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Background: Previous surveys of children’s diabetes service provision in the UK have shown gradual improvements but continuing deficiencies.

Aim: To determine whether further improvements in services have occurred.

Methods: A questionnaire was mailed to all paediatricians in the UK identified as providing care for children and adolescents with diabetes. Responses were compared with results of three previous surveys, and with recommendations in the Diabetes NSF and the NICE type 1 diabetes guidelines.

Results: Replies were received from 187 consultant paediatricians in 169 centres looking after children; 89% expressed a special interest in diabetes, 98% saw children in a designated diabetic clinic, and 95% clinics now have more than 40 patients. In 98% of the clinics there was a specialist nurse (82% now children’s trained), but 61% clinics had a nurse:patient ratio <1:100; 39% of clinics did not have a paediatric dietician and in 78% there was no access to psychology/psychiatry services in clinics. Glycated haemoglobin was measured routinely at clinics in 86%, annual screening for retinopathy performed in 80%, and microalbuminuria in 83%. All centres now have local protocols for ketoacidosis, but not for children undergoing surgery (90%) or severe hypoglycaemia (74%). Mean clinic HbA1c levels were significantly lower in the clinics run by specialists (8.9%) than generalists (9.4%). There have been incremental improvements over the last 14 years since the surveys began, but only two clinics met all the 10 previously published recommendations on standards of care.

Conclusions: The survey shows continuing improvements in organisational structure of services for children with diabetes but serious deficiencies remain. Publication and dissemination of the results of the previous surveys may have been associated with these improvements and similar recurrent service review may be applicable to services for other chronic childhood conditions.

Previous surveys of services provided for children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes showed deficiencies when compared with national recommendations. Since 1998, the Diabetes National Service Framework (NSF) has set targets and standards and the National Institute of Clinical Excellence (NICE) has published guidelines for the management of type 1 diabetes.

The Youth and Families Advisory Committee of Diabetes UK agreed to carry out a further survey to measure current provision of services against these guidelines.

METHODS

The National Diabetes Audit project run jointly by Diabetes UK and the British Society of Paediatric Endocrinology and Diabetes identified 263 consultants in 216 hospitals caring for children and young people with diabetes in the UK. A postal questionnaire was sent to all these consultants in 2002 seeking information about staffing levels, personnel, clinic size and type, facilities, and patterns of care. Results were compared with the three previous surveys, to 10 key recommendations from “Principles of Good Practice for the Care of Young People with Diabetes” and to recommendations from the Diabetes NSF and NICE guidelines.

RESULTS

A total of 187 (71%) completed questionnaires were returned from clinics in 169 (78%) hospitals identified. A total of 187 consultants reported that they care for around 18 500 children and young people with diabetes (15 400 under the age of 16 years).

Key results of the survey and comparisons with results from 1988, 1994, and 1998 are summarised in table 1. Results are generally described by hospital, except for those questions relating specifically to individual consultants.

Specialist interest of consultants

- Recommendation: Children with diabetes should be under the care of consultants with a special interest and training in diabetes.

Twenty one consultants (11%) indicated that they were paediatric endocrinologists/diabetologists; 90 (48%) considered themselves to have a special interest in diabetes, and 54 (29%) a special interest in diabetes/endocrinology. One was an adult diabetologist. The remaining 21 (11%) regarded themselves as general paediatricians.

Types of clinic

- Recommendation: Children with diabetes should be seen in a designated paediatric diabetes clinic.

Abbreviations: DSN, diabetes specialist nurse; NICE, National Institute of Clinical Excellence; NSF, National Service Framework; WTE, whole time equivalent.
One hundred and sixty four clinics (98%) are now designated paediatric diabetes clinics. Diabetic children are seen in general paediatric clinics in only 2% of hospitals.

- **NICE Guideline 7.2:** Paediatric diabetes care teams should organise age banded clinics for adolescents and young adults jointly with their adult colleagues.3

Seventy one per cent of hospitals (n = 119) have age stratified clinics; of these 63% have an adolescent clinic. Forty eight per cent of the centres have joint clinics with adult colleagues.

### Clinic size

- **Recommendation:** In districts with fewer than 70 children with diabetes under the age of 16 years, all should be under the care of a single designated consultant paediatrician.4

Seventy five clinics (45%) cared for more than 100 children and adolescents with diabetes; 82 (49%) care for 40–100, with the remaining nine clinics (6%) caring for fewer than 40 young people with diabetes. Thirty nine clinics (23%) had fewer than 70 patients. Twenty nine of these were managed by paediatricians with a special interest in diabetes, and nine were general paediatricians. In only one of these was there more than one consultant. Of the clinics run by general paediatricians, two had more than 100 patients. These figures represent a significant shift towards consultant specialisation and being responsible for larger caseloads since 1994 and 1998 (table 1; \( \chi^2 = 121.6, p < 0.001 \)).

### Diabetes specialist nurses

- **Recommendation:** Clinics should be supported and attended by a paediatric DSN with children’s and diabetes training and with a maximum caseload of 70–100 children per nurse (full time equivalent).5

Diabetes specialist nurses (DSNs) attended 165 clinics (98%). In 53% of these the nurses worked solely in paediatric diabetes; the remainder either covered another paediatric sub-specialty (19%) or also cared for adults with diabetes (28%). Eighty two per cent of DSNs were trained in children’s nursing, 9% were not able to spend time in the community.

In the 124 (73%) clinics which responded to the question about nurses' working hours, an average of 1.83 DSNs were working 1.05 whole time equivalents (WTE). The average caseload per WTE DSN was 147 (range 12–575). There was no difference in the caseload of the DSNs in clinics run by general paediatricians (mean 129), paediatricians with an interest in diabetes (140), an interest in diabetes and endocrinology (159), and paediatric endocrinologists (130). Thirty nine per cent of clinics had a specialist nurse. WTE:patient ratio ≤ 100.

### Dietetic support

- **Recommendation:** Paediatric diabetes clinics should have regular attendance of a paediatric dietician with diabetes expertise.6

Dieticians were reported to attend regularly at 87% of clinics with 114 (61% of total) being a paediatric dietician.

The average number of paediatric dieticians WTE per clinic was 0.29 (range 0.04–1.5) with average WTE:patient ratio 830 (range 24–3750).

### Psychologist/counsellor services

- **Recommendation:** The clinic has easy access to mental health professionals5 or specialist services in child psychology/psychiatry.6

Twenty two per cent of respondents reported that either a psychologist (20%) or psychiatrist (2%) regularly attended the children’s diabetes clinic. Forty six clinics (28%) had access to another form of “counsellor” (nurse therapist in 20; counsellor in 11; and “other” in 12). Eighty seven per cent of clinics could refer to a psychologist, and 90% to a psychiatrist; waiting times for these specialists were reported to range from 1 week to 18 months.

### Social worker availability

Thirty clinics (18%) reported access to social work support and 16 (53%) of these reported that the social worker could carry out home visits.

### Chiropody services

- **Recommendation:** Children’s diabetes clinics should have easy access to chiropody services.6

Fifty nine clinics (36%) reported access to chiropody services, mainly hospital chiropody. In 1998, 56% clinics reported access to chiropody.

### Measurement of glycaemic control

- **NICE Guideline 4.3:** Current HbA1c measurements should be made available for use and/or discussion in outpatient clinics.7

Glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) is measured at every clinic visit in 86% of clinics. In the remainder, it is measured twice or more per year in all but three clinics, where it is measured annually. Of clinics using HbA1c measurements, 86% reported that the result was available during the clinic compared to 70% in 1998, 50% using a “near-patient” methodology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Comparison of results of four national surveys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of consultant respondents</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response rate</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant with a special interest in diabetes</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant caring for more than 40 young people</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designated paediatric diabetes clinic</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes specialist nurse in clinic</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paediatric dietician in clinic</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychologist/psychiatrist in clinic</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycated haemoglobin at each visit</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant difference 1998–2002, p<0.001.
†Significant difference 1998–2002, p<0.025.
‡Additional 28% with some form of “counsellor” in clinic.
Complication monitoring
- **NICE Guideline 5.5**: Children with type 1 diabetes should be offered screening for retinopathy, microalbuminuria, and blood pressure annually from the age of 12 years.

Only 3% and 4% of clinics respectively stated that they never screen for microalbuminuria or retinopathy, with only one clinic never measuring blood pressure. Thirteen per cent of clinics screen for microalbuminuria and retinopathy at every visit, and 38% check blood pressure at every visit. By age 12 years, 83% of clinics organise annual screening for microalbuminuria and 80% for retinopathy. These percentages are greater with early puberty or long duration of diabetes.

Screening methods
Methods used for microalbumin screening were: albumin/creatinine ratio on early morning urine samples (81%); timed overnight urine collection (14%); and 24 hour urine collection (5%). Methods for retinopathy screening include: non-dilated fundoscopy (58%); dilated fundoscopy by paediatrician (25%) and by ophthalmologist (38%); retinal photography (51%).

Screening for associated conditions
- **NICE Guideline 5.5**: Children with type 1 diabetes should be offered screening for coeliac disease at diagnosis and at least every three years thereafter; thyroid disease at diagnosis and annually thereafter.

For coeliac disease 91 (69%) test annually, six alternate years, four every 3 years, four once only, 19 if clinically indicated, and seven clinics (3% of responders) never test.

For thyroid disease 120 (83%) test annually, seven alternate years, three every 3 years, 13 if clinically indicated, and one clinic never screens.

Clinic registers and policies
- **Recommendation**: There should be a district diabetes register and regular audit of outcomes.
- **NICE Guideline 5.3**: All centres should have written protocols to ensure safe management of children with diabetic ketoacidosis and during surgery.

Ninety five per cent of clinics have a register, which is electronic in three quarters. One hundred and nineteen of these (75% respondents) reported that they contribute data to the National Diabetes Audit run by Diabetes UK, although HbA1c data were only available in 2002 from 49%.

One hundred and fifteen respondents (71% compared with 52% in 1998) have age stratified diabetes clinics, 63% of which are adolescent clinics, 35% young persons’ clinics, and 69% (48% of total) organise joint clinics with adult colleagues. Eighty four per cent of the 125 respondents to this question reported that they had a policy or procedure for handover to adult care. This transfer takes place at 13–25 years of age (mean 16.7 years).

Meeting the recommendations
From the document “Principles of Good Practice for the Care of Young People with Diabetes”, 10 key recommendations for a paediatric diabetes service were analysed and compared with the responses in 1998.

Improvements were noted in five recommendations: percentages of paediatricians with a special interest in diabetes, designated children’s diabetes clinics, clinics of more than 40 patients, clinic attendance of DSNs, and microalbuminuria screening. There was no improvement in measurement of glycated haemoglobin. There was a decrease in availability of paediatric dietitians, frequency of retinopathy screening, and joint paediatric/adult hand-over clinics.

In 2002, 61% clinics had DSN:patient ratio of less than 1:100 (47% in 1998); 97% met 5 of the 10 recommendations (96% in 1998), 55% met 8 (58% in 1998), and only 2% met all 10 recommendations (12% in 1998).

Clinics meeting the 10 key recommendations
See table 2.

Relation with HbA1c level
The mean clinic HbA1c levels (DCCT standardised) from the Diabetes UK/BSPED National Paediatric Diabetes Audit were compared with all the survey variables. Eighty three survey responders (49%) had participated in the national audit and also provided HbA1c results. Two variables were found to have a significant relation with mean HbA1c level. Diabetes services provided by 10 general paediatricians were related to poorer HbA1c results (mean 9.4%) than those provided by paediatricians with a special interest in diabetes, including paediatric diabetologists (mean 8.9%; p = 0.002). HbA1c levels were lower if the diabetes specialist nurses worked in both hospital and the community (mean 8.9% versus 9.3%, p < 0.05). Numbers of Specialist Nurses and dietitians were not directly related to HbA1c levels.

DISCUSSION
The results show improvements in several organisational aspects of paediatric diabetes care. Fewer consultants look after inappropriately small numbers of children and have significantly bigger caseloads. This has always been one aim of national recommendations—to centralise to some degree the expertise into larger clinics. Nevertheless there are still 12
paediatricians with no stated special diabetes interest who each look after more than 70 diabetic children.

The lack of a national list of specialists was one of the deficiencies noted in the 1998 survey which required attention. Since 2000, Diabetes UK has maintained a list of consultant paediatricians looking after children with diabetes for the National Diabetes Audit, so we can now be more confident that we received replies from the majority of hospital clinics (78%). This response is poorer than in previous surveys, and it is possible that the non-responders might represent a group of paediatricians where the services are even less satisfactory than those reported. The responding 187 consultants looked after a total of around 18,500 children and young people (15,400 under 16 years), which is the majority of the estimated 20,000 in the UK. Thus the survey should have provided representative indicators of care for those individuals throughout the UK.

For the first time, because of the linkage with the national diabetes audit, we have been able to show that the clinics of consultants with a special interest achieve significantly better HbA1c levels than those run by general paediatricians.

The publication and dissemination of the results of this and three previous surveys through professional groups and Diabetes UK may well have had an impact on service organisation leading directly to improvements. This model could equally be applicable to services for other chronic childhood disorders.

Unfortunately, there are continuing deficiencies and in some respects services appear to have deteriorated since the last survey. Although the percentage of paediatric DSNs attending clinics has improved, their workload has increased with a mean caseload of 147 patients per whole time equivalent nurse, compared with recommendations of between 70 and 100. Moreover, the percentage of paediatric dieticians attending clinics has fallen to 61% and many respondents commented that the exceptionally poor provision of specialised psychology/psychiatry services was the weakest aspect of their service, a problem which is highlighted by recommendations in the NICE Guidelines. These staffing figures cause great concern concerning the continuing increase in incidence of diabetes, particularly in very young children whose families require a great deal of time, expertise, and emotional support, and the increasing complexity and sophistication of modern diabetes care. The new insulin regimens, including multiple injection therapy, require more detailed dietetic education including quantitation of carbohydrate intake. This is not possible when a WTE dietician has an average case-load of 830 patients.

The progressive improvements in the numbers of DSNs is gratifying, with nearly all clinics having a nurse available and more are reported to be children’s nurse trained (82% compared with 48% in 1998). There are now fewer working with adults (28% compared with 42%). These trends must represent changes in training, but national recommendations state that all children should be looked after by appropriately trained nurses. Paediatric DSNs commonly practise domiciliary education, so it was interesting to find that the ability to work in the community as well as hospital appears to have a beneficial effect in terms of HbA1c levels.

What is already known on this topic

- The care of children with diabetes should now meet national standards
- Three previous surveys have shown gradual improvements in services

What this study adds

- Services for children have continued to improve over the last four years; children are seen in larger, more specialised clinics by consultants with an interest in diabetes
- However, only 2% of clinics surveyed met all 10 recommendations; in particular nursing caseload is larger than recommended

Both the NSF and NICE guidelines emphasise the benefits of joint working with adult colleagues in transition services. The finding that joint clinics appear to be less prevalent now than previously is worrying in view of the high non-attendance rates in young adult clinics when transitional arrangements are ineffective, and the associated high levels of serious vascular complications. Perhaps this finding reflects the fact that adult services are similarly overstretched and under-resourced.

The last survey highlighted a lack of IT support. It is pleasing to report that 95% of clinics now hold a register of their diabetic children, and that 75% of these are held electronically. This must improve the ease with which local and national audits can be carried out, and so it remains disappointing that only around half of our respondents had been reporting to the Diabetes UK National Diabetes Audit.

In conclusion, although there is increasing specialisation, with larger clinics being run by more specialist paediatricians, serious deficiencies remain. These deficiencies must be addressed if children and young people at the vulnerable transition stage are to be helped in preventing the significant rates of psychological and diabetes related complications currently being seen in the UK. The serious lack of resourcing reported by many specialist teams caring for children with diabetes strongly support the contention that community care of this serious lifelong condition is not being recognised as a priority by purchasing authorities.

Main conclusions

This 4th National Survey confirms significant improvements in organisational structure including the concentration of expertise into bigger, more specialised clinics, but also highlights continuing important deficiencies including:

- Inadequate provision of appropriately trained and adequate numbers of specialised staff for children’s diabetes teams, particularly children’s trained diabetes specialist nurses and paediatric dieticians specialising in diabetes
- Particularly poor access to specialised psychology/psychiatry services
- Insufficient liaison and joint working with adult colleagues
- Variable standards in measuring glycated haemoglobin, and screening for complications or associated conditions
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