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A nose for a diagnosis?

All children cough, all children have runny noses, and most children are normal. In a child with a cough, an unexpected additional finding, for example rectal prolapse, should lead to a reappraisal of what at first sight may be a trivial symptom, and a new diagnosis such as cystic fibrosis (CF) may be made. The well known frequency of this disease, the ready availability of the sweat test in every hospital and the generally low threshold for its performance, should make diagnosis of CF straightforward. However, even CF, with all these favourable factors, is hardly a diagnostic success story; 10–15% patients are not diagnosed until adulthood.1 2 It is not surprising therefore that a rarer condition such as primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD), to which the only diagnostic clues may be a chronic moist cough and a runny or blocked nose, and for which diagnostic testing is not widely available, is often missed for a long time. What is depressing is that even a very unexpected finding, mirror image organ arrangement, frequently does not prompt a diagnostic review for many years,3 long after the child has suffered lasting damage.

The incidence of PCD in the white population is estimated to be 1 in 15 000, although it is higher in communities where first cousin marriages are common. In the white population1 4 there will be around 70 new cases born per year, and it is estimated that there are 3000 cases in the UK in total. By contrast, only around 150 cases are known to the UK PCD support group (personal communication). Even if this group is aware of only one tenth of known cases, the implication is that there are large numbers of undiagnosed patients. Does this matter, and, if it does, how can this situation be rectified?

FEATURES OF PCD
Kartagener originally described a syndrome of mirror image organ arrangement (situs inversus), bronchiectasis, and sinusitis.4 The unexpected finding of electron microscopic abnormalities in the sperm tails from infertile men who had mirror image arrangement5 led to the realisation that structural ciliary abnormalities underlie the condition. Patients with similar clinical and ciliary abnormalities, but without situs inversus, were later recognised, and together with patients with Kartagener’s syndrome, they were classified as having immotile cilium syndrome. Immotile ciliary syndrome was renamed primary ciliary dyskinesia when functional studies of cilia from many of these patients showed them to have abnormal motility rather than being completely immotile.

A good history will enable the diagnosis of PCD to be suspected, provided that the possibility is considered. Typically, rhinitis or nasal congestion begins on the first day of life; it remains constant over time; and is difficult to treat. A number of infants have significant and unexpected respiratory distress at birth, necessitating admission to the special care baby unit. A persistent moist sounding cough within the neonatal period is unusual and suggestive. When diagnosis is late the history of early respiratory problems is often unclear, but rhinitis and/or nasal congestion and a moist sounding cough will have been an early and constant feature. Chronic secretory otitis media is very common6; if these children are subjected to tympanostomy tube insertion, a prolonged and offensive otorrrhoea often results. The majority of males with PCD are infertile7 and females are at greater risk of ectopic pregnancy compared to unaffected women. Rarer associations of PCD include hydrocephalus8 secondary to abnormalities of brain ependymal cilia, complex congenital heart disease, oesophageal disease,9 and biliary atresia.10 The age related variation in presentation has been reviewed recently.4

DOES MISSING THE DIAGNOSIS MATTER?
Does it matter if this diagnosis is missed? Intuitively it seems likely that early diagnosis followed by a programme of regular chest physiotherapy, exercise, and the aggressive use of antibiotics would be beneficial. In support of this view are the findings of Ellerman and colleagues.12 Lung function of patients at diagnosis, measured by forced expired volume in one second (FEV1), was reduced by up to 50% in patients under 16 years and by up to 80% in those diagnosed in adulthood. While in the major- ity there was no improvement in lung function following diagnosis, institution of specialist respiratory management prevented further decline in lung function. This suggests that early diagnosis may prevent the development of bronchiectasis and the subsequent decline in lung function. The treatment of secretory otitis media is also different in PCD. A retrospective study showed that tympanostomy tube insertion prior to the diagnosis being established was common, fraught with complications, and had no beneficial effect on long term hearing.7 If hearing loss is sufficiently profound as to affect speech or learning at school, then using hearing aids for a short period, until spontaneous improvement occurs, is a better therapeutic option.

ESTABLISHING THE DIAGNOSIS
There are two tests that have been used to screen patients for referral. The saccharin test, which measures the time taken for a pellet of saccharin placed on the inferior turbinate to be tasted (normal <30 min),13 is very difficult to perform properly in children. Nasal nitric oxide (nNO) measurement looks more promising. It is typically very low in PCD (<250 ppb),14 but also in some cases of CF, severe rhinosinusitis of infective or allergic origin, and (in adults) diffuse panbronchiolitis.15 16 A high nNO is thus a pointer against the diagnosis of PCD, but a low nNO indicates the need for further testing.

Definitive testing requires referral to one of a very few centres.7 In the majority of cases it is better to send the child to the specialist centre for biopsy and diagnostic assessment. More recently a courier system has been set up where the referring paediatrician has been trained in the biopsy technique. Ciliary beat frequency can be measured using a variety of indirect techniques that rely on signals generated when moving areas of cilia interrupt the light passing to a photomultiplier or photodiode.7 Recorded values vary between methods and centres should have their own normal values across different age groups. More recently, with the advent of high speed digital video photography the precise beat pattern of respiratory cilia has been defined as has the beat pattern associated with different ultrastructural defects responsible for PCD. The high speed video technique, which can also measure beat frequency directly, has shown that approximately 10% of patients with PCD have a beat frequency within the normal range despite having a dyskinetic beat pattern. The implication from these findings is that if beat frequency analysis is used without beat pattern analysis and electron microscopy a significant number of false negative diagnoses will be made.
FOLLOW UP
We suggest that a shared care arrangement, analogous to that advocated for CF, offers many advantages. All patients should have access to a specialist respiratory clinic and specialist ear, nose, and throat (ENT) advice. Ideally this would be in a specialist PCD clinic. Sputum or a cough swab should be cultured and spirometry, in those old enough, should be performed at each clinic visit. Audiology, ENT expertise, and psychosocial support should also be available. Such a clinic should also be the source of much needed research into the basic mechanisms, genetics, and treatment of PCD. Recent Europe-wide initiatives to form a collaborative database of PCD are to be welcomed; surely future progress requires multicentre collaboration.

CONCLUSION
If the diagnosis of PCD is to be made and morbidity avoided, a clear, focused history and examination is required to select the child who needs specialist referral. At the moment, too many children with PCD are not being diagnosed early enough, and thus treated appropriately.
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THE DISTRICT HOSPITAL PERSPECTIVE
One of the most difficult tasks facing the general paediatrician is the identification of a significant medical disorder from the large number of children presenting with an otherwise common and benign problem. Examples of this include diagnosing intracranial tumours in children with headaches, identifying which children with a petechial rash have meningococcal septicaemia, and screening out children with prolonged jaundice who have biliary atresia. It is always very much easier from the perspective of a tertiary centre with the benefit of hindsight to see where diagnoses have been missed and to draw up recommendations for the diagnosis and screening of rare disorders presenting with common symptoms. However, these guidelines may be impractical for the referring paediatrician.

Primary ciliary dyskinesia falls very much into this category. It has an

PRACTICAL ISSUES—WHO SHOULD BE REFERRED?
If we believe that the diagnosis of PCD is worth establishing, who should be referred for testing? Clearly it would be ridiculous to investigate every nursery school child with a runny nose and cough, so some degree of selectivity is needed. Unfortunately there are no evidence based guidelines to help us know who to refer. Referral for investigation is rarely a first line test for children with respiratory problems. However, we suggest the following should prompt the general paediatrician to consider referral for investigation:

• Neonatal onset of rhinitis and/or congestion, which has become continuous
• Neonatal respiratory distress in term infants with no obvious cause
• Patients with a history of a persistent wet sounding cough. In older patients there may just be a "wet click" associated with their cough. Almost all patients will have a history of rhinitis and/or nasal congestion. This may not be severe
• Situs inversus
• Prolonged otorrhoea after tympanostomy tube insertion
• A child with bronchiectasis without a definite cause
• Children with significant chest problems, without a firm diagnosis, refractory to asthma treatment
• Repeated courses of antibiotics for "chest infection"—no normal child has this requirement.
incidence of between 1 in 15,000 and 1 in 20,000—that is, an incidence of one tenth of that of cystic fibrosis. The main clinical features of PCD are a cough, rhinitis, unexplained neonatal respiratory distress, and situs inversus. All children develop colds and most of them will also cough from time to time. Even the symptom of a chronic cough is a very common finding: 10% of 8–11 year old children have a nocturnal cough; 13% of children cough most days for at least three months of the year; and, interestingly, there seems to be a higher incidence of chronic cough in children from higher socioeconomic groups. Cough medications are among the most widely used remedies throughout the world. To make matters even more difficult for the general paediatrician, the reporting of childhood cough has poor repeatability and is very subjective.

Serous otitis media (glue ear) is also very common, affecting 15–20% of children aged 1–5 years at any time. Recurrent otitis media, defined as three bouts of otitis media in six months, undoubtedly occurs more frequently in PCD, but it also has an increased incidence with a positive family history, submucous cleft palate, nursery care, allergy, chronic sinusitis, immune deficiency, and nasopharyngeal tumour. It is difficult to estimate with accuracy the incidence of unexplained respiratory distress at term. It seems probable that many children labelled with transient tachypnoea of the newborn, aspiration, or surfactant deficiency respiratory distress may in fact have undiagnosed respiratory distress. How then is the hard pressed general paediatrician to ensure that he or she does not miss the one or two cases of PCD that present during the course of a career in paediatrics? An accurate history and thorough examination may be a pointer towards the diagnosis of PCD, particularly if the child is failing to thrive or coughing up mucopurulent sputum. Situs inversus should certainly alert the paediatrician to the diagnosis of PCD and there is a strong argument to investigate every child with dextrocardia, particularly if there are any respiratory symptoms. However, a chronic cough, rhinitis, and serous otitis media are all so common that it is impractical and prohibitively expensive to refer every such child for investigation of PCD. Although a low nasal nitric oxide and a negative saccharin test in older children may point towards the diagnosis of PCD, these investigations are unreliable and not widely available. The definitive diagnosis relies on a brush biopsy, which is available in very few centres in the UK. It seems probable that if every child with severe rhinitis, unexplained neonatal respiratory distress, a productive cough, and troublesome otitis media were referred for brush biopsy, this would completely overwhelm the presently available facilities. Until a cheap, reliable screening test, comparable to the sweat test or DNA testing is available, cases of PCD will continue to be diagnosed late.
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