.07$).
- **Seizure.** There was no difference in returns for seizure following implementation, five of 207 (2.4%) returned once before guideline implementation and six of 191 (3%) returned once and one returned twice after guideline implementation. The returns included older children with known afebrile seizures (two in 1997, four in 1999) and children with febrile seizure (three in 1997 and 1999). The children reattending after implementation had all been managed appropriately according to guideline recommendations.

Additional data on selected cases compared to all cases

The selected cases were representative of all attendances with the same presenting problem with respect to age, sex, referral source, time of arrival, and times spent in the department.

Selected cases before and after guideline implementation

Recording of clinical assessment

There was a significant improvement in completion of clinical details in the record following the implementation of the guideline (see table 5 for diarrhoea and table 6 for seizure). The proportion of children attending with seizure and fever was the same, but the presumed source was documented in

significantly more after guideline implementation (27% *v* 48%). Whilst the estimated level of dehydration was more frequently recorded in those presenting with diarrhoea after guideline implementation, there were similar proportions in each level (table 7), indicating similar severity.

Investigations and management

Diarrhoea

Intravenous infusions were recommended in the guideline only in severe dehydration, and measurement of blood urea and electrolyte only if an intravenous infusion (IVI) was to be used. After guideline implementation significantly fewer children had blood tests for full blood count, urea, and electrolytes, and fewer children had a cannula inserted and IVI commenced (table 5). The guideline states that oral rehydration solution (ORS) should only be used in a dehydrated child, and more appropriate fluids after guideline implementation were given for those with no dehydration ($p < 0.001$) (table 8).

The guideline suggests that those with mild/moderate dehydration should be admitted for rehydration. When destination was stratified for level of dehydration, 18 of 19 (95%) of those deemed mildly/moderately dehydrated were admitted for observation after guideline implementation compared with 14 of 24 (58%) before guideline implementation.

Seizure

Fewer children were seizing on arrival before guideline implementation (14 *v* 3) and fewer had a prolonged seizure (23 *v* 10). Of 200 seizure presentations, 122 (61%) were with a fever at the time. The guideline states that investigations should be directed at determining the source of fever or cause of seizure and not be performed routinely without indication. There was a significant reduction in numbers of children having measurement of blood calcium, urea, and electrolytes (table 6). None of the children investigated for calcium, magnesium, urea, and electrolytes had abnormal results. Of

Table 3 Times (in minutes) spent in the department for diarrhoea and seizure attenders, before and after guideline implementation

	Pre GI		Post GI		Mann-Whitney U test p value
	Med	IQR	Med	IQR	
Diarrhoea					
Wait	29	13–60	30	15–50	0.5
Treat	55	29–96	40	20–70	<0.001
Total	102	61–157	78	52–108	<0.001
Seizure					
Wait	16	3–35	22	4–44	0.1
Treat	80	45–125	55	30–90	<0.001
Total	104	67–156	85	51–125	<0.001

IQR, interquartile range; Med, median.

Table 4 Destination on discharge for diarrhoea and seizure attendees before and after guideline implementation

Disposal groups	Pre GI (valid %)	Post GI (valid %)	Total
Diarrhoea			
Discharged	207 (73%)	154 (64.7%)	361
Outpatients	2 (0.7%)	2 (0.8%)	4
Inpatient	75 (26.4%)	82 (34.4%)	157
Missing	8 (2.8%)	1 (0.4%)	9
Total	292	239	531
Seizure			
Discharged	56 (16.8%)	39 (19.8%)	95
Outpatients	9 (4.3%)	13 (6.6%)	22
Inpatient	144 (69%)	144 (73%)	288
Missing	3 (0.9%)	3 (1.2%)	6
Total	212	199	411

the 122 children presenting with seizure and fever, five underwent a lumbar-puncture (LP), two before and three after guideline implementation. All LPs yielded negative results. All five were in infants less than 18 months old, of whom two had complex febrile seizures.

Deviations from the guideline

In four cases of children presenting with diarrhoea after guideline implementation, variances to the recommended course of action were noted and justified by the clinician. While extracting the data from the clinical records three further variances were noted which had not been recorded by the clinician—all involved treatment with ORS for children without documented dehydration. For seizure, two children had documented variances and three were found at data extraction: one for whom no outpatient appointment had been made following a first afebrile seizure; two were admitted who did not fit the criteria for admission.

DISCUSSION

The impact of guideline recommendations are rarely assessed. This study group aimed to assess the guidelines it developed with a prospective observational study with an intervention. While a randomised controlled trial on guideline implementation might produce stronger, more robust

conclusions, a valid control group is difficult to accomplish. The nature of an algorithmic guideline is to teach clinicians a “map” for management which will be recalled for subsequent patients and thus influence care regardless of whether they are randomised to the guideline with care pathway documentation or not. Within the context of evaluating primary care interventions, when one practice can be compared with another, well controlled studies appear to show less of an impact than poorly controlled or uncontrolled studies.¹⁴ We have attempted to assess the impact of an intervention using before and after data. Such data will be influenced by other changes that inevitably take place in a clinical setting and which are outside the control of the researchers. This is exemplified by the increase in medical attenders of 13% between 1997 and 1999, in keeping with national trends.¹⁵ For two of the outcome measures the “control group” in this study were the medical children who attended with other conditions. Their rate of admission rose and time in A&E remained the same, unlike the intervention group. We speculate that the process for decision making may be streamlined by the availability of a structured guideline, thus reducing the time in the department.

Readers will note the increase in self referrals for all attenders (table 1, 62% to 71%) and diarrhoea and seizure attenders (table 2, 47% to 66% and 42% to 69%, respectively).

Table 5 Clinical features of children attending with diarrhoea before and after guideline implementation (n = 300, 150 before and 150 after implementation)

Clinical features	Pre GI	Post GI	p value
Recording of history findings			
Stool frequency	146 (54%)	117 (78%)	<0.05
Vomit frequency	76 (51%)	112 (75%)	<0.05
Blood in stool	69 (46%)	102 (68%)	<0.001
Contact with others	24 (16%)	79 (53%)	<0.001
Foreign travel	21 (14%)	74 (49%)	<0.001
Recording of examination findings			
Skin turgor	37 (25%)	67 (45%)	<0.001
Mucous membranes	52 (35%)	76 (51%)	<0.05
Sunken eyes	16 (11%)	63 (42%)	<0.001
State of arousal	67 (45%)	99 (66%)	<0.001
Pulse	101 (67%)	109 (73%)	0.3
Respiratory rate	61 (41%)	72 (48%)	0.2
Blood pressure	8 (5%)	13 (9%)	0.2
Investigations performed			
U&E	18 (12%)	7 (5%)	0.02
Full blood count	17 (11%)	6 (4%)	0.02
Blood culture	6 (4%)	2 (1%)	0.1
Urine for culture	36 (24%)	24 (16%)	0.08
Stool culture	48 (32%)	37 (25%)	0.1
Management			
Canula	13 (10%)	2 (1%)	0.002
Infusion	11 (8%)	2 (1%)	0.008

U&E, urea and electrolytes.

Table 6 Clinical features of children attending with seizure before and after guideline implementation

Clinical features	Pre GI (n = 100)	Post GI (n = 100)	p value
Recording of history findings			
Drowsy before seizure	7	7	1
Pretreatment with antibiotics	7	8	0.8
Previous seizure	46	41	0.5
On anticonvulsant medication	15	6	0.1
Recording of examination findings			
Seizing on arrival	14	3	0.006
Seizure and fever	56	66	0.2
Of which documented focus	15 (27%)	32 (48%)	0.003
Drowsy >1 hour after seizure	7	4	0.3
Blood pressure recorded	24	22	0.7
Recording of complex seizures			
>1 seizure in 24 hours	16	10	0.2
Focal seizure	9	3	0.07
Prolonged seizure	23	10	0.008
Investigations performed			
Blood glucose (finger prick)	63	61	0.8
U&E	29	17	0.04
Calcium	23	10	0.01
Magnesium	19	10	0.07
Full blood count	26	20	0.3
Blood culture	19	14	0.3
Urine for culture	43	51	0.3
CRP or ESR	7	9	0.6
Stool culture	3	6	0.3
Chest x ray	6	2	0.1
PCR	1	1	1
Lumbar puncture	2	3	1
EEG ordered following the attendance	2	6	0.1
Coagulation	3	0	0.2
Throat swab	3	4	1
Anticonvulsant level	3	0	0.1
Immediate management			
Numbers given diazepam	14	9	0.3
Of which diazepam given before hospital attendance	4/14 (29%)	6/9 (67%)	0.1

CRP, C-reactive protein; EEG, electroencephalogram; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.

P value as measured by Chi-square or Fishers exact test if expected counts in cells were less than 5.

Changes in health service delivery between the two time periods included the introduction of NHS Direct and a GP cooperative "out of hours" service. More children arrived seizing in 1997 (14) compared with 1999 (three), and there were more prolonged convulsions in 1997 (23 v 10). This may reflect a change in the use of rectal diazepam, which is increasingly given by the ambulance service and by parents. The numbers who had been given diazepam before attending hospital increased in 1999 (4/14, 29% pre- and 6/9, 67% post-guideline). Although the numbers involved were small, data were reanalysed after removing those with prolonged seizures, but there were no changes in the findings.

The observed rise in proportion of diarrhoea attenders admitted following implementation of the guideline may have several explanations. It is possible that some children prior to implementation were being sent home inappropriately. The frequency of returns fell after implementation, though not significantly, and a short admission may have influenced this. The guideline may also have helped doctors to recognise signs or risks of dehydration. Children admitted with diarrhoea after implementation went to a recently opened short stay ward, where the length of stay was significantly reduced compared to previous practice (the percentage of children staying more than 24 hours with seizure, gastroenteritis, asthma, and ingestion fell from 45% to 21%). For all children presenting with a medical problem,

Table 7 Estimated levels of dehydration reported in diarrhoea attendees before and after guideline implementation

Level of dehydration	Pre GI (valid%)	Post GI (valid%)	Total
None	90 (62%)	100 (70%)	190
Mild/moderate	24 (16.6%)	20 (14%)	44
Severe	0	1	1
Not recorded	31 (21.4%)	22 (15%)	53
Total	145	143	288

a 14% rise in hospital admissions was observed between 1997 and 1999, in keeping with reported national trends.¹⁶ There was no such increase in admissions for those children presenting with seizure, and returns remained static.

Both care pathways led to marked improvements in documentation. Important features of the history and the examination were more frequently recorded (for example, recording of stool frequency rose from 54% before guideline implementation to 78%, noting skin turgor rose from 25% to 45%, and documenting the focus of infection in febrile seizure rose from 27% to 48%). This is a consequence of a more systematic approach to record keeping, and an increased awareness of key features of the history and examination. These are especially important in view of the more frequent handover between juniors and in the interests of patient care.

There was a trend for all investigations to fall following guideline implementation, and this was statistically significant for several invasive tests. Only two children with diarrhoea after guideline implementation were given an IVI, one of whom was classified as severely dehydrated. This is in stark contrast to data before implementation where 11 children had an IVI, none of whom were classified as severely dehydrated. Whilst the level of dehydration was poorly recorded (particularly before implementation), it had been documented in these 11 children, suggesting that these data were missing in those with milder disease. It is notable that this rate of IVI for gastroenteritis was already low compared to other hospitals (for example, Pinderfields District General Hospital had a 34% IVI rate for gastroenteritis admissions in 2001). IVIs carry higher risk than oral rehydration¹⁷⁻¹⁹ and therefore quality of care was improved both by reducing potentially distressing venepuncture and by decreased risk exposure.

Marked improvements in appropriate fluid management for the level of dehydration were observed for diarrhoea

Table 8 Type of fluid advised in diarrhoea attendees before and after guideline implementation

Fluid	Pre GI (% within each dehydration category)	Post GI (% within each dehydration category)	Total
No dehydration			
ORS	32 (42%)	12 (14%)	44
Free fluids	28 (37%)	49 (57%)	77
Not recorded	16 (21%)	25 (29%)	41
Total	76	86	162
Mild/moderate dehydration			
ORS	12 (52%)	12 (67%)	24
Free fluids	9 (39%)	6 (33%)	15
Not recorded	2 (9%)	0	2
Total	23	18	41

presenters. Fluid management recommendations were based on level 1 evidence, where clear benefits for patients had been demonstrated. By following this recommendation a quality improvement has been achieved, even though our outcome measures may not be subtle enough to demonstrate this.

Demonstrating improvements in outcome of care following implementation of guidelines is difficult. Some measure of the quality of care can be obtained by studying the process. In our study the process of care improved in many areas: fewer children had invasive and potentially distressing investigations and treatment; management was more appropriate for the clinical findings; better records were kept; children spent less time in the A&E department; there were fewer return visits and though a higher proportion of children were admitted in the case of diarrhoea, this was to a ward for observation and education where duration of stay was shorter. We recommend to other hospitals the use of these guidelines, following appropriate tailoring for local practice.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all the staff in paediatric accident and emergency and paediatric wards, Nottingham.

Authors' affiliations

K Armon, Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital, Norwich, UK
R MacFaul, Pinderfields General Hospital, Wakefield, UK
P Hemingway, Academic Division of Child Health, School of Human Development, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK
U Werneke, Institute of Psychiatry, Maudsley Hospital, London, UK
T Stephenson, Academic Division of Child Health, School of Human Development, University of Nottingham

Funding: Children Nationwide Medical Research

Conflict of interest: None

REFERENCES

- 1 **Sally G**, Donaldson L. Clinical governance and the drive for quality improvement in the new NHS in England. *BMJ* 1998;**317**:61–5.
- 2 **Hutchinson A**, Baker R. *Making use of guidelines in clinical practice*. 1st ed. Abingdon: Radcliffe Medical Press, 1999.
- 3 **Lomas J**. Retailing research: increasing the role of evidence in clinical services for child birth. *Milbank Q* 1993;**71**:439–75.
- 4 **Campbell H**, Hotchkiss R, Bradshaw N, et al. Integrated care pathways. *BMJ* 1998;**316**:133–7.
- 5 **Johnson S**. *Pathways of care*. 1st ed. Oxford: Blackwell Science, 1997.
- 6 **Wilson J**. *Integrated care management*. 1st ed. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann, 1997.
- 7 **Kitchiner D**, Bundred P. Integrated care pathways. *Arch Dis Child* 1996;**75**:166–8.
- 8 **Armon K**, Stephenson T, MacFaul R, et al. An evidence and consensus based guideline for acute diarrhoea management. *Arch Dis Child* 2001;**85**:132–42.
- 9 **Armon K**, Stephenson T, MacFaul R, et al. An evidence and consensus based guideline for the management of a child after a seizure. *Emerg Med J* 2003;**20**:13–20.
- 10 **Armon K**, Stephenson T, Gabriel V, et al. Determining the common medical presenting problems to an accident and emergency department. *Arch Dis Child* 2001;**84**:390–92.
- 11 **Djuretic T**, Ryan M, Wall P. The cost of inpatient care for acute infectious intestinal disease in England from 1991 to 1994. *Commun Dis Rep* 1996;**6**:R78–80.
- 12 **Sweeney A**, Gibbs J, Monteil F, et al. The management of febrile seizures in the Mersey Region. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 1996;**38**:578–84.
- 13 **Altman D**. *Practical statistics for medical research*. London: Chapman and Hall, 1991:455–60.
- 14 **Soumerai SB**, McLaughlin TJ, Avorn J. Improving drug prescribing in primary care: a critical analysis of the experimental literature. *Milbank Q* 1989;**67**:268–317.
- 15 **Audit Commission**. By accident or design. *Improving accident and emergency services in England and Wales*. London: HMSO, 1996.
- 16 **MacFaul R**, Glass EJ, Jones S. Appropriateness of paediatric admission. *Arch Dis Child* 1994;**71**:50–8.
- 17 **Sharifi J**, Ghavami F, Nowrouzi Z, et al. Oral versus intravenous rehydration therapy in severe gastroenteritis. *Arch Dis Child* 1985;**60**:856–60.
- 18 **Vesikari T**, Isolauri E, Boer M. A comparative trial of rapid oral and intravenous rehydration in acute diarrhea. *Acta Paediatr Scand* 1987;**76**:300–5.
- 19 **MacKenzie A**, Barnes G. Randomised controlled trial comparing oral and intravenous rehydration therapy in children with diarrhea. *BMJ* 1991;**303**:393–6.