SHORT REPORT

The management of fever and petechiae: making sense of rash decisions

P A Brogan, A Raffles

Abstract

In a retrospective and prospective audit of 55 children presenting to the paediatric assessment unit of a district general hospital with fever and petechial rash, 9% had significant bacterial sepsis. The “ILL criteria” (irritability, lethargy, low capillary refill) for the management of children with fever and petechiae are proposed.

(Arch Dis Child 2000;83:506–507)

Keywords: fever; petechial rash; bacterial sepsis; risk factor; meningococcaemia

Not all children with fever and petechiae have invasive bacteraemia—in fact the minority do.^{1–3} Currently there are no guidelines concerning the management of this patient group, and the tendency is for these children to default into treatment regimens for meningococcaemia.

The aims of this study were to identify risk factors predictive of significant bacterial sepsis (SBS) in children with fever and petechiae, and to establish a set of clinical guidelines to aid the management of this patient group.

Methods

Retrospective and prospective audit of referrals to the Paediatric Assessment Unit at The Queen Elizabeth II Hospital, Welwyn Garden City was performed. Patients with peripheral temperature above 37.4°C, and who had petechial rash (pinpoint bruising of the skin <2 mm) were eligible for inclusion in the audit. Proposed risk factors for the prediction of SBS were shock (capillary refill time greater than two seconds and/or hypotension); irritability¹ (inconsolable crying or screaming); lethargy¹ (as determined subjectively by the carer, nurse,

or medical staff); abnormality of the peripheral blood white cell count (WCC)^{4,5} (total WCC outside the range 5–15 × 10⁹/l); elevation of C reactive protein⁶ (CRP greater than 5 mg/l).

A “well” patient was defined as a patient who did not manifest any of the proposed risk factors for SBS. An “unwell” patient was defined as a patient manifesting one or more risk factors for SBS. Culture negative sepsis was defined as patients who appeared clinically toxic, but in whom no organism was isolated.

Results

Fifty five patients (median age 2.52 years, range 0.22–15.82) satisfying entry criteria presented during the audit periods (November 1997 through April 1998; July 1998 through January 1999). Five of these patients (9%) had SBS (table 1). Figure 1 shows overall diagnoses.

The performance of the combined risk factors as a screening test for the prediction of SBS based only on those patients who had blood cultures performed (n = 33) were as follows: sensitivity 100% (95% confidence interval (CI), 48–100%); specificity 57% (37–76%); positive predictive value 29% (14–45%); negative predictive value 100% (79–100%); pretest number needed to treat (NNT) 6.7; post-positive test NNT 3.3.

The results based on all patients (n = 55) assuming that those patients who did not have blood cultures performed did not have SBS (no patient died and no patient returned to hospital) were: sensitivity 100% (95% CI, 48–100%); specificity 60% (45–74%); positive predictive value 20% (91–31%); negative predictive value 100% (88–100%); pretest NNT 11.1; post-positive test NNT 5.

Department of Paediatrics, QEII Hospital, Howlands, Welwyn Garden City, Herts, UK
P A Brogan
A Raffles

Correspondence to:
Dr P A Brogan, Department of Nephrourology, Institute of Child Health, Level 2, 30 Guilford St, London WC1N 1EH, UK
Pbrogan@ich.ucl.ac.uk

Accepted 17 July 2000

Table 1 Clinical and laboratory features of patients identified with significant bacteraemia

Age (y)	Sex	Month of presentation	Clinical features	Rash	Temp.	WCC (× 10 ⁹ /l)	CRP (mg/l)	Organism isolated	Method of detection
13.4	F	February	Toxic and shocked	Purpuric (initially petechial)	38°C	5.3	79	<i>N. meningitidis</i>	+ blood culture; + rapid Ag
12.8	M	February	Toxic and meningism, received IM BP	Petechial	40°C	24.5	302	Group B streptococcus	+ rapid Ag; – blood culture (post IM BP)
1.46	M	August	Not toxic	Petechial	40.4 °C	22.7	50	<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	+ blood culture
12.9	M	January	Toxic	Petechial	38.9 °C	16.8	277	<i>N. meningitidis</i> type C	+ PCR; + blood culture
1.52	F	January	Toxic	Purpuric (initially petechial)	40.4 °C	15.2	45	<i>N. meningitidis</i> type B	+ PCR; – blood culture

IM BP, intramuscular benzylpenicillin; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; +, positive; –, negative; Temp., temperature; Ag, antigen; WCC, white cell count; CRP, c-reactive protein.

Figure 1 Overall diagnoses ($n = 55$). HSP, Henoch–Schönlein purpura; ITP, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura; URTI, upper respiratory tract infection.

Discussion

The combined risk factors performed as a highly sensitive screening test for SBS in this patient group. In terms of specificity, the combined risk factors performed less well. Nonetheless, only five children would receive unnecessary treatment for every one child treated for invasive bacteraemia if the proposed risk criteria were used to select those patients for treatment.

Not all units have access to out of hours/weekend CRP results. All of the patients with SBS in our series would have been identified using the combined risk factors excluding CRP, as the presence of any one risk factor defined a child as “unwell”, and all the septic patients had at least one risk factor other than elevation of CRP. No attempt has been made to assess the screening test characteristics of any single risk factor taken in isolation—this would require further validation in a larger cohort of patients. Nonetheless, exclusion of the CRP result could conceivably result in some loss of sensitivity of the screening test as a whole, as this risk factor was the only one present in all our patients with SBS.

A previous study examining variation in serum CRP in 124 cases of paediatric meningococcal disease showed lower CRP concentrations at presentation in meningococcal septicaemia than meningococcal meningitis.⁶ Although the median CRP at initial presentation in those with meningococcal septicaemia was 42.6 mg/l, eight children, five of whom had symptoms of less than 12 hours duration, had CRP concentrations on admission that were less than 20 mg/l. These data suggest that over reliance on serum CRP in isolation for the detection of early meningococcaemia should not be recommended.

Similar caution should be exercised regarding the WCC taken in isolation as a means of predicting meningococcal sepsis. Kuppermann *et al* suggested that the full blood count is not routinely helpful for the diagnosis of meningococcal disease in febrile children, although only

one patient of 45 in this retrospective series with “unsuspected meningococcal disease” had petechial rash at presentation.⁷ Pollard *et al* also suggested that reliance on the WCC as an indicator of meningococcal disease is dangerous, based on the finding that of 128 children with meningococcal sepsis admitted to a single unit, 71% had a count less than $15 \times 10^9/l$.⁸

One important anxiety is the rapidly fulminating course with which invasive meningococcaemia can present. A possible way to overcome this problem is to incorporate a period of observation into any algorithm for patient management, such that any well child with fever and petechiae would be observed for a minimum of four hours and then reassessed prior to discharge.

In conclusion, we propose a new clinical guideline for the management of children presenting with fever and petechiae. We have named the risk factors for SBS the “ILL” criteria as an *aide-memoire*: irritability, lethargy, low capillary refill and also WCC outside the range $5\text{--}15 \times 10^9/l$, and CRP greater than 5 mg/l. We propose that children with fever and petechial rash who have none of the “ILL” criteria and normal WCC and CRP could be observed for a minimum of four hours and reassessed. If no deterioration occurred then outpatient or ambulatory care would be recommended. Children who were not “ILL” but had abnormality of the WCC and/or CRP could be treated for meningococcal disease with a minimum of 48 hours antibiotics pending blood cultures, with notification of “suspected” meningococcal disease, and consideration of rifampicin prophylaxis to family members. Lastly, those children who were “ILL” as defined by the presence of one or more of the proposed criteria, and who had abnormality of the WCC and/or CRP would be treated as meningococcal sepsis, with consideration of early intensive care referral.

We suggest that more studies will be required to validate this guideline; however, we believe that it could prove helpful in the management of this patient group.

- Mandl KD, Stack AM, Fleisher GR. Incidence of bacteraemia in infants and children with fever and petechiae. *J Pediatr* 1997;131:389–404.
- Baker RC, Seguin JH, Leslie N, Gilchrist MJR, Myers G. Fever and petechiae in children. *Pediatrics* 1989;84:1051–5.
- Nguyen QV, Nguyen EA, Weiner LB. Incidence of invasive bacterial disease in children with fever and petechiae. *Pediatrics* 1984;74:77–80.
- Dagan R, Powell KR, Hall CB, Menegus MA. Identification of infants unlikely to have serious bacterial infection although hospitalised for suspected sepsis. *J Pediatr* 1985;107:855–60.
- McCarthy CA, Powell KR, Jaskiewicz JA, *et al*. Outpatient management of selected infants younger than 2 months of age evaluated for possible sepsis. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1990;9:385–9.
- Marzouk O, Bestwick K, Thomson AP, Sills JA, Hart CA. Variation in serum C-reactive protein across the clinical spectrum of meningococcal disease. *Acta Paediatr* 1993;82:729–33.
- Kuppermann N, Malley R, Inkelis SH, Fleisher GR. Clinical and hematologic features do not reliably identify children with unsuspected meningococcal disease. *Pediatrics* 1999;103:E20.
- Pollard AJ, DeMunter C, Nadel S, Levin M. Abandoning empirical antibiotics for febrile children. *Lancet* 1997;350:811–12.