Asthma has little, if any, significant effect on attained adult height. Untreated asthma results in a delay of puberty by approximately 1.3 years, and pubertal delay is likely to explain the majority of apparent growth failure in asthmatics. All currently available inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) result in growth suppression at conventional doses (400 µg/day of beclomethasone dipropionate equivalent), but the growth suppressive effects are relatively short lived, after which growth reverts to pretreatment levels. Younger, prepubertal children, appear more sensitive to the growth suppressive effects of ICS. Asthmatic children receiving conventional doses of ICS (400 µg/day of BDP equivalent) will attain an adult height indistinguishable from their predicted adult height (based on their mid parental height), and no different from non-asthmatics. Adult height could possibly be decreased in severe asthmatics, but this is unlikely to be greater than a 1.2 cm decrement. Recent longitudinal studies offer reassurance that at conventional doses ICS do not have significant long term effects on growth, and that their benefits consistently outweigh their side effects.

The effect of asthma and its treatment on growth
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Here is understandable parental concern over the side effects of prescribed medicines in children. For the parents of an asthmatic child, casually mentioning that you intend starting their child on a corticosteroid, even in the inhaled form, conjures up terrifying visions of stunted growth, obesity, and disgraced Olympic 100 metre champions. These concerns have been fuelled by reports of significant short and medium term growth suppression in association with inhaled corticosteroids (ICS). However, two recent studies have clarified many of the uncertainties over the longer term effects of ICS, and so from a medical perspective the perceived risk of ICS on growth has swung over by approximately 1.3 years, giving the impression of reduced stature in the asthmatic boys during adolescence, but that growth continued to an older age.

This effect on puberty was reflected in the Melbourne prospective longitudinal asthma study. Children labelled as asthmatic were significantly older in attaining each Tanner pubic hair stage. As a result peak height velocity in the asthmatic boys was delayed by approximately 1.3 years, giving the impression of reduced stature in the asthmatic boys during adolescence, but that growth continued to an older age.

**THE EFFECT OF ASTHMA ON PUBERTY, GROWTH, AND ATTAINED ADULT HEIGHT**

The vast majority of asthmatic children will attain a normal adult height, and most perceived growth failure is due to pubertal delay. In a mixed cross sectional longitudinal cohort study of 531 boys aged between 2 and 20 years of age attending a Belgian residential asthma institute, Hauspie and colleagues noted that, compared to both British and Dutch reference values, boys with asthma were significantly older in attaining each Tanner pubic hair stage. As a result peak height velocity in the asthmatic boys was delayed by approximately 1.3 years, giving the impression of reduced stature in the asthmatic boys during adolescence, but that growth continued to an older age.

**Abbreviations:** BDP, beclomethasone dipropionate; BUD, budesonide; FP, fluticasone propionate; ICS, inhaled corticosteroids
not differ significantly from predicted height derived from mid-parental height.

The most comprehensive data to date, provided by countries with military conscription, suggests that adult height in asthmatics is close to normal. A study of 92 310 17-year old Israeli military conscripts reported no significant difference in height between severe asthmatics and non-asthmatic controls, and indeed mild asthmatic males were slightly taller than non-asthmatic controls. A more recent report on the height of 173 034 18-year old Swedish military conscripts (including 8531 asthmatics) showed a small but significant difference in height between asthmatics and normal controls, with a negative correlation between height and asthma severity. The authors were able to analyse four different cohorts between 1983 and 1996, and reassuringly, despite a 40-fold increase in the use of inhaled corticosteroids in Sweden over that time period, the difference in height between asthmatics and controls decreased with each successive age cohort, with the greatest convergence in values observed in severe asthmatics. In the 1996 cohort mean height was 0.7 cm less in the asthmatic conscripts, with the maximum difference being 1.2 cm between the severe asthmatics and non-asthmatics. As many of the subjects in both these studies had not reached their ultimate adult height, it is likely that the observed differences between asthmatics and normal controls are an overestimate.

The Effect of Treatment of Asthma on Growth

Regular systemic corticosteroids such as oral prednisolone result in a dose dependent impairment of growth. Hence the advent of inhaled corticosteroids in the early 1970s revolutionised asthma care in children. Early reports concentrated on their therapeutic efficacy, while their side effects appeared negligible compared to oral corticosteroids. The first suggestion that inhaled corticosteroids might have a adverse effect on growth was a report in 1988 of a significant negative inflection in the height standard deviation score (SDS) at the onset of commencing regular beclometasone dipropionate (BDP). Over the following 25 years all of the currently available ICS have been shown to cause a dose dependent growth suppression. When reviewing the effect of ICS on growth, it is useful to divide the reports into short term studies (less than six months), medium term studies (6–24 months), and longer term studies (more than 24 months and up to adulthood).

Short term studies

Short term studies have utilised knemometry, an extremely accurate means of measuring lower leg length growth, which allows crossover studies to be performed rapidly. For example, Wolthers and Pedersen showed that both 2.5 and 5 mg of oral prednisolone daily completely interrupted knemometrically measured lower leg growth in mildly asthmatic children. Inhaled corticosteroids do not have such a pronounced effect; the same authors showed no significant effect on lower leg growth for either 200 or 400 µg/day of budesonide (BUD) for two weeks, but a significant 66% decrease in growth while receiving budesonide 800 µg/day. A number of investigators have reported that BUD has no significant effect on short term growth at a dose of 200 µg/day, but results at a dose of 400 µg/day are inconsistent. In contrast BDP has no effect at a dose of 200 µg/day, but consistently results in decreased short term growth at a dose of either 400 or 800 µg/day. Fluticasone propionate (FP) has no effect on growth at a dose of 200 µg/day.

There are however major difficulties over the interpretation of knemometric studies due to the marked intra-subject and inter-subject variability in short term lower leg growth. Even within the same laboratory there are substantial variations between studies in reported lower leg growth while receiving placebo. Furthermore as the growth suppressive effects of ICS are probably short lived (see below), there will be marked differences of effect between subjects who are receiving ICS at onset of the study and those that are not. Thus short term lower leg growth is a very poor correlate of statureal growth, and the short term effects of any given treatment cannot be extrapolated to the longer term.

Medium term studies

Medium term studies address growth between 6 and 24 months of follow up. Early reports on the therapeutic effects of ICS were not designed to detect small effects on growth, but in the past 10 years there have been six well designed randomised double blind studies where medium term growth has been assessed: four for BDP (one versus theophylline, one versus placebo, one versus salmeterol, and one versus placebo and theophylline); and two studies comparing FP with either placebo or BDP. All four controlled studies for BDP reported significant growth suppression at a dose of 400 µg/day, and a recent meta-analysis of these four studies calculated that BDP at this dose results in a decrease in linear growth of 1.51 cm/year (95% CI 1.15 to 1.87). Growth in children receiving 200 µg/day of BDP appears unaffected. Younger, prepubertal children, appear more sensitive to the growth suppressive effects of ICS.

A randomised, double blind multicentre study compared FP 100 µg/day or 200 µg/day with placebo via diskhaler in a group of 325 prepubescent children over one year. Mean height increased over 12 months by 6.15 cm in the placebo treated group, 9.94 cm in those receiving 100 µg/day, and by 5.73 cm in those receiving 200 µg/day (p = 0.3 overall). However, a reanalysis of this data established a significant decrease in growth of 0.43 cm/year (95% CI 0.01 to 0.85) in those receiving 200 µg/day of FP compared to placebo, while growth in those receiving 100 µg/day was not significantly different to placebo. In the only comparison of BDP and FP, Rao and colleagues compared FP 200 µg/day with BDP 400 µg/day via metered dose inhaler in a randomised, double blind single centre study in 23 prepubescent children over 20 months. Growth was significantly decreased in those receiving BDP compared to FP (4.94 cm/year compared to 5.75 cm/year, 95% CI 0.45 to 1.16).

A major difficulty with short and medium term studies is that the magnitude of reported growth suppression flies in the face of clinical observations. For example, if the pooled effect of BDP at the conventional dose of 400 µg/day were consistent over a 10 year period, growth decrement would be a not inconsiderable 15 cm. Extrapolation of the of the short term effect of BUD 800 µg/day gives even more inconceivable results. Medium term studies give conflicting results on the duration of the effect, with some authors reporting that the effect is short lived, while others report that the effect persists for the duration of the study.

Longer term studies

Longer term studies assess growth for more than 24 months and up to adulthood. There is a single randomised controlled study and four longitudinal observational studies detailed to the follow up, showing that the effects of ICS on growth are relatively short lived. It was designed to measure the effects of regular inhaled anti-inflammatory agents on lung growth, with the primary end point being the change in forced
A PRAGMATIC APPROACH

What therefore is a sensible approach to inhaled corticosteroids in childhood? The recent British guideline on the management of asthma advocates starting inhaled corticosteroids at a dose appropriate to the disease severity. For the vast majority of children this will be at a BDP equivalent dose of 200 μg/day, where side effects are extremely unlikely. All children receiving 400 μg/day or more should have their height measured every six months, preferably by the same person using the same equipment. A small short term decrement in height velocity is possible, but more striking and prolonged decreases in height velocity are of greater concern. Recent reports have highlighted significant and often symptomatic adrenal suppression in children receiving high doses of inhaled corticosteroids, particularly fluticasone propionate. Many of the children had associated growth suppression preceding the demonstration of adrenal suppression. Although the majority of cases were receiving doses of inhaled corticosteroid well above the licensed recommendations, some appeared to be receiving more conventional doses. Thus it is prudent to recommend that any child who requires more than 400 μg/day BDP equivalent a day to control their asthma should have the diagnosis and treatment reassessed, and that any child receiving inhaled corticosteroids with striking or prolonged decrease in height velocity should have an urgent assessment of adrenal function.
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Pacemaker twiddling

A 10 year old boy with severe learning disabilities had a permanent subpectoral endocardial pacemaker for intermittent heart block. Twenty three months later, he presented with an episode of collapse associated with pacemaker failure. Chest x-ray examination showed that he had twiddled the pacemaker clockwise and pulled the lead out of the right ventricle (radiograph A). A new pacing system was inserted (radiograph B). At revision, surprisingly, there was no fibrosis around the generator or wire. In an attempt to fix the generator more firmly, it was placed in the original subpectoral pocket, but in a dacron pouch (Parsonnet pouch) to promote fibrosis. He presented again, 17 days later, with a further episode of collapse associated with pacemaker failure. Repeat chest x-ray examination showed that he had twiddled the pacemaker anticlockwise and the pacing lead had perforated the right ventricle into the abdomen (radiograph C). This illustrates the difficulties and the potential risk in children with learning disabilities.

Twiddler’s syndrome leading to pacemaker failure, although rare, is recognised in children. Children with learning disabilities are more susceptible because of conscious or subconscious twisting of the pacemaker box and poor comprehension of consequences. Subpectoral implantation of the pacing box may prevent this complication, although this was unsuccessful in this child. A chest radiograph should be performed in cases of pacemaker dysfunction to exclude this complication.
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