Inhaled corticosteroids and adrenal insufficiency

G Russell

Inhaled steroids are safe at normal doses, but beware very high doses, especially of fluticasone

When inhaled corticosteroid therapy (ICT) was introduced to clinical practice thirty years ago, it appeared to be both effective and safe. There was no evidence of adrenal suppression at the recommended doses, and when oral corticosteroids were replaced by ICT, adrenal function recovered. It must be added that there were reports of adrenal atrophy on ICT, as noted by Wolthers, but clinicians began to follow their prophylaxis of asthma, but over the years, the dangers, such as topical effects, including dose-related adrenal suppression, although these biochemical phenomena did not appear to be associated with clinical symptoms. Clinicians generally felt that the advantages of ICT far outweighed the potential dangers, and not only did ICT gradually supplant sodium cromoglycate (cromolyn) as the first drug of choice for the prophylaxis of asthma, but over the years, paediatricians began to follow their adult colleagues in prescribing greater doses than licensed doses of ICT. The use of such doses was not supported by the literature on ICT, which suggests a rather flat dose response curve for all types of ICT; even in studies in which the improvement on higher doses has been statistically significant, it has usually been so small as to be of little clinical importance. It must also be stated that the manufacturers have never advocated the use of such high doses of ICT. Clinically, ICT did not have an entirely clean bill of health. There was the occasional report of adverse systemic effects in patients on ICT, ranging from oral candidiasis to overt cushingism and acute adrenal insufficiency both on and after discontinuing ICT. Dose related effects on growth generated a great deal of research activity, summarised by Wolthers, but clinicians were reassured by the finding of normal adult height despite prolonged ICT during childhood. Despite these concerns, and constant admonition to step the dose of ICT down as well as up to establish the minimum effective dose, most clinicians have been complacent about the use of high-dose ICT.

This complacency was shattered with the publication of the three papers describing acute adrenal failure cited by Todd et al. These papers were multicentre and did not give the reader a feel for the frequency of this complication. This deficiency has now been remedied by Todd et al [see page 457], who in addition to showing that this problem is alarmingly common have also implicated fluticasone propionate (FP), the least frequently prescribed of the major part of the administered dose. Inhaler technique and compliance are clearly of major importance. Children frequently use holding chambers (spacers) that increase intrapulmonary deposition and efficacy, and will also enhance systemic absorption. The lung deposition of inhaled drugs increases with age, so the minimum effective dose may actually decrease as the child gets older. Systemic absorption is appreciably greater in normal volunteers than in asthmatic patients, which may explain why some non-asthmatics appeared in Todd’s survey. Although as we have seen extrapolation is a dangerous posture, it is reasonable to speculate that systemic absorption will increase as asthma comes under control, further emphasising the need to step doses down as well as up. It is however clear that the systemic dose of ICT bears only a passing resemblance to the administered dose, and will vary greatly between children and indeed in the same child from time to time. It is therefore impossible to state with certainty that one particular dose is safe while another is not. There is certainly no point in complicating the issue by expressing ICT doses in relation to weight or body surface area. What can be said with considerable certainty is that the optimum dose is the lowest effective dose, and that this dose will vary from time to time, and with the age of the child, the inhaler device used, and the degree of compliance.

What are the implications for the practising clinician? The findings of this survey cannot be ignored, but nor should they be used as an excuse for a further outbreak of steroid phobia. Nevertheless, there are implications both for patients already on high-dose FP, and for those for whom this therapy is contemplated.

Patients who are currently on high dose FP should remain on it, and they should be advised that this is a much safer option than suddenly discontinuing treatment. However, it would seem sensible to try to identify those few individuals in whom adrenal suppression has occurred by assessing adrenal function. My suggestion would be that children on FP in doses >1000 µg per day should be reviewed in six months.

FP is highly lipophylic, a prerequisite for a topically active corticosteroid, allowing it more easily to cross the cell membrane to attach itself to the glucocorticosteroid receptor. However, this feature also allows FP to cross easily into the circulation where its lipophilicity results in high tissue binding and a long half life. These features, combined with its high receptor affinity and prolonged duration of activity, ensure systemic potency and accumulation. From this information, it is easy to speculate that, at licensed doses, the hepatic destruction of the swallowed portion more than compensates for intrapulmonary absorption, accounting for FP’s excellent safety profile. However, as the intrapulmonary dose increases, so does systemic absorption and hence systemic side effects such as adrenal suppression.

Other factors play a part in determining the systemic effects of ICT. Inhaler technique and compliance are clearly of major importance. Children frequently use holding chambers (spacers) that increase intrapulmonary deposition and efficacy, and will also enhance systemic absorption. The lung deposition of inhaled drugs increases with age, so the minimum effective dose may actually decrease as the child gets older. Systemic absorption is appreciably greater in normal volunteers than in asthmatic patients, which may explain why some non-asthmatics appeared in Todd’s survey. Although as we have seen extrapolation is a dangerous posture, it is reasonable to speculate that systemic absorption will increase as asthma comes under control, further emphasising the need to step doses down as well as up. It is however clear that the systemic dose of ICT bears only a passing resemblance to the administered dose, and will vary greatly between children and indeed in the same child from time to time. It is therefore impossible to state with certainty that one particular dose is safe while another is not. There is certainly no point in complicating the issue by expressing ICT doses in relation to weight or body surface area. What can be said with considerable certainty is that the optimum dose is the lowest effective dose, and that this dose will vary from time to time, and with the age of the child, the inhaler device used, and the degree of compliance.

What are the implications for the practising clinician? The findings of this survey cannot be ignored, but nor should they be used as an excuse for a further outbreak of steroid phobia. Nevertheless, there are implications both for patients already on high-dose FP, and for those for whom this therapy is contemplated.

Patients who are currently on high dose FP should remain on it, and they should be advised that this is a much safer option than suddenly discontinuing treatment. However, it would seem sensible to try to identify those few individuals in whom adrenal suppression has occurred by assessing adrenal function. My suggestion would be that children on FP in doses >1000 µg per day should be reviewed in six months.

FP is highly lipophylic, a prerequisite for a topically active corticosteroid, allowing it more easily to cross the cell membrane to attach itself to the glucocorticosteroid receptor. However, this feature also allows FP to cross easily into the circulation where its lipophilicity...
day should have a low dose short ACTH stimulation test, but the precise details of what should be done and to whom will depend on local practice and facilities.

For children who are not responding to conventional doses of ICT, and by this I think it is reasonable to imply doses up to twice the licensed doses, the clinician should address the following key questions.

**Does the child have asthma?** There has been excessive enthusiasm for the diagnosis of cough variant asthma, although ICT is superior to placebo for persistent cough in children. Archives has carried several papers on the difficulty parents experience in interpreting such terms as “wheeze” or “ruttles.” 

Even when convincing wheeze is present, it is not necessarily asthma in origin, although it usually is, and clinicians must beware of missing such diagnoses as cystic fibrosis and bronchiectasis.

**Are there avoidable trigger factors in the child’s environment?** Parents are often aware of these, but prefer the convenience of medication to the disruption of environmental control.

**Are compliance and inhaler technique satisfactory?** Compliance in asthma is notoriously poor, but can be improved by suitable educational input.

**Has the use of non-steroidal alternatives been fully explored?** This is the point at which to consider the introduction of a long-acting β₂ agonist, montelukast, or even low-dose theophylline.

---

**IS IT TIME TO CONSIDER REFERRAL TO A PAEDIATRIC RESPIROLOGIST?**

Finally, if high dose ICT is still considered necessary, I believe it is advisable for the time being to avoid the use of FP, which should nevertheless retain its place for use in conventional doses.
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