children. 'Backlash' has influenced American paediatricians' willingness to report abuse. More accurate recording of findings including photographs will help.

- 1 Hobbs CJ, Wynne JM, Thomas AJ. Colposcopic genital findings in prepubertal girls assessed for sexual abuse. *Arch Dis Child* 1995; 73: 465-71.
- 2 Adams JA, Harper K, Knudsen S, Revilla J. Examination findings in legally confirmed child sexual abuse. It's normal to be normal. *Pediatrics* 1994; 94: 310-7.
- 3 McCann J, Wells R, Simon M, Voris J. Genital findings in prepubertal girls selected for non abuse: a descriptive study. *Pediatrics* 1990; 86: 428-39.
- 4 Berenson AB, Hegor AH, Hayes JM, Bailey RK, Emans SJ. Appearance of the hymen in prepubertal girls. *Pediatrics* 1992; 89: 387-94.
- 5 Lillibridge C, Kappes B. Quantitative observations of hymens in prepubescent females selected for non-abuse. *Alaska Med* 1993; 35: 160-7.
- 6 Berenson AB. A longitudinal study of hymenal morphology in the first 3 years of life. *Pediatrics* 1995; 95: 490-6.
- 7 Hobbs CJ, Wynne JM. *Physical signs of child abuse. A colour atlas*. London: WB Saunders, 1996.
- 8 Royal College of Physicians. *Physical signs of sexual abuse in children*. A report of the Royal College of Physicians. London: Royal College of Physicians, 1991.

A new clinical sign in Williams syndrome

EDITOR,—Williams syndrome is a well recognised condition with typical facies, supravalvular aortic stenosis, mental retardation, and a characteristic personality.¹ In a large series (n=235) 96% of patients demonstrated a deletion of the elastin gene from the long arm of chromosome 7.² Strabismus is common in Williams syndrome³ and this may contribute to subnormal binocular vision and reduced stereopsis. In a recent study of 28 patients with typical features and deletion of the elastin gene an interesting sign was noted. On further inquiry it was found to have been present in 30% (n=9) of cases. The observation is that as children they have a great reluctance in changing the surface on which they are walking or playing. A typical example would be going from a tiled to a carpeted surface. The child would stop at the interface and refuse to proceed. They may then feel out the new surface with either a probing foot or in some cases descend to all fours to confirm the suitability of the next surface. The process of transfer may take several minutes. Parents describe this observation in both indoor and outdoor settings. It would seem that there is a problem in determining depth perception when there is either a new pattern or colour to the surface. A reluctance to proceed may reflect a fear of falling to the next surface. Similar difficulties are experienced in attempts to descend stairs. Another interesting observation in this group is an intense dislike by these children of sandy surfaces. This is most likely an extension of the previous observation in that this surface is undulating, has a variable visual pattern, and conveys a sinking feeling that contributes to the uncertainty of the surface. Several of the children experienced great distress when faced with this circumstance. As the children grow older the problem diminishes and most have fewer concerns in changing surfaces by 8 years of age.

This clinical sign has not been described previously in this group and I am unaware of any other paediatric group who demonstrate a similar sign. I would be most pleased to hear from any other groups who may have made similar observations.

STEPHEN WITHERS
Queensland Clinical Genetics Service,
Royal Children's Hospital, Brisbane,
Herston Road, Herston,
Queensland 4029,
Australia

- 1 Morris CA, Demsey SA, Leonard CO, Dilts C, Blackburn BL. Natural history of Williams syndrome: physical characteristics. *J Pediatr* 1988; 113: 318-26.
- 2 Lowery MC, Morris CA, Ewart A, et al. Strong correlation of elastin deletions, detected by FISH, with Williams syndrome: evaluation of 235 patients. *Am J Hum Genet* 1995; 57: 49-53.
- 3 Kapp ME, von Noorden GK, Jenkins R. Strabismus in Williams syndrome. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1995; 119: 355-60.

Calculation of the need for paediatric intensive care beds

EDITOR,—While we support efforts to correct the country's deficiencies in paediatric intensive care and we applaud Milne and Whitty's academic approach to the issue, we feel compelled to comment on their paper.¹

The use of a model that matches patterns of use of intensive care in a fragmented or decentralised intensive care delivery system cannot help determine the true bed requirement of a centralised system. Because the authors fail to acknowledge the improved efficiency of larger intensive care units in terms of duration of admission, their model overestimates the numbers of beds required by a given population under such circumstances.

Most importantly we should emphasise that measures of the efficiency of paediatric intensive care are not restricted to economics or length of stay. The evidence that centralised paediatric intensive care facilities decrease mortality is very convincing. In the UK we have collectively failed to adequately recognise and address these issues, despite the BPA report and its reviews (referenced in the article). We therefore have to accept the risk of morbid and mortal consequences.

G A PEARSON
CHARLES RALSTON
Paediatric Intensive Care Unit,
Birmingham Children's Hospital,
Ladywood Middlesway,
Ladywood, Birmingham B16 8ET

Drs Milne and Whitty comment:

The purpose of our paper was to draw attention to the striking similarity between estimates of paediatric intensive care bed need made by different authors working in different health care systems, with different population sizes, and one would assume, with different levels of efficiency. We would certainly not conclude from our data that we had identified the correct level of paediatric intensive care provision, but have rather sought to identify a currency with which debate can properly take place. The comments of Drs Pearson and Ralston on the efficiency of larger intensive care units reflect the views of Shann cited in the discussion of our paper. The importance of intensive care in reducing mortality and morbidity is one that we would not dispute, but again this was not the focus of our article.

- 1 Milne E, Whitty P. Calculation of the need for paediatric intensive care beds. *Arch Dis Child* 1995; 73: 505-7.

22q11 deletion: a cause of asymmetric crying facies

EDITOR,—We agree with Hamish *et al* that permanent facial asymmetry in the newborn

has many causes.¹ Facial asymmetry present only on crying has been described as a separate entity and termed asymmetric crying facies (ACF).² ACF is due to hypoplasia of the depressor anguli oris muscle³ and has been described in association with congenital heart disease as cardiofacial syndrome.⁴ This syndrome may include abnormalities of other systems and may be inherited in an autosomal dominant manner with variable expression.

We also agree with Trainer *et al* that microdeletions of chromosome 22q11 detected on fluorescent in situ hybridisation (FISH) are responsible for a wide range of clinical presentations including cardiac abnormalities.⁵ Five patients with cardiofacial syndrome have been found to have a microdeletion of chromosome 22q11.⁶

We have recently seen an 8 year old girl who presented with ACF without cardiac abnormalities who had 22q11 deletion demonstrated on FISH. This is the first such case and we believe that this represents a further expansion of both the differential diagnosis of facial asymmetry in the newborn and the 22q11 phenotype.

H S STEWART
J CLAYTON-SMITH
St Mary's Hospital,
Hathersage Road,
Manchester M13 0JH

- 1 Hamish J, Laing E, Harrison DH, Jones BM, Laing GJ. Is permanent congenital facial palsy caused by birth trauma? *Arch Dis Child* 1996; 74: 56-8.
- 2 Hoefnagel D, Penry JK. Partial facial paralysis in young children. *N Engl J Med* 1960; 262: 1126-8.
- 3 Nelson KB, Eng GD. Congenital hypoplasia of the depressor anguli oris muscle: differentiation from congenital facial palsy. *J Pediatr* 1972; 81: 16-20.
- 4 Cayler GG. Cardiofacial syndrome. Congenital heart disease and facial weakness, a hitherto unrecognised association. *Arch Dis Child* 1969; 44: 69-75.
- 5 Trainer AH, Morrison N, Dunlop A, Wilson N, Tolmie J. Chromosome 22q11 microdeletions in tetralogy of Fallot. *Arch Dis Child* 1996; 74: 62-3.
- 6 Giannotti A, Mingarelli R. Cayler cardiofacial syndrome and del 22q11: part of the CATCH 22 phenotype. *Am J Med Genet* 1994; 53: 303-4.

Expulsion of ventriculoperitoneal shunt tubing

EDITOR,—In reply to the letter by Dr Swann on the supposedly unique occurrence of expulsion of ventriculoperitoneal shunt tubing,¹ I would like to describe another case, not of expulsion but extrusion of ventriculoperitoneal tubing per rectum.

A first twin born by caesarean section on our delivery unit at 26 weeks' gestation had a stormy neonatal course complicated by post-haemorrhagic hydrocephalus. He subsequently needed a ventriculoperitoneal shunt.

He was readmitted at the chronological age of 4.5 months with irritability, fever, and swelling over the shunt site. He was suspected of having a shunt infection and was treated with intravenous cefotaxime and flucloxacillin. After 24 hours in hospital the nursing staff noticed, while changing his nappy, extrusion of the shunt per rectum.

He was immediately transferred to the neighbouring neurosurgical unit who were somewhat peeved that we had not put a 'clip' on the free end—it had disappeared back up into the abdominal cavity. He grew *Escherichia coli* from the cerebrospinal fluid and