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Aim: To test whether nurses can use the WHO integrated management of childhood illness (IMCI) nutrition algorithm to identify reliably severe protein-energy malnutrition in children.

Methods: Nurses were trained to identify severe protein-energy malnutrition using IMCI training materials. They identified visible severe wasting and bipedal oedema, and categorised weight-for-age using a growth chart, in consecutive children attending outpatient clinics. Their findings were compared with weight for height Z (WHZ) score, bipedal oedema assessed by a trained observer, and weight-for-age Z score.

Results: A total of 352 children were recruited, of whom 34 (9.7%) were severely wasted (WHZ score < −3) and 18 (5.1%) had bipedal oedema. In the detection of severe wasting, the nurses’ assessments showed 56% sensitivity, 95% specificity, and 56% positive predictive value (PPV), and for bipedal oedema 22%, 99%, and 57% respectively. Overall, the nurses identified only half of 50 children with severe wasting and/or bipedal oedema and wrongly identified a further 13 children as severely malnourished. Plotting weight for age by the nurses showed 62% sensitivity, 99% specificity, and 89% PPV for the detection of children with very low weight.

Conclusions: Severe malnutrition was both under-diagnosed and wrongly diagnosed by nurses trained in the use of the IMCI nutrition algorithm in a clinic setting in The Gambia. These guidelines for health workers and the training materials, particularly with respect to calculation of age, need further development to improve the detection of malnourished children.

In 2000, 149.6 million children (26.7% of the world’s population of children) were at least moderately malnourished. Over half of all child deaths in developing countries have been attributed to the potentiating effect of malnutrition, and nutritional status is closely related to case fatality in children admitted to health facilities. In 13 579 children aged 5 years or less admitted to two hospitals in The Gambia, the most underweight (weight for age Z (WAZ) score < −4) had a case fatality of 22.7% compared with 7.2% for better nourished children (WAZ score > −2). The recognition of severe malnutrition in hospital is important because standard management protocols can greatly reduce mortality.

The WHO guidelines for the management of severe malnutrition, severely malnourished children are identified by calculation of weight for height and the detection of bipedal oedema. Severe wasting is defined as a weight for height Z (standard deviation) score of less than 3 below the mean for the reference population (WHZ < −3).

The WHO has developed integrated management of childhood illness (IMCI) guidelines to help health workers in first level facilities in developing countries to identify and manage sick children. These rely on the detection of several key symptoms and clinical signs. Length or height is not usually measured in primary level clinics because it is time consuming and difficult to do accurately. Therefore, the IMCI guidelines recommend that health workers identify severe protein-energy malnutrition by the presence of visible severe wasting and bipedal oedema. If these signs are present, admission to a specialist centre is recommended. If these signs are not present, nurses then assess weight for age using a growth chart. Children with very low weight (WAZ < −3) are given nutritional advice and outpatient follow up. Local adaptation of the generic guidelines is encouraged for the implementation of IMCI.

Although the study site (the Royal Victoria Hospital) is a secondary/tertiary referral hospital, the low nurse to patient ratio means that height measurements for WHZ calculation are not feasible under normal working conditions. In this study, we assessed whether nurses in The Gambia who were trained to use the nutrition algorithm of the IMCI guidelines were able to identify reliably children with severe malnutrition attending outpatient clinics. The study did not assess the detection of anaemia, also included in the nutrition algorithm, or other components of the IMCI guidelines.

METHODS

Site and participants
All children aged 2–60 months attending the Paediatric Outpatient Clinic of the Royal Victoria Hospital, Banjul during December 2001 and January 2002 were recruited. The hospital is a busy tertiary level facility and children are referred from local and regional health centres.

Nursing staff and IMCI training
Four state registered and three auxiliary nurses were trained by CH and KK in the IMCI nutrition algorithm. Training materials used were the IMCI training manual, “Assess and classify the sick child age 2 months up to 5 years” and the related IMCI Photograph Manual. Training materials were given to the nurses for review one week before training. Training was done one-to-one and included a practical component of assessing children with and without severe wasting and/or pedal oedema admitted to hospital. All nurses

Abbreviations: IMCI, integrated management of childhood illness; IQR, interquartile range; PPV, positive predictive value; WAZ score, weight for age Z score; WHZ score, weight for height Z score
were assessed at the end of the session and showed good knowledge and performance. Nurses undertook the study immediately after completing the training.

**Data collection**

Data were recorded on to standard forms. Demographic details were obtained from the Road-to-Health card. A local events calendar was used to determine age when a health card was not available. Signs of protein energy malnutrition, including bipedal oedema, were recorded by KK. The attending physician was consulted to verify any doubtful clinical findings including the presence of oedema. Each child was weighed twice, wearing minimal clothing using an electronic scale (SECA Model 835; Child Growth Foundation, London). In children <24 months, length (Rollametre), and in older children, standing height (Traditional Height Measure, CMS Weighing Equipment Limited, UK), was measured three times. Means were used for the final values. The diagnoses and decision regarding admission determined by the attending physician were recorded.

The nurse was blind to these data and was provided only with each child’s name, date of birth, and weight. The nurse recorded whether there was visible severe wasting and/or bipedal oedema, and then determined whether each child had a very low weight by calculating the child’s age and using the IMCI weight for age chart.

**Data analysis**

All data were double entered and WHZ and WAZ scores determined (EPI-INFO, version 6, Centres for Disease Control, Atlanta, USA). WHZ score, WAZ score, and assessment of bipedal oedema by KK were used to evaluate the nurses’ assessments.

Ethical approval for the study was granted by the joint Gambia Government/Medical Research Council Ethics Committee.

**RESULTS**

A total of 361 children were recruited. Nine children were excluded: five did not fulfil the inclusion criteria, three had incomplete data, and the measurement of one was assumed to be inaccurate on the basis of an extreme value for WHZ score.

Median (intraquartile range; IQR) age was 16.0 (9.8–27.0) months; 208 (59.1%) were boys. Median (IQR) WHZ score was $-1.34$ ($-2.22$ to $-0.55$; see fig 1). The most common primary diagnoses were malaria (58.8%), acute respiratory infections (11.9%), gastroenteritis (7.5%), and skin infection (4.9%). No child had a primary diagnosis of malnutrition, but this was a secondary diagnosis in 17 (4.8%) children.

Thirty four (9.7%) children were severely wasted (WHZ score $<-3$) and 18 (5.1%) children had bipedal oedema.

Median (IQR) WHZ score for children with bipedal oedema was $-1.74$ ($-2.49$ to $-1.06$). Only two children had both severe wasting and bipedal oedema. The age distribution, predominance of males, and frequency of primary diagnoses in the malnourished children were similar to the whole group.

The nurses identified visible severe wasting in 34 (9.7%) children and bipedal oedema in eight (2.3%), including three children with both signs. Table 1 shows the sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value of the nurse assessments. Overall, the nurses identified correctly only half of 50 children with severe wasting and/or bipedal oedema. Nurses wrongly identified 15 children as having severe wasting; the median (IQR) WHZ score for these children was $-2.05$ ($-2.61$ to $-1.47$). Therefore, around half of these children had moderate wasting (WHZ score between $\geq 3$ and $<-2$) and half were better nourished. There were five children with a WHZ score $<-4$, all of whom were picked up by the nurses. The age, sex distribution, and primary diagnoses in the children wrongly diagnosed by the nurses and in those malnourished children missed by the nurses were similar to those correctly identified.

Severe stunting (height for age Z score $<-3$) occurred in 22 children, of whom five were severely malnourished. The nurses appeared to identify children with severe wasting and/or oedema more reliably in those who also had severe stunting but the confidence interval was wide (sensitivity 71.4%; 95% CI 38.0 to 104.9), but also wrongly identified more cases in this group (specificity 60.0%; 95% CI 35.2 to 84.8).

The number of children assessed by each nurse was insufficient to allow assessment of inter-observer differences. However, the performance of the auxiliary nurses was similar to that of the more highly trained staff. The physicians attending the cases correctly recorded a diagnosis of severe malnutrition in 12/50 cases and wrongly identified a further five cases as severely malnourished.

Table 2 shows the additional clinical signs of malnutrition recorded by KK according to nutritional status. All signs were detected more frequently in severely malnourished than non-malnourished children. However, each occurred only in the minority of severe cases.

**DISCUSSION**

Severe protein-energy malnutrition was common in children attending this clinic, occurring in more than one in ten children. Using the IMCI nutrition algorithm, the nurses did not identify malnourished children reliably; sensitivity and PPV were uniformly low for all outcomes. The net result was many severely malnourished children were not detected and therefore did not receive appropriate treatment regimes. Although specificities appeared to be good, this still resulted in many non-severely malnourished children being wrongly identified, and, among these, half had moderate malnutrition with the remainder being better nourished. Scarce resources may therefore be targeted at less needy children.

Could this poor performance have resulted from inadequate training? The training focused on the nutritional algorithm only (excluding anaemia), used IMCI materials, and included practical experience and assessment. The study was undertaken immediately on completion of the training exercise. Therefore, we consider that the training delivered was adequate. Also, it is unlikely that better training in the nutritional algorithm will occur in practice when training in the complete IMCI guidelines is delivered. The 100% accuracy in detecting children with more severe malnutrition (WHZ score $<-4$) suggests that the nurses were correctly identifying the signs but only when they were more apparent. Our findings are similar to a previous small study where,
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used to identify severely wasted children, and in this study, useful.

More emphasis on this during training may be insufficient time depressing the tissues when detecting secure than for the other indices. Despite completing training findings regarding the detection of bipedal oedema are less a better gold standard for use in a working environment, our children. Therefore, although it would be difficult to devise physician if needed. However, the physicians themselves observer (KK) who sought assistance from the attending were trained who also had chronic malnutrition (severe stunting) but, on year. Repeating the study at a time of year when food availability would improve, than at other times of the year. As may be expected, there was a suggestion that nurses were more available would address this issue.

Were there other contributing factors? The study took place at a time of year when food availability was improving. Nurses may have had a higher threshold for identifying severe protein-energy malnutrition, expecting that children’s nutritional status would improve, than at other times of the year. Repeating the study at a time of year when food was less available would address this issue.

As may be expected, there was a suggestion that nurses identified severe, acute malnutrition more easily in children who also had chronic malnutrition (severe stunting) but, on the other hand, over-diagnosed acute malnutrition in stunted children. However, the number of severely stunted children was small and differences in nurses’ performance were not statistically significant.

The children in this study were assessed at a tertiary referral centre. Are our findings relevant to the detection of severe malnutrition in children attending primary level health facilities? It is likely that performance in primary level clinics would be worse than in the hospital setting. Although, in The Gambia, the grades of nurses working in the hospital clinic are similar to those working in primary level clinics, the hospital based nurses see many more children with malnutrition and, therefore, would be expected to be more skilled in their recognition. Also, even at the same level of specificity, the number of wrongly diagnosed malnutrition cases (“false positives”) would be expected to increase at the primary level where the frequency of malnutrition is lower.

Our findings of low accuracy in plotting weight for age differed from a previous study in Ethiopia that looked at the performance of six health workers trained in IMCI management, where only two patients out of 449 were misclassified. This study was undertaken in a more controlled research environment, with one field worker appointed to assess only seven children per day. In our study there were nine health workers involved, assessing much larger numbers of children and continuing their normal workload. Direct observation in our study showed that nurses found accurate calculation of age from dates of birth difficult. This step is not included in the IMCI training materials where ages of children are given, and explains why nurses performed well in the assessments after training but poorly in practice. The training materials should be modified to include calculation of age.

Our findings suggest that modifications to the current IMCI nutrition algorithm should be considered. Although we did not test the ability of nurses to identify other clinical signs of malnutrition (angular cheilitis, skin changes, etc.), the low frequency of these signs in children with severe malnutrition in this study suggests that they would not be useful additions to the algorithm. Although low, mid, and upper arm circumference in 1–5 year olds has been shown to identify a slightly different population from low WHZ score, it appears to be a predictor of childhood mortality in community studies. This measurement does not require calculation of exact age and should be explored.

Finally, it should be noted that this study focused only on severe wasting and bipedal oedema, and the identification of severe anaemia and other symptoms and signs that would prompt referral and/or admission were not tested. Therefore, it is possible that some of the children in whom severe malnutrition was missed may have been selected for referral

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indices of severe malnutrition</th>
<th>“True” number (% of cases)</th>
<th>Nurses’ assessments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severe wasting</td>
<td>34/352 (9.7)</td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Specificity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PPV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19/34 (55.9)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[39.2 to 72.6]</td>
<td>[95% CI]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipedal oedema</td>
<td>18/352 (5.1)</td>
<td>303/318 (95.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[4/18 (22.2)</td>
<td>[93.0 to 97.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe wasting and/or bipedal oedema</td>
<td>50/352 (14.2)</td>
<td>4/7 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[25/50 (50.0)</td>
<td>[98.1 to 100.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[36.1 to 63.9]</td>
<td>[20.4 to 93.8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very low weight for age</td>
<td>50/352 (14.2)</td>
<td>289/302 (95.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[31/50 (62.0)</td>
<td>[93.4 to 98.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[48.5 to 75.5]</td>
<td>[50.7 to 80.9]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Nurses’ assessments were compared with number of children with severe wasting defined as WHZ score <-3; bipedal oedema identified by a trained observer and very low weight for age defined as WAZ score <-3.

Table 2 Clinical signs according to nutritional status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical sign of malnutrition</th>
<th>Severely malnourished* (n = 50)</th>
<th>Not severely malnourished† (n = 302)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angular cheilitis</td>
<td>10 (20%)</td>
<td>22 (7.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparse fragile hair</td>
<td>6 (12%)</td>
<td>10 (3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair depigmentation</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>3 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral thrush</td>
<td>3 (6%)</td>
<td>9 (2.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin changes</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
<td>3 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td>14 (28%)</td>
<td>43 (14.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered affect</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
<td>12 (4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*WHZ score <-3 and/or bipedal oedema.
†WHZ score >-3 and no bipedal oedema.
or admission based on other IMCI criteria (for example, severe anaemia, inability to feed).

Conclusions
Nurses trained to use the IMCI nutrition algorithm only identified about half of severely malnourished Gambian children attending clinics, and also frequently wrongly diagnosed malnutrition. Accuracy did, however, improve with greater degrees of malnutrition. Identifying children with very low weight using a weight for age chart was also unreliable. Both moderate and severe malnutrition are highly prevalent and significant causes of avoidable morbidity and mortality in developing countries. Finding more reliable ways to help health workers to identify malnourished children, with improved training materials and better ongoing supervision are high priorities.
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