If you have a burning desire to respond to a paper published in *ADC* or *FeM*, why not make use of our “rapid response” option? Log on to our website (www.archdischild.com), find the paper that interests you, click on “full text” and send your response by email by clicking on “submit a response”. Providing it isn’t libellous or obscene, it will be posted within seven days. You can retrieve it by clicking on “read eLetters” on our homepage.

The editors will decide, as before, whether to also publish it in a future paper issue.

**Problems with scoring bruises**

We write to draw attention to two problems with the recent study on a scoring system for bruising by Dunstan et al. 9

Firstly, the authors did not publish confidence intervals for the likelihood ratios (LRs) derived from different score threshold values (table 3), thereby not allowing readers to judge whether the LRs are statistically—let alone clinically—significant.

Secondly, the authors neglect the phenomenon of spectrum bias. This is a well described feature of many tests, whereby sensitivity and specificity of exercise electrocardiography, Multivariable analysis. *Am J Med* 1984;77:64–71.

**Does cefotaxime eradicate nasopharyngeal carriage of *N meningitidis***

We enrolled 43 children admitted with an unequivocal clinical diagnosis of meningococcal sepsis into a study to determine whether cefotaxime eradicated nasopharyngeal carriage of *Neisseria meningitidis*. In 28 cases (70%) the diagnosis was confirmed by positive culture from blood, nose, throat, or skin scraping, detection of meningococcal DNA in blood by polymerase chain reaction, or convalescent meningococcal serology. All children were treated with intravenous cefotaxime for seven days. Nasopharyngeal and throat swabs were obtained on the day of admission in 42 of these children, and all children had swabs repeated every day until there were at least two negative swabs.

On admission, the throat and nasopharyngeal swabs were both positive for meningococci in two patients; in another two patients, the nasopharyngeal swab was positive while the throat swab was negative. In three patients the swabs became negative after 24 hours of treatment, and in one child it became negative after 48 hours. In these children and others in whom the swabs were negative from the day of admission, subsequent swabs remained negative.

Compared to a previous study that reported a nasopharyngeal carriage rate of 50% on admission and showed that the yield of meningococci in throat swabs was unaffected by prior administration of penicillin, the yield from throat and nose swabs in this study (9.5%) was poor. This may reflect the fact that in practice many of these swabs were taken after the child had been given the first dose of cefotaxime. The study suggests that cefotaxime, like ceftriaxone, is effective in eradicating nasopharyngeal carriage, and in children treated with cefotaxime, additional prophylaxis with rifampicin is not necessary. However, no recommendations for the use of cefotaxime alone can emanate from these findings as the sample size was small and study design did not compare cefotaxime with gold standard treatment (either rifampicin or ceftriaxone). We are keen to coordinate a follow-up multicentre study this winter involving paediatric intensive care units across the country to compare the efficacy of ceftriaxone with cefotaxime on eradication of meningococcal carriage. Interested units are kindly requested to contact us.

**References**


5 Matsumoto M, Nozato T, Yonezu K, et al. Hyphagomaglobulinemia of infancy (THI) is characterized by prolongation of the physiological decline in serum immunoglobulin concentrations seen in the first six months of life. The incidence reported from an Australian paediatric centre was estimated to be 25 per 10^6 live births. It has been reported that THI does not usually predispose to significant infection.

A male infant born at term to non-consanguineous parents presented at 3.5 months with cough, tachypnoea (70 breaths/minute), wheeze, crepitations, and hypoxia. A chest X-ray showed hyperinflation and patchy opacity in the hilar regions and upper lobes. *Pneumocystis carinii* was identified in bronchoalveolar lavage by toluidine blue staining. The immunological findings of this child were consistent with those of THI with an IgG level less than the fifth centile and absent serum IgA which resolved with age (IgG at presentation 3.9 g/l (normal: 1.39–8.04); at 5 months 2.23 (1.39–8.04); at 10 months 1.77 (2.02–11.76); at 17 months 7.51 (2.71–13.78); IgA at 5 months <0.07 g/l (normal: 0.14–0.69); at 13 months 0.14 (0.17–1.34)) and evidence of specific antibody production to tetanus, diptheria, and *Haemophilus influenzae* type b following immunisation. T cell numbers (total lymphocytes 6.2 x 10^9/l, CD3 68%, CD4 56%, CD8 15%) and phytohaemagglutinin induced proliferation were normal. At 3 years the child was well with normal IgG, IgM levels and no evidence of significant infection. *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia presenting in the first three months of life is an infection typically seen in patients with significant T cell immunodeficiencies and X linked hyper IgM. These were excluded by normal T cell numbers and function and by normal CD40 ligand expression and mutation analysis. There are reports of *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia in immunocompetent infants and agammaglobulinaemia. This is the first description of *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia in a patient with THI.
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Procalcitonin as a prognostic marker in children with meningococcal septic shock

Carrol and coworkers' confirm the findings from Karabocuoglu et al who reported that procalcitonin (PCT) was higher in children with severe meningococcaemia (fever, petechiae, purpura, and hemodynamic instability) than in children with systemic meningococcal infection without shock (291.29 ± 167 μg/l; 95 % confidence interval: 0.35–1.26). The median (Q1–Q3; 25–75) PCT value was 0.71 (0.59–0.88 μg/l) in survivors and 11.17 (9.00–13.50 μg/l) in nonsurvivors (p<0.001).

Unfortunately, information is lacking in the report of Carrol et al,1 namely: a clear definition of severe MCD (defined in their paper as a Glasgow Meningococcal Septicaemia Prognostic Score ≥8) and median PCT values of survivors and nonsurvivors and in comparison in prediction of outcome between PCT and generic scores (Pediatric Risk of Mortality and Pediatric Risk of Mortality (PRISM) score). We report that admission PCT level is an accurate predictor of mortality in the subgroup of children with meningococcal septic shock (MSS). We prospectively investigated 35 children (median age: 16 months; Q1-Q3: 9–48) with MSS (defined as echymotic or necrotic purpura with shock, needing fluid expansion (median for the first 24 hrs: 90 ml/kg; Q1-Q3: 48–120) and catecholamine infusion) admitted to our PICU between July 1999 and May 2002.


Eleven of 35 children died (31 %); predicted mortality with the PRISM score was 15.6 % (standardised mortality ratio: 0.71; 95 % confidence interval: 0.35–1.26). The median (Q1–Q3) PCT and CRP levels and PRISM value and probability of death were the following: survivors v nonsurvivors PCT 73 (15–210) v 277 (208–606) μg/ml (p=0.001); CRP 92 (44–160) v 72 (41–109) μg/l (p=0.025); PRISM value 17 (8–22) v 33 (26–37) (p<0.01); PRISM probability 19 (4–42) v 88 (65–95) % (p<0.010).

Performance characteristics and AUC SE of PCT, CRP, and PRISM score are given in the table and the figure.

Table 1 Performance characteristics of PCT, CRP, and PRISM score in 35 children with MSS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity index (%)</th>
<th>PCT</th>
<th>CRP</th>
<th>PRISM value</th>
<th>PRISM probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive value</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative predictive value</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well classified</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study, PCT on admission was as accurate as the PRISM value and PRISM probability of death calculated within 24 hrs of admission or at the time of death, and more accurate than the CRP level in classifying survivors and nonsurvivors of MSS. These results accord with those of Hatherill et al who observed, in 37 children with MSS, that admission PCT levels (values not indicated) was higher in nonsurvivors (11%) than in survivors (p=0.04) and related to the severity of organ failure (p=0.02); however, in the whole group of children with septic shock whatever the causative organism, admission PCT functioned worse than the PRISM score (AUC 0.73 (0.59–0.88) v 0.83 (0.71–0.93); statistical comparison not performed).

The PRISM score is accepted in PICUs worldwide and has been reported to accurately predict outcome of meningococcal disease. However, it needs a 24 hour observation period, it cannot be used as an inclusion criterion. The PRISM score is accepted in PICUs worldwide and has been reported to accurately predict outcome of meningococcal disease. However, as it needs a 24 hour observation period, it cannot be used as an inclusion criterion. The PRISM score is accepted in PICUs worldwide and has been reported to accurately predict outcome of meningococcal disease.

Incidence of severe and fatal reactions to foods

Although the article by Macdougall et al regarding the incidence of severe and fatal reactions to food would be seem to be reassuring, we would like to express some concerns and raise some questions about the data presented. The first question is whether the ascertainment of cases is really as complete as the authors suggest. We acknowledge that the UK medical system may allow better reporting and access to mortality data than that of the US. However, the records acquired as described seem to represent the same underreporting issues as those in the US. Is it really unlikely that the BPSU misses a significant number of cases? Based upon a well characterised population in Olmstead county Minnesota and extrapolating the data to a US population of 280 million, it may be estimated that there are 200 deaths from anaphylaxis reactions to food each year.
higher number of cases were reported from rural regions as compared to metropolitan areas strongly suggesting either misdiagnosis or inaccurate recording of cases in the emergency department log of busy hospitals. A second concern is the reporting of cases only up to age 15. In the paper mentioned above, of 32 fatalities 10 occurred in younger people aged up to age 15. An additional 10 occurred in adolescents aged 16 to 19. Why did MacDougall et al not include all adolescents? A third question must always be raised when fatal food anaphylaxis is studied. Is it not possible that cases of fatal asthma were actually initiated by unidentified allergic reactions to food? All authors in this field are likely to agree that the ultimate cause of death may be irreversible airway obstruction, and all would agree that poorly controlled asthma increases the risk of fatal anaphylactic reactions to food, but we would suggest that the trigger responsible for individual asthma fatalities is not always determined. What about fatalities that never reach the emergency department and are misclassified on death certificates as asthma fatalities? Individuals that die at home and are classified as asthma deaths are unlikely to be further investigated whether in the US or the UK. Fourthly, the authors’ definition of severity seems incomplete. Individuals with severe food reactions who self administer epinephrine often do not go to hospital, are less likely to require treatments that require hospitalization or cause death, and often they do not report these reactions to their physicians unless specifically queried. Some survive the reaction without treatment, become convinced that they are “allers” to a specific food, and never tell their physician. We could argue about the possible progression of these episodes to near fatal or fatal reactions, but the point we are trying to make is that they are frequently under reported. The fifth issue concerns the safe administration of epinephrine. We disagree about the risk to children of the administration of a single dose of epinephrine as opposed to withholding that dose. We have no disagreement about aggressive treatment of asthma concurrently, and in fact we think that point should be emphasized. However families reading this commentary may become more fearful than they currently are about administering epinephrine. We know that epinephrine is not always life saving even when administered in a timely fashion, however withholding it surely must increase the risk of death. Over dosage certainly may occur, but it seems more likely that an overdose would be administered by medically trained personnel than by parents. The over prescription of epinephrine is a debatable issue, however it seems a small price to pay, with a low risk, in order to save even one young life.

Finally, we are very concerned that families will interpret this paper to mean that death from food allergy is very unlikely, and therefore they may relax their vigilance. If families of younger children become less concerned when their children become adolescents it may be difficult to institute a good prevention program. This is directly opposite the goal of the education programs in the US (The Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Network, www.foodallergy.org) and UK (The Anaphylaxis Campaign) aimed at making individuals with food allergy and the general population more aware of the problem and the potential for mortality. It is truly unfortunate that we cannot accurately identify all of the individuals who die during allergic reactions to food and use this information to do a better job of preventing these tragedies. We must continue our campaigns of education of medical professionals and the public, and we must be certain that emergency treatment is available when and where it is needed.
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Authors’ reply
We thank Bock et al for their interest in our article. We respect their views on the accuracy and validity of our data. Did our paper under ascertain deaths? Bock et al base their concerns on our methods of case ascertainment and on comparison with another study. We cannot be certain about this but the text indicated we used many sources and spoke to many experts in the field. We agree we did not search local newspapers but this would have been almost impossible as few were on CD-ROM in the 1990s. As mentioned, we did search national newspapers and all cases we came across were already known through one of our other sources. Finally, since publication, no-one has told us of a case we appear to have missed. We specifically studied children up to 15 years because this is the group we were interested in. Many recommendations on risks to children are based on inferences from data covering all ages and we wanted to bring a proper paediatric perspective. Indeed the interpretation Bock et al give to the paper they cite is grossly misleading. They suggest extrapolation to a US population would lead to 200 deaths from food each year yet the paper, in which there is only one death (occurring during exercise), covers all ages and reactions to all allergens, not just food.

Finally we are very concerned that families, who have self administered epinephrine, often do not go to hospital. However we do not know the proportion and said as much, excluding this group from our definition of severity. Finally we agree that education of professionals and the public should continue based on the best data available. This must include those parents whose children are truly at high risk as well as those many parents that think any hypersensitivity reaction to food would be severe. Mortalities due to anaphylaxis in Olmstead County, a population based study. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1999; 104: 452–6.
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Physiologic management of DKA

Inward and Chambers provide a provocative description and discussion of the continuing confusion regarding the issues surrounding rehydration and treatment of the pediatric patient with diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA). They review some of the key issues that link fluid therapy to complications from brain swelling, and question the appropriateness of limiting fluid administration to “maintenance plus deficit”, calling for a second revolution in the management of DKA. In the accompanying commentary, Edge makes several statements concerning fluid therapy in DKA, including that “DKA is associated with severe fluid losses”, that “any guidelines for fluid and electrolyte management must be simple to calculate”, that administration of base is a risk factor for additional complications, and “that despite published guidelines and ‘changes in protocols’, there is no evidence that the ‘incidence of cerebral oedema has changed over the past 20 years’.”

It is our opinion that the problem in the rehydration of the pediatric patient with DKA...
does not lie in assigning a maintenance fluid allotment. Rather, the source of error lies largely with failure to accurately estimate the volume of deficit and the tendency to automatically assume a severe degree of dehydration. From our experience with over 450 consecutive cases of moderate and severe DKA, and our weight gain data, severe DKA (ie severe ketoacidemia) does not necessarily mean severe dehydration; the converse is also true. 14 The degree of dehydration ranges from negligible (<1%) to extreme (>20%).

Severe ketoacidemia, however, does cause vasoconstriction which may be manifested peripherally by cool, mottled skin, and Kussmaul respirations which leads to ventricular irritability. The striking appearance of a parched mouth and the presence of cool, even mottled skin without a critical assessment of vital signs and examination of distal (foot) pulses often results in an erroneous impression of shock and “severe dehydration.” A method for estimation of the volume of deficit was described in 1990 and we continue to use this actually simple, yet powerful formula which requires not only gradual deficit replacement (evenly over 48 hours) but an accurate estimation of the volume of deficit along with consideration of the clinical and bio-chemical response. If the deficit is assumed to be 10–15% but is actually only 3%, that patient will receive excess water independent of the more gradual timeframe and independent of the individualised assessment of the degree of dehydration. Guidelines that have proposed “safe” limits to fluid volumes administered such as 4 litres/m²/day or 50 ml/kg body weight/4 hours violate the concept of the individualised assessment of the degree of dehydration. Invariably will overly hydrate the mild to moderately dehydrated child; the problem is compounded when actual body weight is used instead of ideal body weight in fluid replacement. Some patients, particularly those with complicating illness—for example, septic shock, pancreatitis—may require more than 20 ml/kg of fluid resuscitation in the first treatment hour and more than 50 ml/kg in the first four hours. Setting arbitrary fluid volume limits per hour or per day endanger particularly those patients at the mild and severe ends of the dehydration spectrum. Although the fluid load might be greater with hypotonic fluid, overhydration occurs readily with isotonic fluid as well when water requirements are overestimated.

DKA represents the effects of a complex disruption of normal metabolism, which leads to metabolic death if left untreated. Shock (decreased peripheral pulses, with or without hypotension), if present, should be corrected rapidly. Insulin should be given preferably by continuous, low dose, intravenous infusion, as soon as possible to begin correction of ketoacidemia/ketoacidosis. Regardless of the serum concentration of glucose, insulin is required to stop the hepatic fatty acyl carnitine cycle leading to ketoacid formation. A delay in insulin administration only serves to enhance and prolong ketoacidemia, thereby extending the period of time in which the patient remains vulnerable to central nervous system and other complications.

Our proposed management strategy may not satisfy the call for simplicity but it is an easily learned approach. It requires an understanding of, relevant, known pathophysiology, the monitoring of serial physical examinations and laboratory studies with special attention to correction of acidemia and osmo-lality, and the anticipatory care that is inherent in the care of the critically ill. 15 16

Physiologic management was first described between 1988 and 1990, and set forth with additional detail and data in 1994. 1 It is rarely described in its complete form when referenced in texts; mere portions of our recommendations do not constitute what we have called physiologic management. Not only is it unlikely that large numbers of patients outside our own institution have been managed using our guidelines in their entirety, but the recommendations simply are not old enough to be reflected in data over the past 20 years. We suspect that physiologic management is significantly underrepresented in the pediatric literature. All our studies conducted thus far, all of which compare variations of traditional therapy (empiric volume resuscitation whether or not shock is present, assumption of a large volume of deficit, planned rehydration in less than 48 hours with either 0.45% or 0.9% NaCl, with or without urinary output replacement). In a retrospective portion of our study in 1990 we compared these therapies and also found that no form of traditional therapy minimised the risk of brain herniation during treatment.

Comments regarding the administration of insulin should be better defined. All administration or “pushes” of hypertonic sodium bicarbonate should not be given. On the other hand, there is no evidence that administration of physiologic concentrations of base in the rehydration solutions will be either harmful or undesirable. In our experience, this practice mitigates the development of hyperchloremic acidosis during treatment.

As ours is a referral centre, most of our patients have been the focus of therapy initiated in outlying hospitals, sometimes in keeping with our recommended approach, and sometimes with our recommendations instituted only after initial telephone contact. In this setting, we have managed certain patients with severe DKA who received resuscitation fluids in excess of what their physical examination and laboratory data would dictate. It is not unusual for such patients to require as little as a typical maintenance allotment (without a deficit replacement component) for the remainder of therapy; some patients required fluid restriction to as little as two thirds the usual maintenance volume.

Our approach has been criticised because of the incidence of mannitol administration in our series. In our Mannitol recipients, several of whom did not receive their initial management by us, there was no central nervous system morbidity or mortality. In another large series of patients there was a 50% failure rate of mannitol to reverse a deteriorating neurologic status, even when mannitol was given before respiratory arrest, with a near 100% failure rate when mannitol was given after respiratory arrest. 26 It is possible that not all of our mannitol recipients really had raised intracranial pressure. We believe, however, that the key to our good outcome is that the fluid and electrolyte therapy on which mannitol is superimposed is relevant to its success. It is erroneous to state that a 100% success rate among our mannitol recipients would be reproducible in the setting of a therapy that violates the fundamental principles of rehydrating the hypertonic state of DKA.

Our work regarding the management of the pediatric patient in moderate to severe DKA has spanned 14 years and nearly 500 consecutive prospectively managed episodes. We remain available to participate in any endeavour to continue to improve the care of the paediatric patient in DKA.

G D Harris, I Fiordalisi
Brody School of Medicine at East Carolina University, Greenville, North Carolina, USA
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The Position Statement on Injection Technique

The Position Statement on Injection Technique (March 2002, Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health) discusses needle size and length for childhood immunisation. It concludes that there would seem to be insufficient evidence to advise any recommendation to change current practice in the use of hypodermic needles. As the authors of a research study that aimed to provide some evidence base for immunisation practice we would like to respond to this. 1

Our study of 119 babies aged 4 months receiving their third dose of DTP/Hib vaccine found that significantly less redness and swelling occurred when infants were immunised using the longer 23 gauge 25mm (blue hub) needle rather than when the shorter 25 gauge 16mm (orange hub) needle was used. The magnitude of the reductions was substantial. The position statement is correct to note that in our study the difference in tenderness did not reach statistical significance. However we believe our study still
justifies a recommendation for the use of the longer needle for immunisation in 4 month old infants.

We believe the non-significant difference in tenderness with the different needles must be interpreted with caution, and should not be taken as a rationale for ignoring the significant benefits in terms of reduced redness and swelling. Tenderness was in fact reduced by the same relative amount as redness, but as tenderness occurred less frequently, the results were not formally statistically significant. We have used Bayesian analyses (using an “uninformative” prior distribution) to formally compute the chance that there is a clinically significant reduction (of at least 25% as specified in the protocol) in tenderness between the long and short needles. At six hours the probability of a clinically significant decrease in tenderness with the longer needle is 73%, whereas the chance of a clinically significant increase is only 2%. The evidence is therefore clearly in the direction of the longer needle causing less harm.

We recognise the need for further evidence on which to base immunisation practice at each of the infant immunisation ages. To this end, we are now conducting a randomised controlled trial involving over 600 infants aimed at providing a definitive answer. In the meantime, we reiterate our recommendation to practitioners to use the longer needle for immunising 4 month old infants.

L Diggle
Oxford Vaccine Group, Department of Paediatrics, University of Oxford, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK
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CORRECTION
The paper by Parkin et al in the September issue of Archives (Arch Dis Child 2002;87:221–2) was missing acknowledgements. The following paragraph should have been included:

Rita Arseneault, Audrey Bell-Peter, Diana Cohen, Pauline Matthews, Suzanne Stewart, and Olwen Tennis participated in patient enrollment and data collection. Derek Stephens assisted in statistical consultation. Rose Cheung and Carol Collins did the immunofluorescence microscopy and virus isolation tests. Dr Raymond Tellier oversaw virus testing for part of the time while he was on service.

Funding: This work was supported in part by grants from the Hospital for Sick Children Research Institute and the American Academy of Pediatrics. The Paediatric Outcomes Research Team is supported by a grant from the Hospital for Sick Children Foundation.
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