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Background: Several studies have shown an increased incidence of neurodevelopmental impairment in very preterm survivors at school age compared with controls.

Aim: To compare findings in the same cohort at 8 years and 15 years.

Methods: A total of 151 of the 224 eligible infants born before 33 weeks of gestation from 1979 to 1982, and who were living in the UK, were assessed at 8 and 15 years. Items common to both assessments were compared to evaluate changes in neurodevelopmental function. The assessment included a structured neurological examination, psychometric tests using the WISC-R (in subjects born in 1981–82), a test of visuomotor integration (Beery), and a school questionnaire.

Results: There was a significant increase in the proportion of subjects classified as impaired with disability from 11% at 8 to 22% at 14–15 years of age. The proportion of subjects classified as impaired without disability increased from 16% at 8 to 26% at 14–15 years of age. Full scale IQ decreased from 104 to 95 from childhood to adolescence, and more adolescents (24%) were requiring extra educational provision than they had at the age of 8 years (15%).

Conclusion: Results indicate that between the ages of 8 and 15 years in this cohort of very preterm survivors there is an apparent deterioration in neurodevelopmental outcome category, cognitive function, and extra educational support. It is not clear whether this represents a genuine deterioration in neurocognitive function or whether it represents the expression of pre-existing cerebral pathology in an increasingly complex environment.

Despite increases in the survival rates of preterm infants, little is known about the long term outcome into adolescence. Numerous studies published over the past 20 years have shown that there has been a significant improvement in the survival of preterm infants. The current evidence about whether this has led to an increase in the incidence of cerebral palsy is conflicting. The North of England Collaborative Cerebral Palsy Survey has recently reported that 50% of children with cerebral palsy were born preterm. In addition, children not displaying classical neurological signs are still vulnerable to problems later in childhood, particularly behavioural, attention, and cognitive. Despite these concerns, the vast majority of children who were born prematurely are now entering mainstream education, and attention is increasingly being turned to the quality of life of these survivors and their educational and behavioural status.

Compared with full term, age matched controls, very low birth weight children perform less well in a variety of cognitive and psychological tests, and have an increased requirement for extra educational assistance during the first decade. Little is known about outcome beyond the first decade, and in particular whether there is any change in morbidity and level of educational achievement during the progression from childhood into adolescence. The aim of this study therefore was to investigate the neurodevelopmental progress in a cohort of preterm survivors from a single tertiary perinatal centre, by comparing the results of detailed neurodevelopmental assessment at 8 and 14 years.

METHODS

Subjects
A total of 224 of 320 infants born before 33 weeks of gestational age between 1979 and 1982 and admitted within the first week of life to University College London Hospital (a tertiary referral neonatal unit) survived to discharge from hospital. This cohort underwent cranial ultrasound examination in the first weeks of life and neurodevelopmental assessment at 1 year, 4 years of age. At 8 years of age 207 children received detailed assessment. Of these, 151 children were available for assessment at 14–15 years. For logistical reasons only children living in the UK were contacted for assessment at 14–15 years of age.

Assessment at 8 years
At 8 years of age assessments were performed by a paediatrician, an audiometrician, and a psychologist, none of whom had any information about the perinatal histories. The assessment by the paediatrician consisted of a conventional and a structured neurological examination, a test of visual acuity with Snellen charts, a test of motor impairment, and the Beery test of visuomotor integration (VMI). The child’s school performance was recorded from a school report and a parental questionnaire. A pure-tone audiogram was performed by an experienced audiometrician in a soundproofed room.

Psychological assessment included tests of cognitive function using the Wechsler Intelligence Scales for Children – Revised (WISC-R), the Kaufman Assessment Battery for Children (K-ABC), and a behavioural checklist. The WISC-R and K-ABC were usually administered in the follow up clinic on two separate days, not more than one month apart.

If a child was so disabled that the tests of cognitive and fine motor function and visuomotor integration could not be completed, arbitrary scores were assigned. The scores assigned were equivalent to 3 SD below the test mean for the WISC-R and K-ABC, below the 5th centile for the Beery VMI and as a maximum error score for the TOMI.
Assessment at 14–15 years

At 14–15 years of age the assessments were carried out by a psychologist, a paediatrician, a neurologist, and a psychiatrist, all of whom were blind to both perinatal events and the results of the assessment at 8 years. The paediatrician performed a neurological examination and a test of visual-motor integration. The paediatrician also performed the premorbid adjustment scale, which assesses school performance and social adjustment, the Rutter behavioural score, and gave the parents a structured interview. The psychologist administered the Schonnel test for reading age and the WISC-R intelligence quotient was performed in children who had been born in 1981 and 1982. A neurologist also independently carried out a standardised neurological examination. Information regarding behaviour and school performance was also sought.

Definition of impairment and disability

At both 8 and 14 years of age children were assigned to three outcome groups: impairment leading to disability; impairment without disability; and no impairment. The definitions for these outcome groups are provided in the Appendix.

In order to ensure consistency, the neurological assessment and the assignment of subjects to outcome category was supervised by the same researchers (SR and ALS) at both ages.

Data analysis

The results of the assessments were coded and analysed by computer using the Wilcoxon signed rank test, and paired t test (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 7.0).

Ethics

Consent for the follow up study was obtained from the Joint University College London/University College Hospital Committee on the Ethics of Human Research and the Joint Medical Ethical Committee of the Institute of Neurology and National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery.

RESULTS

At 14–15 years the 151 subjects who were assessed represented 67.4% of the eligible cohort. At least 11 children were living abroad and unable to attend for assessment. We were therefore able to assess 71% of available survivors living in the UK. A comparison between surviving infants who were assessed at 14–15 years and those who were not, showed that there was no significant difference for gestational age (29.3, SD 2.1 vs 30.1 SD 1.7). There was a weakly significant difference (p = 0.03) in birth weight between the two groups (1306 g, SD 319 g assessed vs 1449 g, SD 360 g not assessed). A further comparison was made of the neurodevelopmental status at 1 and 8 years of age; there was no significant difference between those who were assessed at 14–15 years and those who were not. It seems likely therefore that the population studied was representative of the cohort as a whole. Table 1 shows the perinatal characteristics of the subjects.

Table 2 shows the number (and percentage) of children classified as either normal, impaired without disability, or impaired with disability at both 8 years and 14–15 years. It can be seen that the proportion of subjects classified as disabled doubled from 11% at 8 years to 22% at 14 years.

In order to establish which components of the neurodevelopmental assessment contributed to the observed apparent deterioration between 8 and 15 years, we analysed in more detail the results of the WISC-R, VMI, and neurological examination. Table 3 illustrates the results of the psychometric test (WISC-R) performed on children born 1981–82 and the VMI test. Performance IQ was calculated from five subtests at 8 and only two subtests at 15; for this reason we have only displayed the comparable two subtests in which a deterioration can be seen between the two ages. Figure 1 shows the changes in full scale IQ. Using the Wilcoxon signed rank test we found a statistically significant difference in the children with an IQ >84 at 8 years (n = 56; 85%) compared to the same test results at 14 years (n = 45; 68%; p = 0.004). Similarly, the proportion of children with an IQ of 70–84 at 8 years (n = 8; 12%) had increased (n = 16; 24%) by adolescence (p = 0.002). There was no significant change in the proportion of 8 year olds with an IQ <70 when measured at 14 years.

Table 4 shows the neuromotor test results for the whole cohort at 8 and 14–15 years. It can clearly be seen that the proportion of subjects classified as normal at 8 years has decreased from 82% to 65% at 15 years. There has been a corresponding increase in impairment from 13% to 30% from childhood to adolescence with little change in those classified as disabled.

Table 5 shows the provision of extra educational assistance at the two age groups. There was a significant increase in the provision of assistance at the older age group. This was almost entirely due to increased assistance for children in mainstream schools.

DISCUSSION

We have shown that there is an apparent deterioration in neurodevelopmental status between the ages of 8 and 15 years in a cohort of preterm children. The most striking change was an increase in the proportion of children previously assessed as normal who had mild impairments at 13 years. The decline in assessment scores involved both cognitive and neurological measures. In line with these findings there was an increase in the number and proportion of subjects requiring extra educational provision in adolescence compared with 8 years of age.

Comparison with other studies

Our results are consistent with those of other studies documenting the prevalence of neurodevelopmental problems during both the childhood years and adolescence, although methodological differences make precise comparison difficult. Pharoah and colleagues, in a study of 8 year old children with birth weights <2000 g found 11.6% had definite motor impairment and a further 21.6% had moderate motor impairment as assessed by the TOMI at 8 years. They

### Table 1 Perinatal characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender, male:female</th>
<th>84:67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gestation (weeks), median (range)</td>
<td>29 (24–32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g), median (range)</td>
<td>1282 (600–2230)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA (&lt;10th centile), n (%)</td>
<td>6 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Delivery data missing for one subject.*
also found lower reading ages and WISC scores compared with controls.

Cognitive outcome at 8 years of age in our study was comparable to the results of other studies. For example, Saigal and colleagues found that extremely low birth weight children at the age of 8 years had mean WISC-R full scale IQ of 91 compared with matched controls who had IQ scores of 104.

In our cohort the proportion of children receiving extra educational provision at 8 years of age was 15%. This is comparable with the estimate of the 1976 Court Report, which estimated that 14% of all children in the general UK population received extra educational provision. However, several other studies from other countries have found a greater requirement for extra educational provision. Horwood and colleagues in New Zealand found 22.9% of children with birth weights of 1000–1499 g and 26.9% with birth weights <1000 g required extra educational assistance at 7–8 years. A large population study from the Netherlands of preterm and very low birth weight infants assessed at 9 years of age failed to show a decline in a preterm cohort of 15th centile, with 34% being significantly impaired (<5th centile).

In contrast to the extensive outcome reporting at early school age, much less has been reported about outcome into adolescence. Cooke and Abernathy, in a comparison of MRI and school performance found that the 12–13 year old subjects had a mean WISC IQ of 88, although there appeared to be no correlation between MRI abnormality and IQ, motor impairment, or frequency of attention deficits. Rushe et al found (in a subgroup of our study cohort) that verbal fluency scores at 15 years were significantly reduced compared with term controls, although a range of other neuropsychological tests gave results similar to controls.

A population based study from the Netherlands recently reported that at 14 years, 27% of a cohort of preterm babies required special educational assistance. The investigators noted that in non-handicapped preterm survivors special educational assistance was four times higher than in the general population.

Table 3 Psychometric test scores at 8 years and adolescence of infants born <33 weeks gestation (n = 66)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WISC-R subscales, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Age at test</th>
<th>8 years</th>
<th>14–15 years</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full scale IQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance IQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picture completion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal IQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similarities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocabulary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMI, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Neuromotor test results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neuromotor categories</th>
<th>8 years (n = 150), n (%)</th>
<th>14–15 years (n = 149), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>122 (82)</td>
<td>98 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired</td>
<td>20 (13)</td>
<td>45 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled</td>
<td>8 (5)</td>
<td>6 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also found deterioration in cognitive measures performed at 12 years compared to 6 years. In particular they noted an increase in the proportion of children with mild cognitive impairment. However, they also found an apparent deterioration in a group of classroom matched term controls, over the same time period. They concluded that the comparable drop in IQ in both groups could be accounted for by the revised standardisation of the WISC test in 1992.

A longitudinal study comparing assessment at 4, 9, and 19 years of age failed to show a decline in a preterm cohort of infants and instead showed that there was a slight decline in the performance of full term controls. However, the population studied consisted of infants born between 27 and 32 weeks of gestation and different assessment tools were used at the different ages. Saigal and colleagues found a decline in mathematical skills between the ages of 8 and adolescence.
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APPENDIX

- **Impairment with disability** was assigned on the basis of disabling neurological signs, seizures, hearing loss requiring the use of hearing aids, cognitive function tests (WISC-R and K-ABC), and tests of motor impairment (Henderson-Stott) 2 SDs below the mean and test results <5th centile for visuo-motor integration (Beery) or a Rutter score >12.

- **Impairment without disability** was assigned if there were abnormal neurological signs with normal function, a high tone hearing loss (not requiring hearing aids), a cognitive function or motor impairment test result between 1 and 2 SDs below the mean, or a visuo-motor integration score between the 5th and 10th centiles.

- All the remaining children were assigned to a normal category.

- At 14–15 years of age classification was as above with the following differences: a Schonell reading age less than 2 SD below the mean was taken as evidence of impairment severe enough to cause disability. Those with a reading age between 1 and 2 SD below the mean were classified as impaired but not disabled. The Henderson-Stott test is not validated at this age group and was therefore not performed.
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Table 5  Educational attainment and provision of assistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>8 years</th>
<th>14–15 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achievement satisfactory</td>
<td>112 (74)</td>
<td>96 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher not satisfied</td>
<td>14 (9)</td>
<td>10 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referred to educational psychologist</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra help in class (mainstream school)</td>
<td>12 (8)</td>
<td>22 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeating a year</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioural problem only</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special school</td>
<td>10 (7)</td>
<td>11 (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wilcoxon rank test, p = 0.004 for whole model.
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Congenital heart disease and maternal diabetes

Some 0.6–0.8% of liveborn babies have congenital cardiovascular malformations. For babies whose mothers have diabetes retrospective studies have put the rate at around 2–4%. A prospective population based study in the north of England has provided more data (Christopher Wren et al. Heart 2003;89:1217–20).

The population studied has a recent live birth rate of around 32 000 a year. In the study years, 1995–2000, there were 192 618 live births, 609 to mothers with pre-existing diabetes. Cardiovascular malformations were diagnosed before the age of 12 months in 1417 babies of non-diabetic mothers. It is estimated that these three malformations are at least 15 times more prevalent in liveborn infants of mothers with diabetes. Data from the Northern Congenital Abnormality survey show that at least 2.8% of babies born with transposition between 1985 and 2000 had mothers with diabetes. This contrasts with the 0.32% prevalence of diabetes among all mothers in the prospective 1995–2000 study. Among the 609 women with diabetes in this study a cardiovascular malformation was diagnosed antenatally in 12 and postnatally in 12. Two affected fetuses, both with left atrial isomerism, died in utero. During the study period 92 non-diabetic pregnancies with a fetus with cardiovascular malformation were terminated.

Mothers with pre-existing diabetes have a fivefold increase in the risk of having a baby born alive with a cardiovascular malformation. Transposition of the great arteries, truncus arteriosus, and tricuspid atresia are the malformations particularly associated with maternal diabetes.
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