Concerning use of conjugate pneumococcal vaccine, the most recent CMO letter sent out in August 2002, updates the recommendations issued by the Department of Health (DoH) in January 2002 by making the recommendations for “at risk” under 2 year old children coincide with the manufacturer’s recommendations for immunisation of normal healthy children. However, most pre-licensure studies were done in normal healthy infants, so that the target group for the licence. In the absence of more data, it is not surprising that different expert groups have come up with slightly differing advice. In addition, presumably, as a government agency, the DoH must be constrained to some extent against issuing recommendations which go beyond or differ from those contained within an official product licence—even if that licence relates to healthy rather than “at risk” individuals.

Consistently following either set of recommendations seems reasonable under the circumstances—to doubt further modifications to this advice will follow in due course as further evidence emerges.

A Finn, R Booy, M Sharland, P Heath
University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
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On Archimedes

Using the best available evidence is expected of us in clinical practice. How should clinicians get such evidence? Should all be formulating questions, searching for the evidence and then appraising it? Or as busy clinicians are we forced to rely on the evidence provided for us in published systematic reviews? Rudolf’s recent paper puts one side of the argument. Nine doctors attending at MRC/ScCochrane each spent an average of five hours analysing a clinical problem “in accordance with the principles of evidence based practice”. As a result of this work they judged themselves to have improved in structuring clinical questions, searching electronic databases, and in critical appraisal. In addition they succeeded in highlighting the poor evidence upon which we base much of our practice. I have no doubt that their efforts had an educational value, but would they be right to base their clinical practice on the conclusions of five hours work?

In November 2001, as part of the Archimedes series, two middle grade paediatricians attempted to answer the following question: in a febrile infant, how accurately does tympanic thermometry measure core temperature? They took rectal temperature and tympanic temperature and restrict their search to work on children. They found two directly relevant studies and one systematic review. On this they based their analysis.

In August 2002, Craig and his colleagues published a systematic review comparing tympanic thermometry and rectal thermometry in children. They searched eight databases and checked through numerous reference lists. They contacted authors and suppliers of clinical thermometers. They found 44 studies eligible for inclusion, including two unpublished papers and five written in languages other than English. The process of searching for and identifying eligible articles took approximately 80 hours spread over several months (V Craig, personal communication). Given the huge disparity in the number of identified papers, it is surprising that the results of both reviews were similar: in an individual patient, tympanic thermometry may not accurately mirror the rectal temperature. However, in the details they differed. Riddell and Eppich tell us that “age and presence of fever significantly affected the rectal tympanic difference”. Craig et al showed that this was not the case, “there was no systematic relation between the temperature difference and the underlying temperature” and similarly “we found no association between temperature difference and the age of the children”.

Riddell and Eppich found 3 papers. Craig et al had found 44. What does this tell us? Answering clinical questions by appraising the available evidence is justifiably the new creed. But done quickly, it risks being done badly. The search for evidence, and its analysis, is best left to those with the necessary time and expertise. The urge to join in is understandable. It should be resisted. Those of us in busy clinical posts should assess the results of thorough systematic reviews and then, in the words of Sackett and his colleagues, conscientiously, explicitly and judiciously, use them to make decisions about the care of our patients. If we are honest with ourselves, we really haven’t time for anything else.

T Lopez
Bristol Royal Hospital for Children; tony.lopez@indig.eo.co.uk
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Author’s reply

As editor of Archimedes, and victim of his play, I have the pleasure in responding to the concerns raised by Dr Lopez. I think there are two—a concern with the philosophy of Archimedes and a problem with the tympanic topic.

There is a firm and widely held belief that evidence based practice can be achieved only by those “with the necessary time and expertise”, and that we should only change our practice after assessing “the results of thorough systematic reviews and...conscientiously, explicitly and judiciously, use them to...”.

This is misleading. There is also a firm and widely held belief that evidence based practice can be achieved only by those “with the necessary time and expertise”, and that we should only change our practice after assessing “the results of thorough systematic reviews and...conscientiously, explicitly and judiciously, use them to...”.

This is misleading.
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made decisions about the care of our pa-
tients’.

The position of Archimedes, and I’d guess many clinicians who believe they practice in an evidence based fashion, is nearly the opposite. Finding questions, seeking answers, assessing the results of the evidence to arrive at that question, applying it, and assessing the results is a cycle we all (should) perform in some way or another. I think that’s all “evidence based” practice really is.

The famous Sackett quote about evidence based medicine being “the conscientious, explicit, and judicious use of current best evidence in making decisions about the care of individual patients.”

I agree with the current best evidence not just systematic reviews (which might be categorised as “the best evidence which can be produced”).

Dr Lopez asks “Riddell and Eppich found three papers. Craig et al had found 44. What does this tell us?”. Well, possibly that Dr Lopez didn’t read the Riddell report very closely, as their commentary starts with “The systematic review of recent studies addressing the use of different methods of temperature measurement”. Accordingly, it’s not at all surprising that the papers come to the same bottom lines. Any minor differences—reported as controversial—on subsidiary papers with a much lower level of evidence—wouldn’t be that clinically exciting, would they?

But anyway, I think that Dr Lopez and myself would agree on one thing. Compared to starvation in Zimbabwe, an impending Gulf war, and children being raped by their parents, this isn’t all that important.

As both papers point out, the epidemiology and management of bacterial meningitis are changing fast. Has anyone paused to consider how, in the future, we will evaluate either its incidence or the effectiveness of our current management strategies if we can’t tell how many cases we have seen and how we were?

Clearly, it can be ill-advised to perform a lumbar puncture at the outset in seriously sick children—but there is always a time later in the procedure can be done safely, and often also painlessly just before weaning from the ventilator.

As for the habit of replacing the LP (and other necessary investigations) with indiscriminate and often inappropriate respiratory treatment in the mild to moderately ill febrile child, this simply encourages misdiagnosis and promotes development of antibiotic resistance.
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More lumbar punctures, please!

Applause to Kneen et al and Riordan and Cant’ for reminding us of the value of lumbar puncture in suspected meningitis. To their arguments I would add that, while the matter may end after seven days’ intravenous antibiotic treatment as far as the admitting paediatrician is concerned, it certainly does not for the child or parents of many children who have had meningitis, as recent data shows! To be discharged home in ignorance or confusion regarding the diagnosis, as is becoming the norm, does no favours either to the patient or to anyone attempting to manage late complications. In adopting the “treat and do a hearing test” approach we should consider whether we are really motivated by a desire to relieve the child of the risk and discomfort of the procedure or to relieve ourselves of the practice really is. Undoubted risk and discomfort does not seem to have put us off requesting large numbers of head CT scans (often without contrast—so they do not reliably exclude abscess) in this clinical situation, even though they do not tell us anything useful about raised intracranial pressure.

Are interhospital transport teams de-skilling the DGH paediatricians?

As one of the referring hospital consultants to the South Thames combined transport service, I can attest to the successful service described in the paper by Doyle and Ort. However, it is rare for a transport team to be immediately available to collect a sick child. This delay compounded by the inevitable travelling time means that the referring unit needs to be able to stabilise and treat the sick child prior to the team’s arrival.

Concerns have been voiced that the availability of such teams de-skill paediatricians and place an increased burden on the “in-house” anaesthetists and intensivists. To examine this concern, data collected over the last 2 years from our paediatric high dependency unit (HDU) were reviewed. 153 children were admitted with 35% originating from the A&E department. The vast majority were medical type patients with 42% suffering respiratory problems, 1% required nasal CPAP and 13% required intubation and ventilation. Of the 63% were intubated by “in-house” anaesthetists and intensivists.

To examine this concern, data collected over the last 2 years from our paediatric high dependency unit (HDU) were reviewed. 153 children were admitted with 35% originating from the A&E department. The vast majority were medical type patients with 42% suffering respiratory problems, 1% required nasal CPAP and 13% required intubation and ventilation. Of the 63% were intubated by “in-house” anaesthetists and intensivists. 23% of all admissions required transfer to a paediatric intensive care unit (PICU) by transport team. 71% of admissions to the HDU room were discharged to the in-patient ward. There were no deaths occurring in this HDU facility.

In view of the overall infrequency of intubation by local staff but the successful care of these patients, it would not seem as though transport teams are de-skill the local teams. Indeed good communication and shared protocols enhance the local team’s work provided senior experienced staff are available to supervise care until the arrival of the transport team.

Authors’ reply

We thank Dr Isaacs for his helpful letter. He rightly points out that the published recom-

End
The above experience does highlight the benefit of a local HDU facility. Stabilisation and close monitoring is not only good practice, essential for patient care but should reduce the work of the transport team when it arrives. When funding and patterns of care are reviewed locally, more attention should be given to ensuring that local facilities in the form of HDU beds are available. They are not mini PICUs but they do have a purpose.

A P Winrow
Kingston Hospital, Surrey; awinrow@kingstonhospital.nhs.uk
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A self-fulfilling prophecy?
Carroll and Brookfield quote a widely used definition of febrile convulsion in their second paragraph: “an epileptic seizure occurring in a child aged from 6 months to 5 years precipitated by fever arising from infection outside the nervous system in a child who is otherwise neurologically normal”. The authors then go on to say that only a tiny percentage of children with febrile convulsions have meningitis. By definition though, that percentage is 0.

I dispute the assertion that more experienced staff are less likely to recommend lumbar punctures. Over the years, most people miss the occasional case of meningitis and become doubly wary of “absence of meningeal signs” thereafter. Meningeal signs are often misunderstood too; many Senior House Officers believe Kernig sign to have something to do with pain in the back (rather than just a feeling of tightening in the hamstrings). With neck stiffness, they sometimes expect the neck to be rigid rather than just slightly stiff on extreme flexion. Even viral meningitis is very good at causing sensorineural hearing loss. Unless we routinely start antibiotics and request audiology on all children who have had a convulsion with fever, we still need to do lumbar punctures.

T H Fenton
Mayday Hospital, Croydon; theo.fenton@mayday.nhs.uk
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The relationship between Helicobacter pylori infection and iron deficiency: seroprevalence study in 937 pubescent children

Helicobacter pylori infection has been reported to be associated with various unexpected manifestations in childhood. One of them is iron deficiency anaemia at puberty. In 1999, we conducted a double blind, placebo controlled trial in pubescent children with iron deficiency anaemia and coexisting H pylori infection. We found that H pylori eradication led to resolution of iron deficiency. We have carried out a study of seroprevalence to examine the epidemiological relationship between H pylori infection and iron deficiency anaemia at puberty. Haemoglobin, serum iron, total iron-binding capacity, serum ferritin, and serum IGF-1. Antibody to H pylori were measured in 937 Korean children (475 boys and 462 girls). Their ages ranged from 10 to 18 years. The prevalence of H pylori infection was compared between groups, based on the presence or absence of anaemia, hypoferritinemia, iron deficiency, and iron deficiency anaemia. The levels of hemoglobin, serum iron, total iron binding capacity, transferrin saturation, and serum ferritin were obtained according to the presence or absence of H pylori infection.

The prevalences of anaemia, iron deficiency, iron-deficiency anaemia, and H pylori infection were 8.1%, 9.1%, 3.1%, and 20.8%, respectively. The H pylori positive rates in anaemia, hypoferritinemia, and iron deficiency group were 34.2%, 29.5%, and 35.3%, respectively, compared to 19.6% in the non-anaemia group (p=0.003), 19.2% in the non-hypoferritinemia group (p=0.005), and 19.4% in the non-iron deficiency group (p=0.001). The H pylori positive rate in the iron deficiency anaemia group was 44.8% in comparison with 20.0% in the non-iron deficiency anaemia group (p=0.001). Haemoglobin and iron levels did not show any significant differences between the H pylori positive and negative groups. The serum ferritin level was significantly lower in the H pylori infected group (p=0.0002).

The associations between iron status and H pylori were largely restricted to girls rather than boys. We speculate that this is because female adolescents are more vulnerable to iron deficiency. H pylori may affect iron absorption metabolism in the stomach and exacerbate the iron deficit in adolescents, especially girls, who are already supplied marginally, with anaemia ensuing promptly.

We believe that this is the only large scale study in children showing an association between H pylori infection and iron deficiency. When children at puberty are found to have iron deficiency that is refractory to iron supplementation, H pylori infection can be considered to be a possible cause of iron deficiency.

Y H Choe
Department of Pediatrics, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University, School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea
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Acute ataxia complicating Langerhans cell histiocytosis

Some of the statements in the interesting short report by A Polizzi et al can be challenged. It is incorrect to suggest that cerebellar ataxia has been reported “only occasionally” in children and that it is uncommon in adults with Langerhans cell histiocytosis (LCH). Diabetes insipidus is the only CNS complication that is more common than cerebellar disease and though the precise relative incidence of cerebellar ataxia in children and in adults is unknown, because all published series are institution based, there is no reason to suspect that more adults suffer this complication. It is also misleading to suggest that the patient described by Polizzi et al represents a “unique” occurrence. Cerebellar ataxia may be present at diagnosis or appear during follow up and may be progressive or static. More details of the clinical and pathological spectrum of CNS involvement by LCH can be found in a recent review.1

As the authors point out, pituitary-hypothalamic axis involvement is caused by direct infiltration of these structures by pathological Langerhans cells (“LCH cells”) and accompanying inflammatory cells. In patients who develop ataxia, cerebellar hypoplasia usually reveals only gliosis and demyelination, but CD1a-positive cells have been demonstrated in a few instances. Therefore, it is likely that the cerebellar lesions are caused by LCH cell infiltration followed by gliosis and chemokine mediated neural damage. The same sequence, with fibrosis as the end point, occurs in the liver and lungs of other LCH patients. Immune mechanisms may also be involved, as suggested by Polizzi et al, because CD8-positive T cells are also found in the cerebellar biopsies (Gros N, personal communication). It is unlikely, however, that they represent the primary pathogenic process. In other words, it is improbable that cerebellar involvement represents a “paraneoplastic syndrome” (ie an autoimmune disorder), as suggested by Polizzi and colleagues, a view supported by the fact that cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) “anti-neural” antibodies have not been detected in two studies (Gros N et al and Donadiou J et al, unpublished observations).

The combination of reticulo-nodular pulmonary shadowing and ataxia, as in this case, makes the diagnosis of LCH a real possibility. Given the onset of fatal pneumothorax soon after the child’s discharge from hospital, a lung CT scan would almost certainly have shown cystic change and led to tissue diagnostically via lung biopsy or bronchial lavage. With chemotherapy, the prognosis for pulmonary LCH is usually favourable, even if complicated by pneumothorax.2

It is generally recommended that patients with suspected LCH are referred to paediatric oncologists and/or managed on the international evidence-based trials of the Histioyte Society, which include recommendations for the management of CNS complications.1,4 More details of the Histioyte Society’s activities and their contact address can be found on the Society’s website: www.histio.org/society.
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Hypocalcaemia and calcitonin precursors in critically ill patients

We read with interest the paper by Baines and colleagues in which the authors reported a strong inverse relationship between total serum calcium concentrations and disease severity in 70 critically ill children with meningococcal disease. Calcitonin concentrations were measured in a subgroup of 23 children on admission, and significantly correlated with disease severity. In particular, however, the authors found no relation between calcitonin concentrations and total or ionised calcium concentrations. In a study of 69 adult patients with acute pancreatitis, we have similarly found no correlation between plasma concentrations of calcitonin precursors (CTpr) on admission and both the admission and lowest (within 72 hours of admission) adjusted total serum calcium concentrations (unpublished data). The concentrations of CTpr were significantly higher and of the lowest calcium were significantly lower (median (IQR): 2.16 (2.0–2.18) mmol/l v 2.33 (2.15–2.30) mmol/l, p=0.017) in patients with severe attacks (n=14, Atlanta criteria) compared with mild attacks. Our data and that of Baines and colleagues support the contention that calcitonin and its precursors have a minor effect on calcium metabolism. Indeed, previous investigators found no correlation between the serum concentrations of serum calcitonin and hypocalcaemia in patients with acute pancreatitis or in experimental models of the disease. Whilst CTpr concentrations were reported to rise significantly in critically ill patients, they correlated rather weakly with a concomitant fall in serum ionised calcium. A rise in CTpr concentrations did not correlate with the fall in serum calcium concentrations in patients with acute malaria. This suggests that factors other than calcitonin and CTpr are involved in the homeostasis of calcium in the critically ill.

BJ Ammori
Manchester Royal Infirmary & The University of Manchester, UK; Bammori@aol.com
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CORRECTION
The Archivist piece “Heart disease in Williams syndrome” (Arch Dis Child 2002;87:420) should have given the reference to the original article in the Journal of Medical Genetics as J Med Genet 2002;39:554-8.
Acute ataxia complicating Langerhans cell histiocytosis

J Pritchard

Arch Dis Child 2003 88: 178-179
doi: 10.1136/adc.88.2.178-b

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://adc.bmj.com/content/88/2/178.3

These include:

References
This article cites 4 articles, 2 of which you can access for free at:
http://adc.bmj.com/content/88/2/178.3#BIBL

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/