CURRENT TOPIC

The changing epidemiology of SIDS

Ruth Gilbert

Within the past five years, the number of deaths attributed to the sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) has fallen dramatically in the UK,^{1,2} New Zealand,³ Australia,⁴ the Netherlands,⁵ Norway,⁶ Denmark,⁷ and Ireland.⁸ In England and Wales, the number of SIDS victims has fallen by nearly 70% from 1593 in 1988 to 531 in 1992¹ (see table 1). The decline has coincided with public health advice to avoid the prone sleeping position but other risk factors may have also changed.

The contribution of any one risk factor to the fall in SIDS incidence depends on the associated relative risk for SIDS, the prevalence of the factor in the young infant population, and the change in prevalence. Consistent associations have been established between SIDS and male sex, intrauterine growth retardation, preterm birth, poor socioeconomic status, young maternal age, multiple birth, and short inter-pregnancy interval.^{9–11} However, the prevalence of these factors changes little from year to year. The prevalence of some environmental factors is more variable. Of these, the prone sleeping position and maternal smoking have been most consistently associated with SIDS, whereas evidence for a role for heavy wrapping, infection, bottle feeding, or bed sharing is less clear. This paper examines the potential contribution of specific environmental risk factors to the fall in SIDS incidence.

Risk factors for SIDS: evidence from case-control and cohort studies

PRONE SLEEPING POSITION

Nineteen case-control studies and one cohort study in eight different countries have shown a 3–9 fold increased risk of SIDS in babies who sleep prone compared with on their side or supine.^{12–27} Criticisms of some but not all of these studies have included: use of inappropriate control babies; measurement of usual sleeping position rather than position put down or found; and recall bias.^{15 28–30} Nevertheless, the size and consistency of the effect in many different settings, the suggestion of a dose effect with intermediate risk associated with side sleeping,^{30 31} and the persistence of an effect after controlling for confounding factors^{23–25} strongly suggest a causal relationship between prone sleeping and SIDS. Estimates of the prevalence of prone sleeping among young infants has ranged from 25–68% in different areas.¹⁵ Assuming that the association between prone sleeping and SIDS is causal, estimations of the population attributable risk – the percentage reduction in SIDS incidence that could be achieved by avoidance of prone sleeping – have ranged from 38–82%.^{23–25 27}

MATERNAL SMOKING

Maternal smoking has consistently been found to at least double the risk of SIDS in 14 studies conducted in eight different countries.^{24 30 32–42} Of these, two prospective cohort studies^{34 35} and four case-control studies^{24 32 33 38} took some account of confounding due to social factors, preterm birth, and low birth weight. The risk of SIDS increased with the number of cigarettes smoked by the mother^{24 34 35 38 41} and if both parents smoked.^{32 38} The effect of maternal smoking appears to be highest in infants under 10 weeks of age.^{35 38} The population attributable risk for maternal smoking has been estimated to be as high as 40%.²⁴

INFECTION

No clear association has yet been established between SIDS and presence of bacterial or virus pathogens.^{32 43} Findings from many case-control studies have been difficult to interpret partly due to possible selection bias of cases and controls, incomparability of microbiological samples, and lack of attention paid to potential confounding factors.^{44–47} In a UK study,⁴⁴ differences in sampling techniques probably led to an overestimation of the difference in virus prevalence which was 16% and 8% respectively in SIDS and live control babies ($p>0.05$). However, even if this difference did represent a causal association, eradication of virus infections in infancy would lead only to an estimated 7% reduction in SIDS mortality.³²

Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Institute of Child Health, 30 Guilford Street, London WC1N 1EH

Correspondence to: Dr Gilbert.

Table 1 SIDS victims by age in England and Wales, 1987–92^{1 79}

Year	No of SIDS victims (incidence*)	% Of all SIDS under 1 year (months)			SIDS as proportion of all postneonatal deaths (%)	Male: female ratio
		<1	1–5	6–11		
1987	1528 (2.24)	5.0	81.3	13.7	53	1.43
1988	1597 (2.30)	5.2	80.2	14.6	53	1.57
1989	1337 (1.93)	5.4	81.6	13.0	50	1.50
1990	1202 (1.70)	6.5	80.2	13.3	48	1.44
1991	1008 (1.44)	6.2	79.0	14.9	45	1.60
1992	531 (0.77)	11.3	72.7	16.0	30	1.68
Decline 1988–92 (%)	67	23	70	63		

*SIDS deaths under 1 year of age/1000 live births recorded as principal cause of death or mentioned anywhere on death certificate.

Figure 1 Postneonatal deaths by cause: England and Wales, 1968–92.^{1 30} Total postneonatal deaths, postneonatal SIDS, postneonatal non-SIDS respiratory deaths and SIDS combined, all postneonatal deaths excluding SIDS and non-SIDS respiratory deaths (resp). SIDS recorded as main underlying cause.

BOTTLE FEEDING

Overall, there is suggestive but inconsistent evidence for an increased risk of SIDS in bottle fed babies. Of 11 studies^{24 37 40 42 48–54} which found a 2–3 fold increased risk of SIDS in bottle fed babies, only three took account of confounding due to social factors, preterm birth, low birth weight, and maternal smoking.^{24 37 48} Seven case-control studies found no association.^{23 25 55–59} In New Zealand, the population attributable risk for not being breast fed at hospital discharge has been estimated to be 22%.²⁴

HEAVY WRAPPING

Only three case-control studies have investigated the association between the thermal insulation of clothing and bedding and SIDS^{26 60} (personal communication, R E Wigfield). Overall, the evidence for an effect is inconclusive. One study found an increased risk of SIDS in babies over 10 weeks of age who were heavily wrapped.²⁶ The effect of heavy wrapping was further increased in babies who were found prone²⁶ or had viruses identified in the upper respiratory tract or gastrointestinal tract.⁴⁴ A subsequent study in the same geographical area conducted after publicity about the risks associated with the prone position and heavy wrapping found no association (personal communication, R E Wigfield). In an Australian study, the risk of SIDS increased with the degree of wrapping for a given room temperature.⁶⁰

One possible explanation for these inconsistent findings is that heavy wrapping is associated with an increased risk of SIDS only when other thermal stressors such as the prone position or infection are present. Heat loss is reduced in the prone compared with the supine position⁶¹ and physiological studies have shown that nocturnal body temperature is higher in babies over 12 weeks old who are heavily wrapped and sleep prone.⁶² However, detection of this 'interaction' between heavy wrapping and the prone position by epidemiological studies requires much larger sample sizes than have hitherto been studied.

BED SHARING

Evidence for an association between bed sharing and SIDS is weak. Laboratory studies have indicated possible advantages of mother-infant bed sharing for the development of respiratory and temperature rhythms but these have been based on infants least at risk of SIDS studied in an artificial environment.⁶³ The relevance of these findings for SIDS is unclear. In contrast, a recent epidemiological study showed an increased risk of SIDS in babies who shared their bed with another person, particularly if the mother smoked.⁶⁴ These results require cautious interpretation. As bed sharing is highly culturally determined,⁶⁵ an apparent association may be due to residual confounding by other social and cultural factors.⁶⁶ Furthermore, interactions such as that detected between maternal smoking and bed sharing⁶⁴ will arise by chance if enough interactions are examined.

Interpretation of changes in SIDS incidence

SIDS incidence rose steadily in England and Wales throughout the 1970s after SIDS became a registerable cause of death in 1971 (see fig 1). This rise in incidence was largely attributable to diagnostic transfer from respiratory causes to SIDS as these rates combined remained relatively constant. This change in certification practice makes it difficult to examine the effect of an increase in prone sleeping during the same period. Prone sleeping was promoted from the early 1970s in Europe and New Zealand principally because benefits observed for preterm babies were assumed to apply to term babies.^{67 68} In the USA, prone had been the predominant infant sleeping position for most of the century (personal communication, H J Hoffman).

Evidence from some countries suggests that a rise in SIDS incidence similar to that observed in the UK, may have been partly due to an increase in infant prone sleeping. From 1970 onwards, the rise in SIDS incidence was associated with an increase in total 1–5 month mortality in New Zealand and Sweden which may have been due to an increase in unexplained deaths.⁶⁹ Furthermore, data from the Netherlands⁵ and Norway⁶ have shown a close correlation between the rise in prevalence of prone sleeping and increase in SIDS incidence from the early 1970s (table 2).

In England and Wales, SIDS incidence stabilised in the mid 1980s and has fallen steadily since 1988, predominantly in the post-neonatal age group (see table 1). Postneonatal incidence plotted on a logarithmic scale (fig 2) shows a steady proportional decline between 1988 and 1991 in England and Wales of 14.5% year. This decline is unlikely to be explained by diagnostic transfer because post-neonatal mortality from causes other than SIDS has also declined, albeit more slowly. Had the fall of 14.5% per year continued, the predicted incidence in 1992 would have been 1.16/1000 live births. The actual incidence was 0.68/1000 live births, 41% below predicted.

Table 2 SIDS incidence and prevalence of prone sleeping among young infants in different countries

Country	SIDS incidence (/1000 live births)	Prevalence of prone sleeping in infants (%)
Avon (UK)		
1987-9 ²⁷	3.5	59
1990-1	1.7	27
1992 ³⁰	0.3*	2
The Netherlands		
1969 ^{25 68}	0.4	10
1977	1.2	
1985 ⁵	1.1	62 (1982-7)
1986	1.0	63 (1985-7)
1987	0.9	56
1988	0.6	27
1989	0.7	
1990	0.6	16
1991	0.4	9
New Zealand ⁷³		
1985	4.2	
1986	4.0	42
1987	4.3	
1988	3.7	
1989	3.9	
1990	3.1	2 (1989-90)
1991	2.1	
Norway ⁶⁴		
1970	1.1	10
1985	2.6	55
1989	2.5	54
1991-2	1.3	31

*Personal communication, P J Fleming.
†Retrospective data based on 24 371 families.

This sharp fall in postneonatal SIDS incidence in 1992 was not evident in other causes of postneonatal mortality and is therefore likely to have been due to changes in risk factors specific for SIDS.

In Scotland, postneonatal SIDS incidence declined slightly in 1990 but dropped substantially in 1991 and in 1992 in contrast to the gradual decline in other causes of postneonatal mortality over the same period (fig 2).

From 1988 onwards, information about the risks associated with the prone position was published in the medical journals (fig 2) but whether health professionals in the UK changed their advice to parents as a result is not known. The official government 'back to sleep' campaign probably had a stronger effect on infant care practices and coincided with a sharp

decline in the number of cases of SIDS. However, it is difficult to infer how much this publicity influenced the decline in SIDS incidence. Firstly, underlying trends in incidence are partly obscured by random year to year variation. This is particularly true of the rates for Scotland which are based on fewer deaths, and could explain the apparent departure of SIDS incidence from pre-existing trends one year earlier in Scotland than in England and Wales. Secondly, it may not be possible to date precisely the onset of effective publicity. A recent retrospective study showed that health visitors in Scotland started to advise avoidance of the prone position long before the official government 'back to sleep' campaign.⁷⁰

A further problem is the identification of the effective component of advice about risk factors. Although avoidance of the prone sleeping position has been the main advice in all recent risk reduction campaigns, some have also included advice about parental smoking, breast feeding, or heavy wrapping.^{7 30 71} In several countries a substantial fall in SIDS incidence has occurred subsequent to all publicity campaigns that included advice about sleeping position.^{5-8 13 27} This decline is unlikely to be due just to increased parental vigilance as a previous intervention study to improve infant care by parents did not alter pre-existing trends in SIDS incidence.⁷²

Stronger evidence for a link between risk factor publicity and the decline in SIDS incidence comes from studies in New Zealand, the Netherlands and Avon, UK where data on the prevalence of environmental risk factors were collected before and after the decline in SIDS incidence (table 2).^{5 28 72} Only the reduction in the prevalence of prone sleeping has been shown to be of sufficient magnitude to account for the fall in SIDS incidence (personal communication, R E Wigfield).⁷³ In the Netherlands, a slight fall in maternal smoking may have had a marginal effect on SIDS incidence,⁶⁸ but in England the prevalence of smoking has remained static among women of childbearing age.⁷⁴ Despite this strong correlation between the fall in SIDS incidence and the prevalence of prone sleeping, such studies provide intrinsically weak evidence on which to base public health action. It is never possible to rule out some other 'cause' for the decline in incidence.

Public health action

Irrefutable evidence that prone sleeping causes SIDS is not available. The mechanism is not understood and no intervention study has yet been conducted. Public health recommendations to avoid prone sleeping in young infants have been based largely on evidence from case-control and cohort studies: on balance, the potential benefits of supine sleeping for SIDS prevention have been considered to outweigh possible adverse effects, except for babies with severe mandibular hypoplasia or severe symptomatic gastro-oesophageal reflux.³⁰ In many countries, recommendations in favour of supine sleeping have been widely publicised. A

Figure 2 Postneonatal mortality trends and publicity about sleeping position^{1 2 30} (personal communication H Brooke, A A M Gibson, The Scottish Cot Death Trust). SIDS recorded as main underlying cause or mentioned anywhere on death certificate. Key: a=Hong Kong experience reported, June 1988⁶⁰; b=anecdotal findings in Australia reported, November 1988¹³; c=Dutch case-control study published, March 1989⁸¹; d=Avon case-control study published, July 1990²⁶; e=National 'back to sleep' campaign launched by chief medical officer, November 1991.³⁰

notable exception is the USA, where although the majority of young infants sleep prone (personal communication, H J Hoffman), advice issued to paediatricians⁷⁵ has not yet received general publicity.²⁹

In the UK, there is undoubtedly scope for further public health action to reduce environmental risk factors for SIDS. Many infants still sleep prone and there has been little change in parental smoking habits. The justification for public health advice about other environmental risk factors should be kept under review. Excessive wrapping should be discouraged in older babies who are unwell, although there may be dangers in recommendations that increase the proportion of infants who are lightly wrapped.^{44 76 77} Further evidence is required before recommendations can be made about breast feeding and bed sharing.⁷⁸

I am grateful to David Dunn, Institute of Child Health, London and Ruth Wigfield, department of child health, Southampton for their helpful comments on this manuscript.

- 1 Office of Population Censuses and Surveys. *Sudden infant deaths 1988 to 1992*. OPCS Monitor, 1993. (DH3 93/2.)
- 2 Registrar General Scotland. *Annual report 1992*. Edinburgh: General Register Office for Scotland, 1993: 138.
- 3 Mitchell EA, Tonkin S. Publicity and infants' sleeping position. *BMJ* 1993; **306**: 858.
- 4 Beal S. Sudden infant death syndrome related to sleeping position and bedding. *Med J Aust* 1991; **155**: 507-8.
- 5 Jonge de GA, Burgmeijer RJF, Engelberts AC, Hoogenboezem J, Kostense PJ, Sprij AJ. Sleeping position for infants and cot death in the Netherlands 1985-91. *Arch Dis Child* 1993; **69**: 660-3.
- 6 Markestad T, Irgens LM, Baste V, Oyen N, Schreuder P. Infants' sleeping position and SIDS in Norway, 1970-1991. *Proceedings of The European Society for the Study and Prevention of Infant Deaths*. Third Congress; Oxford, 1993: abst 46.
- 7 Helweg-Larsen K, Bille J, Iversen L. SIDS in Denmark, recent trends. A survey of a national campaign 'back to sleep'. *Proceedings of The European Society for the Study and Prevention of Infant Deaths*. Third Congress; Oxford, 1993: abst 42.
- 8 Kiberd B. Ireland's first national sudden infant death register. Interim report 1992. *Proceedings of The European Society for the Study and Prevention of Infant Deaths*. Third Congress; Oxford, 1993: abst 38.
- 9 Hoffman HJ, Hillman LS. Epidemiology of the sudden infant death syndrome: maternal, neonatal and post-neonatal risk factors. *Clin Perinatol* 1992; **19**: 717-37.
- 10 Golding J, Limerick S, Macfarlane A. Summary of epidemiological findings. In: Golding J, Limerick S, Macfarlane A, eds. *Sudden infant death: patterns, puzzles and problems*. Shepton Mallet, England: Open Books, 1985: 94-102.
- 11 Gibson AAM. Current epidemiology of SIDS. *J Clin Pathol* 1992; **45** (suppl): 7-10.
- 12 Frogatt P. In: Bergman AB, Beckwith JB, Ray GC, eds. *Sudden infant death syndrome*. Seattle: University of Washington Press, 1970.
- 13 Beal S. Sleeping position and sudden infant death syndrome. *Med J Aust* 1988; **149**: 562.
- 14 Tonkin SL. Infant mortality: epidemiology of cot deaths in Auckland. *NZ Med J* 1986; **99**: 324-6.
- 15 Beal SM, Finch CF. An overview of retrospective case-control studies investigating the relationship between prone sleeping position and SIDS. *J Paediatr Child Health* 1991; **27**: 334-9.
- 16 Nicholl JP, O'Catlain A. Sleeping position and SIDS [letter]. *Lancet* 1988; **ii**: 106.
- 17 Kahn A, Blum D, Hennart P, et al. A critical comparison of the history of sudden death infants and infants hospitalised for near-miss SIDS. *Eur J Pediatr* 1984; **143**: 103-7.
- 18 Cameron MH, Williams AL. Development and testing of scoring systems for predicting infants with high-risk of sudden infant death syndrome in Melbourne. *Australian Paediatric Journal* 1986; **22** (suppl 1): 37-45.
- 19 Senecal J, Roussey M, Defawe G, Delahaye M, Piquemal B. Procubitus et mort subite inattendue du nourrisson. *Arch Fr Pediatr* 1987; **44**: 131-6.
- 20 Lee NNY, Chan YF, Davies DP, Lau E, Yip DCP. Sudden infant death syndrome in Hong Kong: confirmation of low incidence. *BMJ* 1989; **298**: 721.
- 21 McGlashan ND. Sleeping position and SIDS [letter]. *Lancet* 1988; **ii**: 106.
- 22 Jonge GA de, Engelberts AC, Koomen-Liefingt AJM, Kostense PJ. Cot death and prone sleeping position in the Netherlands. *BMJ* 1989; **298**: 722.
- 23 Dwyer T, Ponsonby ALB, Newman NM, Gibbons LE. Prospective cohort study of prone sleeping position and sudden infant death syndrome. *Lancet* 1991; **337**: 1244-7.
- 24 Mitchell EA, Scragg R, Stewart AW, et al. Results from the first year of the New Zealand cot death study. *NZ Med J* 1991; **104**: 71-6.
- 25 Engelberts AC. Cot death in the Netherlands. An epidemiological study. Amsterdam: VU University Press, 1991. (Thesis.)
- 26 Fleming PJ, Gilbert RE, Azaz Y, et al. Interaction between SUD victims bedding and sleeping position in the sudden infant death syndrome: a population based case-control study. *BMJ* 1990; **301**: 85-9.
- 27 Wigfield RE, Fleming PJ, Berry PJ, Rudd PT, Golding J. Can the fall in Avon's sudden infant death rate be explained by changes in sleeping position? *BMJ* 1992; **304**: 282-3.
- 28 Gibbons LE, Ponsonby AL, Dwyer T. A comparison of prospective and retrospective responses on sudden infant death syndrome by case and control mothers. *Am J Epidemiol* 1993; **137**: 654-9.
- 29 Guntheroth WG, Spiers PS. Sleeping prone and the risk of sudden infant death syndrome. *JAMA* 1992; **267**: 2359-62.
- 30 Department of Health. *Report of the Chief Medical Officer's Expert Group on the sleeping position of infants and cot death*. London: HMSO, 1993.
- 31 Mitchell EA, Engelberts AC. Sleeping position and cot deaths [letter]. *Lancet* 1991; **338**: 92.
- 32 Gilbert RE. The role of infection in sudden unexpected infant death. (MD thesis.) Sheffield: University of Sheffield, 1993.
- 33 Rintahaka PJ, Hirvonen J. The epidemiology of sudden infant death syndrome in Finland in 1969-1980. *Forensic Sci Int* 1986; **30**: 219-33.
- 34 Bulterys MG, Greenland S, Kraus JF. Chronic fetal hypoxia and sudden infant death syndrome: interaction between maternal smoking and low hematocrit during pregnancy. *Pediatrics* 1990; **86**: 535-40.
- 35 Haglund B, Cnattingius S. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for sudden infant death syndrome: a population based study. *Am J Public Health* 1990; **80**: 29-32.
- 36 Weirenga H, Brand R, Geudeke T, et al. Prenatal risk factors for cot death in very preterm and small for gestational age infants. *Early Hum Dev* 1990; **23**: 15-26.
- 37 Hoffman HJ, Dams K, Hillman L, Krongrad E. Risk factors for SIDS. Results of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development SIDS cooperative epidemiological study. In: Schwartz PJ, Southall DP, Valdes-Dapena M, eds. *The sudden infant death syndrome. Cardiac and respiratory mechanisms and interventions*. Ann NY Acad Sci 1988; **533**: 13-30.
- 38 Nicholl JP, O'Catlain A. Antenatal smoking, postnatal passive smoking and the sudden infant death syndrome. In: Poswillo D, Alberman E, eds. *Effects of smoking on the fetus, neonate and child*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1992: 138-49.
- 39 Malloy MH, Kleinman JC, Land GH, Schramm WF. The association of maternal smoking with age and cause of infant deaths. *Am J Epidemiol* 1988; **128**: 46-55.
- 40 Murphy FJ, Newcombe RG, Sibert JR. The epidemiology of sudden infant death syndrome. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1982; **36**: 17-21.
- 41 Bergman AB, Wiesner LA. Relationship of passive cigarette smoking to sudden infant death syndrome. *Pediatrics* 1976; **58**: 665-8.
- 42 McGlashan ND. Sudden infant deaths in Tasmania, 1980-1986: a seven year prospective study. *Soc Sci Med* 1989; **29**: 1015-26.
- 43 Fleming KA. Viral respiratory infection and SIDS. *J Clin Pathol* 1992; **45** (suppl): 29-32.
- 44 Gilbert RE, Rudd PT, Berry PJ, et al. Combined effect of infection and heavy wrapping on the risk of sudden unexpected infant death. *Arch Dis Child* 1992; **67**: 171-7.
- 45 Urquhart GED, Grist NR. Virological studies of sudden, unexplained infant deaths in Glasgow 1967-70. *J Clin Pathol* 1972; **25**: 443-6.
- 46 Ray CG, Beckwith JB, Hebestreit NM, Bergman AB. Studies of the sudden infant death syndrome in King County, Washington. The role of viruses. *JAMA* 1970; **211**: 619-23.
- 47 Williams AL, Uren EC, Bretherton L. Respiratory viruses and sudden infant death. *BMJ* 1984; **288**: 1491-3.
- 48 Carpenter RG, Shaddick CW. Role of infection, suffocation and bottle feeding in cot death. An analysis of some factors in the histories of 110 cases and their controls. *British Journal of Preventive and Social Medicine* 1965; **19**: 1-7.
- 49 Protestos CD, Carpenter RG, McWeeny PM, Emery JL. Obstetric and perinatal histories of children who died unexpectedly (cot death). *Arch Dis Child* 1973; **48**: 835-41.
- 50 Biering-Sorensen F, Jorgensen T, Hilden J. Sudden infant death in Copenhagen. *Acta Paediatr Scand* 1978; **67**: 129-37.
- 51 Watson E, Gardner A, Carpenter RG. An epidemiological and sociological study of unexpected death in infancy in nine areas of southern England. *Med Sci Law* 1981; **21**: 78-98.
- 52 Harris JDC, Radford M, Wiloo M, Carpenter RG, Machin K. Sudden infant death in Southampton and an evaluation of the Sheffield scoring system. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1982; **36**: 162-6.
- 53 Knowelden J, Keeling J, Nicholl JP. *A multicentre study of postneonatal mortality*. London: HMSO, 1984.

- 54 Beal SM. Sudden infant death syndrome: epidemiological comparisons between South Australia and communities with a different incidence. *Australian Paediatric Journal* 1986; suppl: 13-6.
- 55 Frogatt P, Lynas MA, MacKenzie G. Epidemiology of sudden unexpected death in infants (cot death) in Northern Ireland. *British Journal of Preventive and Social Medicine* 1971; 49: 119-34.
- 56 Kraus AS, Steele R, Thompson MG, Grosbois P de. Further epidemiologic observations on sudden death in infancy in Ontario. *Can J Public Health* 1971; 62: 210-8.
- 57 Rhead WJ, Schrauzer GN, Saltstein SL. Sudden death in infancy and vitamin E deficiency [letter]. *BMJ* 1973; iv: 548-9.
- 58 Fedrick J. Sudden unexpected death in infants in the Oxford record linkage area. *British Journal of Preventive and Social Medicine* 1974; 28: 164-71.
- 59 Kraus JF, Greenland S, Bulterys M. Risk factors for sudden infant death in the US collaborative perinatal project. *Int J Epidemiol* 1989; 18: 113-20.
- 60 Ponsonby AL, Dwyer T, Gibbons LE, Cochrane JA, Jones ME, McCall MJ. Thermal environment and sudden infant death syndrome: case-control study. *BMJ* 1992; 304: 277-82.
- 61 Nelson EAS, Taylor BJ, Weatherall IL. Sleeping position and infant bedding may predispose to hyperthermia and the sudden infant death syndrome. *Lancet* 1989; i: 199-201.
- 62 Petersen SA, Anderson ES, Lodemore M, Rawson D, Wailoo MP. Sleeping position and rectal temperature. *Arch Dis Child* 1991; 66: 976-9.
- 63 McKenna JJ, Thoman EB, Anders TF, Schechtman VL, Glotzbach SF. Infant-parent co-sleeping in an evolutionary perspective: implications for understanding infant sleep development and the sudden infant death syndrome. *Sleep* 1993; 16: 263-82.
- 64 Scragg R, Mitchell EA, Taylor BJ, *et al.* Bed sharing, smoking and alcohol in the sudden infant death syndrome. *BMJ* 1993; 307: 1312-8.
- 65 Tuohy PG, Counsell AM, Geddis DC. Sociodemographic factors associated with sleeping position and location. *Arch Dis Child* 1993; 69: 664-6.
- 66 Phillips AN, Smith GD. How independent are 'independent' effects? Relative risk estimation when correlated exposures are measured imprecisely. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1991; 44: 1223-31.
- 67 Hiley C. Babies' sleeping position [letter]. *BMJ* 1992; 305: 115.
- 68 Engelberts AC, Jonge GA de, Kostense PJ. An analysis of trends in the incidence of sudden infant death in the Netherlands 1969-89. *J Paediatr Child Health* 1991; 27: 329-33.
- 69 Mitchell EA. International trends in postneonatal mortality. *Arch Dis Child* 1990; 65: 607-9.
- 70 Scott A, Campbell H, Gorman D. Sudden infant death syndrome in Scotland. *BMJ* 1993; 306: 211-2.
- 71 Mitchell EA, Aley P, Eastwood J. The national cot death prevention program in New Zealand. *Aust J Public Health* 1992; 16: 158-61.
- 72 Madeley RJ, Hull D, Holland T. Prevention of postneonatal mortality. *Arch Dis Child* 1986; 61: 459-63.
- 73 Mitchell EA, Ford RPK, Taylor BJ, *et al.* Further evidence supporting a causal relationship between prone sleeping position and SIDS. *J Paediatr Child Health* 1992; 28 (suppl 1): S9-12.
- 74 White A, Nicholaas G, Foster K, Browne F, Carey S. *Health survey for England, 1991*. London: Office of Population Censuses and Surveys, 1993.
- 75 American Academy of Pediatrics Task Force on Infant Positioning and SIDS. Positioning and SIDS. *Pediatrics* 1992; 89: 1120-6.
- 76 Wigfield RE, Fleming PJ, Howell T, *et al.* How much wrapping do babies need at night? *Arch Dis Child* 1993; 69: 181-6.
- 77 Dunne KP, Matthews TG. Hypothermia and SIDS. *Arch Dis Child* 1988; 63: 438-40.
- 78 Health Education Authority. *New pregnancy book*. London: Health Education Authority, 1993.
- 79 Office of Population Censuses and Surveys. *Sudden infant deaths 1985-87*. OPCS Monitor 1988. (DH3 88/3.)
- 80 Lee M, Davies DP, Chan YF. Prone or supine for preterm babies [letter]. *Lancet* 1988; i: 1332.
- 81 Jonge GA de, Engelberts AC, Koomen-Liefting AJM, Kostense PJ. Cot death and prone sleeping position in the Netherlands. *BMJ* 1989; 298: 722.