Severe acute respiratory syndrome in Singapore
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Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) is an emerging disease that has been linked to infection with a new strain of coronavirus (CoV). The infection has spread out from Guangdong province, China, to Hong Kong, and then a large number of centres around the world. The first case in Singapore occurred in a traveller that returned from Hong Kong, and to date there have been more than 200 patients affected on this island. A much larger number of patients have been admitted and treated at the designated SARS centre, Tan Tock Seng Hospital (TTSH), as part of the efforts to limit the spread of the disease. Prior to the outbreak of SARS, TTSH delivered comprehensive healthcare services, with the exception of maternity, neonatal, and paediatric units. In response to the developing crisis staff from three other centres (KK Women’s and Children’s Hospital (KKH), National University Hospital (NUH), and Singapore General Hospital (SGH)) collaborated with TTSH in rapidly developing these services on site, and redeploying their staff to man the units. Thus every SARS related paediatric case in Singapore was referred to one centre.

The objectives of this study were: to describe the epidemiological and clinical features of paediatric severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in Singapore.

Methods: The following data were retrospectively collected from the case records of all 71 patients (aged 7 months to 14 years) admitted from 23 March to 22 May 2003 to the SARS paediatric unit: patient demographics, contact history, clinical features, physiological parameters, investigations, treatment, and outcome. Using WHO criteria there were seven probable (P), 23 suspect (S), and 41 observe (O) cases.

Results: Compared to the O cases P patients had a longer mean duration of fever (3.66 (SD 2.3) v 8.57 (SD 2.44) days), lower mean thrombocytopenia (248.3 (SD 82.7) v 173.7 (SD 49.0) × 10^9/l), leucopenia (8.19 (SD 4.45) v 3.06 (SD 1.02) × 10^9/l), lymphopenia (2.79 (SD 1.97) v 1.44 (SD 0.75) × 10^9/l), and neutropenia (4.48 (SD 2.88) v 1.24 (SD 0.43) × 10^9/l). Chest auscultation was abnormal in 71% of P patients, with mild crepitations detected. All had abnormal chest radiographs versus 39% of S cases, and 27% of O cases.

Conclusions: There are no distinguishing clinical features of paediatric SARS. The diagnosis is suggested by the paucity of clinical signs with an abnormal chest radiograph, and laboratory evidence of leucopenia, lymphopenia, and thrombocytopenia.

METHODS

Paediatric patients admitted to TTSH were all nursed on the same ward. The admission criteria were age less than 12 years, and having a suspected SARS related diagnosis. Adolescent patients aged 13 and above were usually nursed in other wards within the same hospital and were seen by a different medical team. History and clinical examination data was recorded in a standard manner. Data were also routinely collected regarding the contact and travel history, along with information regarding possible family exposure to SARS infection. Laboratory investigations were performed with respect to the SARS related diagnosis as per the prevailing SARS protocol at the time of admission, which was based on CDC and WHO guidelines. In addition investigations were performed at the discretion of the clinician with respect to other possible non-SARS diagnoses.

Data were collected retrospectively for all patients from the case records, using a standardised proforma. Information was collected on patient demographics, contact history, travel history, family history, clinical features, physiological parameters, investigations, treatment, and outcome. All the case records were reviewed independently by two of the authors.

Patients were classified during their admission into the categories of “probable” (P), “suspect” (S), and “observe” (O), to reflect the likelihood that they had a SARS related condition. Patients in the P category were considered to have SARS; those in the S group did not meet the clinical case definition, but nevertheless had fever and respiratory symptoms along with an epidemiological link. Patients in the O group did not meet the clinical, epidemiological, or laboratory definitions at the time, and had been admitted either as a result of concern, or a clustering of illness that turned out to be non-SARS related. The classification was done using the CDC and WHO criteria that were available at the time of admission. The data for the O group were then compared to the other two categories.

One patient that had been previously classified as probable SARS was not admitted to TTSH. This patient had severe adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and was not stable for transfer from the paediatric intensive care unit where she was admitted. She was later found to have adenovirus infection; there was no laboratory evidence of SARS, and no epidemiological link. She subsequently died; postmortem analysis revealed no evidence of coronavirus infection. She was not included in this analysis.

As this was a retrospective case review, ethical approval was not sought.

Abbreviations: ARDS, adult respiratory distress syndrome; CoV, coronavirus; O, observe; P, probable; S, suspect; SARS, severe acute respiratory syndrome; TTSH, Tan Tock Seng Hospital.
RESULTS
Seventy one patients, aged 7 months to 14 years (two patients aged 13 and 14 years were admitted to the paediatric unit; they were siblings of younger patients), were admitted from 23 March to 22 May 2003.

Categorisation
The cases were categorised using criteria based on WHO and CDC guidelines available at the time of admission. This status was updated if there was any significant change in condition or laboratory result. The categorisation was also confirmed at presentation. Both in the home (H) and at the emergency department (ED) was higher in the O (H: 38.8˚C (SD 0.95), ED: 38.4˚C (SD 0.79)) and S (38.9˚C (SD 0.77), 38.5˚C (SD 0.83)) groups compared to the P group (H: 38.3˚C (SD 0.42), ED: 38.0˚C (SD 1.08)), but this was not significant.

Demographics
There was an over-representation of males in the cohort; while this was also seen in the S and O categories, the reverse was true in the P patients (table 2). The median age was 4.88 years (0.59 to 14.11); O 5.68 years, S 4.11 years, and P 4.59 years. Age was determined by counting days from birth to admission.

Contact and exposure
All paediatric P patients had a definite contact history with an adult P SARS case. All these contacts occurred in the home or community. No P cases had exposure from a hospital, healthcare worker, or while travelling. Thirty four per cent of the O patients and 30% of the S patients had a history of contact with a probable or suspect case.

In the cohort a total of 27 (38%) patients had a history of contact with a probable or suspect SARS case before presentation. Of these children, the final categorisation was P = 7 (26%), S = 6 (22%), O = 14 (51%).

There were 44 patients with no known contact. In this group there were no P cases, 16 S, and 28 O. Of these patients, 19 had travelled to a SARS affected country prior to presentation, nine had household contacts that had visited a hospital, seven were children of healthcare workers, and three had visited a hospital. There were four cases admitted as a result of clustering of febrile illness (that is, within a family or school), two cases of atypical pneumonia, and two cases with exposure to a wholesale centre that was known to have had an outbreak of SARS.

All of the seven paediatric SARS cases occurred within the setting of a family cluster. In one instance the patient was exposed to an adult and two teenagers from another family cluster. There was no documented child-to-child or child-to-adult transmission, despite exposure (fig 1).

Presentation
In the P group the range of possible incubation periods was 1–6 days for six of the patients. One patient had a possible incubation period of 1–12 days, but this is likely to be inaccurate as the child was exposed to the same contact for several days consecutively (table 3). All probable SARS patients presented with fever as the first symptom, versus 83% of S, and 85% of O (cough, rhinorrhea, or sore throat was the first symptom for the other cases). The temperature at presentation, both in the home (H) and at the emergency department (ED) was higher in the P group (H: 38.3˚C (SD 0.42), ED: 38.0˚C (SD 1.08)).
**Figure 1**  Family clustering of paediatric SARS cases. To protect confidentiality actual dates have not been used. D0 represents the first possible date of exposure of the first patient within the family cluster.
Clinical course
Some of the probable cases went on to develop cough, myalgia, diarrhoea, vomiting, and rhinorrhoea. These symptoms were also seen in the other patients at some point during the admission. Neither a rash nor conjunctivitis was seen in any paediatric SARS case. None of the patients in the cohort had significant dyspnoea or hypoxaemia (table 4).

There was no significant difference in the maximum temperature recorded in the three groups: O 38.8 (SD 1.08), S 38.9 (SD 1.06), and P 38.9 (SD 0.64). However, the day of illness on which the fever peaked was significantly later in the P cases: O 2.73 (SD 3.41), P 5.14 (SD 1.86) (t test, O v P, p = 0.013). In addition the total number of days with fever was greatest in the P group: O 3.66 (SD 2.3), S 6.61 (SD 7.14), P 8.57 (SD 2.44) (t test, O v P, p = 0.001).

Of the P cases, five (71%) had mild crepitations detected; there were no patients with wheeze. The other two patients had normal findings on lung auscultation.

Investigations
Chest radiographs were abnormal at presentation in five (71%) of the P patients. There were nine (39%) S and nine (22%) O cases with abnormal findings. During the course of the admission all P patients developed radiographic abnormalities. The radiographic findings varied from minimal airspace opacification to lobar consolidation. There were no “typical” findings, and no patients with a florid ARDS-like chest radiograph. A total of 27% of the O cases developed changes, and 39% of the S cases. At discharge five of the P patients continued to have radiographic changes, but at follow up the radiographs were all normal.

Although there were some patients with anaemia (Hb <120 g/l), the lowest haemoglobin recorded was not significantly different between the groups. In the P patients compared to the O cases there was significant leucopenia, lymphopenia, and neutropenia at admission and at the lowest recorded total white blood cell count. Thrombocytopenia was also noted in the P cases (tables 5 and 6). The day on which the platelet nadir occurred was also later for P patients.

There was no significant difference in the results for liver function tests, lactate dehydrogenase, and creatine kinase assays.

Treatment
No patient required mechanical ventilation. One patient required supplemental oxygen for less than 12 hours; he was classified as S. Ribavirin was used for a total of five patients (four P, one S), but only one patient received the full course. Steroid therapy was not used. Almost all patients received antipyretics and symptomatic treatment. A minority required supplemental intravenous fluids.

Outcome
There were no deaths or admissions to the intensive care unit. All patients were discharged, and at follow up all were asymptomatic. One P patient subsequently required readmission for bronchiolitis (positive for respiratory syncytial virus). S and P cases were discharged under a Home Quarantine Order (HQO); any caregiver who had accompanied the patient during the admission was subjected to the same order.

There were no cases of transmission of infection within the paediatric unit, either from patient to patient, or from patient to healthcare worker. All patients under HQO were followed up daily by phone and then as an outpatient after the end of the order. To date there have been no relapses or cases of transmission after recovery.

All the O and most of the S (82%) cases went on to have an alternative diagnosis at discharge. The most common was viral fever or exanthem (n = 19), followed by pneumonia (n = 10). All the patients with pneumonia responded to antibiotic therapy. There were also 12 upper respiratory tract infections (including pharyngitis and tonsillitis), five cases of dengue fever, and four cases of gastroenteritis. The remaining cases had bronchitis and asthma.

DISCUSSION
The paediatric SARS cohort in Singapore has had relatively mild disease compared to the adult population, and this is in keeping with other reported series of children. Given the nature of healthcare delivery in Singapore and the heightened awareness of the SARS crisis we are confident that every SARS related paediatric case was referred to and managed in the designated unit.

The case definitions used have been consistent with WHO and CDC guidelines, and although the case definitions changed during the time period covered, the retrospective

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Observed</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>39 (0.95)</td>
<td>23 (1)</td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chills</td>
<td>2 (0.05)</td>
<td>3 (0.13)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>19 (0.46)</td>
<td>18 (0.78)</td>
<td>2 (0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia</td>
<td>4 (0.10)</td>
<td>1 (0.04)</td>
<td>5 (0.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td>5 (0.12)</td>
<td>9 (0.39)</td>
<td>2 (0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rash</td>
<td>6 (0.15)</td>
<td>1 (0.04)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>6 (0.15)</td>
<td>2 (0.09)</td>
<td>2 (0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinorrhoea</td>
<td>9 (0.22)</td>
<td>8 (0.35)</td>
<td>1 (0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctivitis</td>
<td>1 (0.02)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results expressed as mean (SD).

DISCUSSION
The paediatric SARS cohort in Singapore has had relatively mild disease compared to the adult population, and this is in keeping with other reported series of children. Given the nature of healthcare delivery in Singapore and the heightened awareness of the SARS crisis we are confident that every SARS related paediatric case was referred to and managed in the designated unit.

The case definitions used have been consistent with WHO and CDC guidelines, and although the case definitions changed during the time period covered, the retrospective
The contact and exposure history in our patients shows no child-to-child or child-to-adult transmission. The reasons for this are not clear; several possibilities have been suggested, including a reduced cytokine response, immunotolerance, and previous immunisations. There is little in our experience or data to suggest an explanation. Although there have been significant concerns regarding the possibility of rapid spread of the disease within schools, this has not been shown. Although many of the SARS patients (adults and children) were epidemiologically linked to outbreaks within hospitals, with appropriate infection control policies and procedures in place the transmission within the hospital environment was stopped.

The incubation periods seen in this study are comparable to those previously reported; because almost all the children had their contact from a family member, the longest incubation period (12 days) documented is likely to be inaccurate as the child was exposed to the same contact for several days consecutively.

The presence of fever as a characteristic symptom has been described previously. The fever peaks later in the course of the illness. The diagnosis that is most helpful in differentiating SARS from other viral infections is the persistence of pyrexia despite treatment with antibiotics and antipyretics was characteristic. The presence of fever as a characteristic symptom has been described previously. The fever peaks later in the course of the illness. The diagnosis that is most helpful in differentiating SARS from other viral infections is the persistence of pyrexia despite treatment with antibiotics and antipyretics was characteristic.

Unfortunately the degree of pyrexia is not helpful in distinguishing SARS within our cohort. The fever peaks later in the course of the illness. The diagnosis that is most helpful in differentiating SARS from other viral infections is the persistence of pyrexia despite treatment with antibiotics and antipyretics was characteristic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>Haematological characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia &lt;120 g/l Hb</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucopenia WCC &lt;3.5 x10^9/l</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphopenia &lt;1.0 x10^9/l</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia &lt;1.0 x10^9/l</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia &lt;150 x10^9/l</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results expressed as mean (SD).
I test S v O.
I test P v O.

There are significant haematological findings. Although leucopenia, lymphopenia, neutropenia, and thrombocytopenia can be seen in almost any viral illness, in our cohort the degree of suppression appears to be significantly greater, and occurs later in the course of the illness. The diagnosis that this often mimics is dengue, which has also recently increased in incidence in Singapore. None of our patients developed complications such as bleeding or secondary bacterial infection.

Specific coronavirus investigations such as PCR and serological assays may hold the key to rapid identification of SARS cases in the future, but at the time of writing these
investigations are themselves the subject of much research and discussion. In our cohort the diagnosis of SARS has been made on the basis of contact history, clinical features, radiographic changes and course of illness. In four patients the coronavirus investigations have confirmed the diagnosis. In those patients the stool and respiratory secretions have yielded a positive result, despite the blood PCR being negative. This finding is compatible with those previously described. At follow up two of the four patients had coronavirus detected in the stool by PCR, but there are no data to suggest the infectivity of this.

Although ribavarin and steroid therapy have been advocated for SARS we have not routinely used either. Ribavarin was given to five patients, but four had the treatment discontinued early as we felt that there was a lack of convincing data to support the practice. The one patient who completed the course presented at the beginning of the crisis when there was little data available. As none of our patients developed hypoxaemia there was no indication to use steroid therapy with the attendant risks. The mild clinical course and lack of oxygen requirement suggests that most patients will fully recover with only symptomatic treatment, and that aggressive medical treatment should be reserved for those patients with evidence of respiratory compromise.

The lack of morbidity, mortality, and intensive care admissions confirms that SARS in children is a relatively mild disease, with a high likelihood of complete recovery. The absence of nosocomial infections and transmission to healthcare workers gives us confidence in the current infection control practices within the isolation facilities, but also highlights the need to have ready access to such services for children with infectious diseases.

Conclusions
Within the paediatric cohort in Singapore, SARS has been a relatively mild illness. There are no extraordinary distinguishing clinical features of paediatric SARS. The presence of fever is characteristic, but the degree of pyrexia is not. Radiographic changes are usually present but their nature is variable. The children in our study have some degree of leukopenia, lymphopenia, neutropenia, and thrombocytopenia. All the children have fully recovered, most with symptomatic treatment.
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