**Child protection training**

Paediatricians and child protection: the need for effective education and training
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“Child protection training is essential for all health professionals engaged in services for children. It is not an optional extra” (Barry Capon, Chair of Independent Inquiry into Death of Lauren Wright)

Child maltreatment has become increasingly topical, and recent high profile cases of fatal abuse have attracted considerable attention from the media. Furthermore, independent inquiries have not only highlighted system failures in the child protection process, but have also been critical of the actions undertaken by health professionals and social workers. The most significant case in this respect is that of Victoria Climbié, whose death at the hands of her carers has prompted widespread criticism of the actions undertaken by medical and social services professionals. His report is now available and should be carefully read and considered with respect to management of child protection processes, but have also been critical of the actions undertaken by health professionals and social workers. The most significant case in this respect is that of Victoria Climbié, whose death at the hands of her carers has prompted widespread criticism of the actions undertaken by medical and social services professionals. His report is now available and should be carefully read and considered with respect to management of child protection processes.
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**DOCTORS AND THE CHILD PROTECTION PROCESS**

The child protection process and associated legislation varies in specific detail from one country to another. However, most systems require clinicians to remain vigilant for the possibility of abuse or neglect, to report concerns to the appropriate authorities, and to actively participate in interagency investigation and intervention. Concern regarding the ability of doctors of all grades and specialties to effectively respond to the presentation of possible child maltreatment has already been documented. It would appear that even experienced clinicians may fail to recognise and deal with serious instances of abuse, even in the presence of clear clinical indicators. For example, a UK study of infant subdural haemorrhage confirmed that, while most cases were likely to have occurred as a result of intentional injury, not all were appropriately investigated or referred to Social Services. Impaired recognition of other forms of abuse, including non-accidental burns and scalds, and sexual abuse has also been documented.

If the child protection process is to be effective, then clinicians who encounter a child in possible need of protection must act promptly and make appropriate referrals to statutory agencies. Studies from Canada, Israel, and Australia, however, have documented an apparent reluctance on the part of paediatricians to comply with this requirement, even in countries where this is mandatory. A further key responsibility for the doctor who suspects abuse or neglect is to carefully document allegations or disclosures, clinical findings, and reasons for concern in case notes as these may be later needed for medicolegal purposes. Research to date would indicate that doctors' skills in this clinical area are also in need of improvement.
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**PARTICIPATION IN THE CHILD PROTECTION PROCESS: CHALLENGES**

Most (if not all) clinicians would readily acknowledge the vulnerability of children and endorse processes put in place for their protection. So why then is clinical performance in this area at times a cause for concern? A number of factors, acting in synergy, may explain this inconsistency. There is no doubt that participation in the child protection process is stressful and may deter some doctors from becoming actively involved. Some may fear the consequences of challenging parents in terms of damage to the doctor–patient relationship. Others will be aware of colleagues who, having invoked child protection investigations, have then become themselves the subject of intimidation and harassment by parents. Many are wary of testifying in court, an experience that can be challenging, even for experts. Confidentiality is a fundamental principle, and the concept of sharing sensitive information with outside agencies may be daunting. Furthermore, child maltreatment is capable of invoking profound emotions, including disbelief, disgust, and anger on the part of the doctor who encounters it. Some doctors have difficulty believing what they see in front of them and professional denial of abuse represents a phenomenon that may be distinct from failure of recognition. It does not always neatly fit into the traditional medical model of history taking, examination, investigation, and diagnosis. There are many grey areas in child protection work where precise diagnosis is not always possible, and some doctors may feel uncomfortable in dealing with uncertainty. Attitudinal issues are also important and a criticism, already noted, is that some doctors may feel that child protection is the prime responsibility of other individuals or agencies. Furthermore, some clinicians appear reluctant to involve child protection agencies on the basis that doing so would not be in the best interests of a child’s welfare.
training schemes that included paediatrics in London revealed significant deficiencies in their child protection training, and a minority expressed confidence at the prospect of dealing with child protection cases in the future as GP principals.21

WHY TRAINING IS NEEDED AND HOW IT SHOULD BE DELIVERED

Effective participation in child protection work requires a range of clinical competencies in terms of history taking, clinical examination, documentation, communication skills, and decision making ability. Confidence and courage are needed to effectively confront abusing carers. Clinicians also need to cope with their own emotional responses provoked by disturbing cases of child maltreatment. Effective education and training should not only provide the knowledge and skills required to address these challenges, but also promote the development of appropriate attitudes and perceptions regarding the doctor’s role in the protection of children from abuse or neglect. There is a limited amount of research that has shown the effectiveness of child protection training in this regard, at least in the short term.22–24 The stakes in child protection are high, and the consequences of inappropriate management can have disastrous consequences for children, their families, and involved professionals. In order that child protection training is effective in ensuring that paediatricians and other clinicians respond effectively when confronted by child maltreatment, the following principles are suggested:

(1) Child protection should be viewed as representing a critical component of training of equivalent importance to Advanced Life Support.

(2) Training must be offered to all doctors who have contact with children and their families. It should be remembered that doctors in disciplines other than child health may also encounter children in need of protection, including general practitioners, accident and emergency staff, genitourinary physicians, obstetricians, gynaecologists, and both general and orthopaedic surgeons.

(3) The precise content and scope of training will vary according to the seniority of recipients and their role in delivery of health care to children and their families. The following levels of training might be considered:

- Basic, consisting of a short introduction (one hour), including an overview of local protocols and sources of help and advice, would be offered to all doctors and might be included in hospital and departmental inductions.
- Standard would require a day’s training and would focus on minimum competencies in knowledge, skills, and attitudes required of senior house officers.
- Advanced would include the preceding, but in more detail, and would be suitable for senior paediatric registrars and consultants; legal aspects, including court proceedings would also be covered.
- Named/designated doctor training would address the needs of those who have a special interest in child protection; this might consist of modular training, leading to postgraduate qualification (diploma or MSc); such an approach is being considered in the USA.25

(4) Training could be developed by adopting approaches already successfully utilised by other core training programmes, for example, the Advanced Paediatric Life Support. There is much to be said for a universally recognised programme that has common and agreed aims, content, and method of delivery. The Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health (RCPCH) has convened a working party to consider the development of such a course.

(5) The scope of training may need to be broadened in several respects. For example, some authorities feel that paediatricians should possess an awareness of domestic violence and its adverse effects on child development and welfare.26 Furthermore, clinicians need to have an awareness of variations in childcare practices that exist between different cultural groups.27 Training should also acknowledge current thinking on the aetiology of different forms of abuse.28 29

(6) We must identify and promote those educational interventions that are shown to be effective. To date, only a limited amount of research has been undertaken in this area, and has been confined to short term changes in knowledge and sometimes in attitudes of trainees.30 Little is currently known about the long term effectiveness of child protection training in terms of influencing clinician behaviour.

CONCLUSIONS

A collaborative approach between all those with a remit for medical education is needed to ensure consistency of approach. It is encouraging that a position paper on the role of primary care in child protection has been jointly defined by the Royal College of General Practitioners, the RCPCH, and the National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children.31 Both uniprofessional and interdisciplinary teaching (to include clinical teams of nurses, therapists, and administrative staff) are required. A variety of teaching methods (didactic, interactive, and distance learning based) should be considered and evaluated. It is self evident that adequate sources of secure funding to support this initiative must be identified.

It would be naïve to suggest that every instance of fatal abuse would be prevented if the above measures were implemented. There is a perception that factors such as family violence and insufficient support for vulnerable families are outside the control of paediatricians. This may often be the case; however, provision of effective education and training without doubt lies within our remit. In this respect, Lord Laming’s report represents not only a challenge for paediatricians, but also an opportunity to ensure improved protection for children.
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From Cosette to Climbié: “Progress is the aim, the ideal is the model”

In Hugo’s Les Misérables (1862), Cosette, a neglected and abused orphan is rescued from her exploitative carers by Jean Valjean who devotes his life to raising and caring for her.

This picture taken from the late nineteenth century is a timeless illustration of the exploitation and abuse of children, everywhere and at any time in history.

“The whole person of this child, her gait, her attitude, the sound of her voice, the intervals between one word and another, her looks, her silence, her least expression, were nothing but a single idea: fear.”

As we read the Victoria Climbié report 140 years later, we pause and wonder whether things have really changed.

Of course we’re all more aware now, but that doesn’t make it any easier to consider, especially as the most likely scenario is another report sometime in the future on yet another child who was failed by the state.

Then we slowly realise this picture has a relevance beyond the single named child, embracing instead far too many children in the world. And whether we like it or not, all of us share some blame. One child’s unnecessary death is a tragedy; the death of millions becomes devalued to a mere statistic. The injustice of poverty in the developing world, our complaisance in the exploitation through unfair trade barriers, and the sanctioning by large multinationals of child labour (whose goods we willingly buy) are just a few examples. In the mid nineteenth century Hugo saw “the three problems of the age—the degradation of man by poverty, the ruin of woman by starvation and the dwarfing of childhood by physical and spiritual night.”

As child health professionals our role is not restricted to “our patch” but in endeavouring to improve the health of children everywhere.

A N Williams
Child Development Centre, Northampton General Hospital, Northampton NN1 5BD, UK; anw@doctors.org.uk

Original illustration of the character Cosette by Emile Bayard
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