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Investigation of daytime wetting: when is spinal cord imaging indicated?

E Wraige, M Borzsikowski

Background: Most children with daytime wetting have detrusor instability. A minority have neuropathic vesicourethral dysfunction. The commonest cause is spina bifida, which may be closed. Clinical features suggestive of closed spina bifida include cutaneous, neuro-orthopaedic or lumbosacral spine x-ray abnormalities, impaired bladder sensation, and incomplete bladder emptying. MRI is the ideal method for detecting spinal cord abnormality. It has been suggested that MRI spine is an unnecessary investigation in children with daytime wetting in the absence of cutaneous, neuro-orthopaedic, or lumbosacral spine x-ray abnormalities.

Aim: To clarify indications for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the spine in children with voiding dysfunction.

Methods: Retrospective study of children with voiding dysfunction referred from the Guy’s Hospital neurology clinic for MRI spine between April 1998 and April 2000. Clinical notes and results of investigations, including urodynamic studies and MRI spine were reviewed.

Results: There were 48 children (median age 9.1 years). Closed spina bifida was detected in five, of whom four had neuropathic vesicourethral dysfunction confirmed by urodynamic studies. Impaired bladder sensation and incomplete bladder emptying were more frequent in these children than in those with normal MRI spine. One child with spinal cord abnormality had no cutaneous, neuro-orthopaedic, or lumbosacral spine x-ray abnormalities.

Conclusion: Spinal cord imaging should be considered in children with daytime wetting when this is associated with impaired bladder sensation or poor bladder emptying, even in the absence of neuro-orthopaedic, cutaneous, or lumbosacral spine x-ray abnormalities.

Daytime wetting is a common paediatric problem and although the majority achieve daytime bladder control by 3 years,1 at the age of 7 years 6% of girls and 3.8% of boys continue to have some problems with daytime wetting.2 Most children with daytime wetting have detrusor instability.1 It is important to identify the minority in whom wetting is caused by neuropathic vesicourethral dysfunction, as these children are at risk of renal damage and ultimately renal failure.2 In addition there may be spinal cord abnormalities requiring neurosurgical intervention and, although controversial, spinal cord untethering in those in whom the spinal cord is tethered may prevent further deterioration and improve long-term bladder and neurological function.3,4 Normal bladder function depends on intact interactions between sacral spinal nerves and the cerebral cortex.5 Neuropathic bladder dysfunction may result from a variety of spinal cord abnormalities including spinal cord trauma, transverse myelitis, or spinal cord tumour.6,7,8 In a small proportion with neuropathic bladder behaviour no underlying spinal cord abnormality can be detected, the so-called “occult neuropathic bladder.”6

However, the commonest cause of neuropathic bladder dysfunction in childhood is open or closed spina bifida.1 Closed spina bifida results from a failure of closure of the neural tube during the first 28 days of embryogenesis, the defect being covered by skin. This may be associated with a variety of developmental abnormalities of the spinal cord,7 and indicated clinically by cutaneous abnormalities of the lower back overlying the lumbosacral spine, abnormal lower limb neuromyelitis, or orthopaedic abnormalities such as talipes and leg shortening.6 Other factors associated with spinal cord abnormality include anorectal anomalies,11 a family history of spina bifida,7 and a maternal history of gestational insulin dependent diabetes mellitus.1 In addition, complex abnormalities of the lumbosacral spine may be detected on plain spinal x-rays. However, failure of fusion of the fifth lumbar (L5) and first sacral (S1) vertebral arches alone should be distinguished from closed spina bifida. The former is a normal variant occurring in an estimated 10% of the population, and is not associated with an increased incidence of underlying spinal cord pathology.5

Symptoms in children with closed spina bifida may include voiding dysfunction, such as wetting, impaired bladder sensation, and difficulty voiding, as well as associated bowel disturbance. An important minority with spinal cord abnormality and closed spina bifida may present with isolated bladder dysfunction in the absence of neuro-orthopaedic or cutaneous abnormalities.1

In order to identify the group of children with neuropathic bladder dysfunction, investigations frequently include video urodynamic studies (VUD). Indications for VUD in children have been described previously.1 Abnormalities on VUD suggestive of neuropathic bladder dysfunction include incomplete bladder emptying, detrusor sphincter dyssynergia, and large capacity bladder with impaired sensation.1,10 When involuntary contractions occur during bladder filling, this is referred to as detrusor hyperreflexia in the presence of neurological abnormality, and detrusor instability in the absence of neurological abnormality.10 Most cases of neuropathic vesicourethral dysfunction are secondary to spinal cord pathology, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the spine is the optimum imaging technique for detecting this.4,9,11 However, it would be inappropriate to investigate all children with voiding dysfunction in this way.

Abbreviations: MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; VUD, video urodynamic study.
The indications for spinal cord imaging in children with voiding dysfunction in the absence of cutaneous, neuro-orthopaedic, or plain lumbosacral spine x ray abnormalities remain controversial. Before rational guidelines for spinal imaging in children presenting with voiding dysfunction can be developed it is necessary to determine which features in the history, clinical examination, or investigation of bladder function are associated with spinal cord pathology.

The purpose of this study was therefore to investigate the symptoms, signs, and VUD abnormalities among children presenting with voiding dysfunction to the neurourology clinic at Guy's Hospital, who underwent MRI spine, and to determine any correlation with findings. Information obtained during this study has been used to develop guidelines for investigation of children with daytime wetting.

METHODS

This was a retrospective study spanning two years from April 1998 to April 2000. Patients referred from the neurourology clinic at Guy's Hospital for MRI of the spine during this time were identified from records held by the radiology department. The case notes, investigations, and results were reviewed. This clinic provides a tertiary service for the South Thames region and beyond and the majority of children are referred for further investigation of daytime wetting which has not responded to usual management regimes. Most of the patients attending the clinic are under 18 years of age. Two patients over 18 years of age (18.6 and 19.4 years) have been included in the study; both had remained under follow up for longer than usual because of complex paediatric problems requiring multidisciplinary management.

RESULTS

Forty eight patients (16 males) were included in the study (see fig 1). The median age was 9.1 years (range 0.5–19.4 years). It is not our usual practice to investigate children under the age of 5 years with uncomplicated daytime wetting; however, three children under the age of 5 years were investigated because of the nature of their voiding dysfunction (one with urinary retention, one with anorectal anomaly associated with daytime wetting, and one with worsening daytime wetting and faecal soiling). Two of the scans performed on awake patients were suboptimal because of movement artefact; in both cases the films were adequate to exclude lower spinal cord pathology following review by the lead clinician and neuroradiologist.

Forty three patients had normal MRI spine or coincidental abnormalities unrelated to the bladder dysfunction. Spinal cord abnormalities related to the bladder dysfunction were detected in five children. Table 1 presents the clinical findings and results of investigations in those with spinal cord abnormality.

Forty three patients had normal MRI spine or coincidental abnormalities unrelated to the bladder dysfunction. Spinal cord abnormalities related to the bladder dysfunction were detected in five children. Table 1 presents the clinical findings and results of investigations in those with spinal cord abnormality.

Table 1: Details of children with spinal cord abnormality detected on MRI spine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at MRI (y)</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>Imperforate anus, difficulty voiding, UTI</td>
<td>Recurrent UTI, daytime wetting, constipation, incomplete bladder emptying</td>
<td>Fallopo’s tetralogy, anal atresia, impaired bladder sensation, UTI</td>
<td>Daytime wetting, impaired sensation</td>
<td>Urinary retention, UTI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical examination</td>
<td>Brisk lower limb reflexes</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal neuro-orthopaedic and cutaneous examination</td>
<td>Capillary haemangioma lumbosacral region, absent left ankle reflex</td>
<td>Deep sacral pit and hairy tuft lower lumbar spine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spine x ray</td>
<td>Short sacrum, lumbosacral vertebral abnormalities</td>
<td>Failure of fusion S1 vertebral arches*</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>Not done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VUD</td>
<td>Open bladder neck, reduced capacity with low grade contractions, emptying by straining</td>
<td>Detrusor hyperreflexia, incomplete emptying</td>
<td>Hyperreflexia, poorly compliant bladder, incomplete emptying</td>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>Not emptying despite high pressure contractions, DSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI spine</td>
<td>Caudal regression</td>
<td>Intraspinal lipoma, tethered cord</td>
<td>Hydromyelia thoracic and lower cervical cord, caudal regression</td>
<td>Low terminating cord</td>
<td>Caudal regression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Normal variant.

UTI, urinary tract infection; DSD, detrusor sphincter dyssynergia.
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findings was sufficient to enable subsequent magement without information from VUD). Seven patients had VUD abnormalities resulting in a diagnosis of neuropathic vesi-
courethral dysfunction; these included incomplete emptying or emptying by straining in each of these patients. This was associated with the following additional abnormalities: large capacity bladder (n = 4), small capacity bladder (n = 2), detrusor sphincter dyssynergia (n = 1), hyperreflexia (n = 3), and open bladder neck with fixed distal sphincter (n = 1).

Lumbosacral spine x rays were included in the investigation of 16 of the children who did not have lower spinal cord pathology. These were normal in 10 patients; in one patient films were inadequate as the sacrum was not included. The abnormalities detected in the remaining five were: failure of fusion of the neural arches of all the lumbar spinal vertebrae, failure of fusion of the L5 and S1 vertebral arches with associated widening of the interpedicular space, anomalous posterior arch of L5 with absent S1 and S2 spinous processes, extra lumbar vertebra (L6), and multiple end plate fractures from L2 to L4. Two of the children with spinal cord abnormality subsequently detected on MRI had had lumbosacral spine x rays— one was normal and one had complex spinal abnormalities, including short sacrum and partial fusion of the lumbar vertebrae.

**DISCUSSION**

The purpose of investigating children with bladder dysfunction with MRI of the spine is to identify those with spinal cord abnormality early. Children with closed spina bifida and associated spinal cord abnormality may present with urinary dysfunction; other indicators of spinal cord abnormality may not be apparent from the history or examination findings. The indications for spinal MRI in this group remain unclear.

Pippi Salle et al. studied a group of 23 children with voiding dysfunction and normal neuro-orthopaedic examination. They found that although there was an increased incidence of spina bifida occulta (which they defined as failure of fusion of L5, S1, or S2 vertebral arches) this did not correlate with an increased incidence of spinal cord abnormality. They concluded that voiding dysfunction in the absence of cutaneous, neuro-orthopaedic, or complex skeletal abnormalities did not merit investigation by MRI spine.

Mak and Radomski reached similar conclusions following their study of young adults with voiding dysfunction and recommended that even in the presence of abnormal urodynamic studies, if neurological and lower spine examination (including plain lumbosacral spine x ray) were normal, there could be little justification for MRI spine. In contrast, De Gennaro et al. have suggested that MRI of the spine is indicated in children with voiding dysfunction and urodynamic abnormalities, and Ritchey et al. recommended imaging of the spine by ultrasound scan or MRI in children with refractory incontinence and spina bifida occulta.

Some important findings have arisen from this study:

1. Compared with the group with normal MRI spine, those with occult spinal dysraphism were more likely to have multiple abnormalities on examination and urodynamic studies.
2. Impaired bladder sensation, urinary retention, and voiding difficulties were more frequent symptoms in those with spinal cord abnormality than in those with a normal MRI. Ten children had these symptoms; four of these were found to have lower spinal cord abnormality. In contrast, only one of the 38 children who lacked these symptoms had lower spinal cord abnormality visible on MRI.
3. Constipation and faecal soiling was the commonest abnormality in addition to voiding dysfunction in those with normal MRI spine, but occurred in only one of the patients with spinal dysraphism. Factors prompting MRI spine in this patient were detrusor hyperreflexia and incomplete bladder emptying on urodynamic studies.

Five children with occult spinal cord abnormality were detected; five of these had multiple abnormalities suggestive of an underlying spinal cord abnormality as shown in table 1.

### Table 2: Abnormalities in history, on examination or investigation of children presenting with voiding dysfunction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical findings</th>
<th>MRI normal (n=43)</th>
<th>MRI abnormal (n=5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urinary symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime wetting</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired bladder sensation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty voiding</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary retention</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other†</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTI</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other symptoms/ features from history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation/faecal soiling</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history spina bifida</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal premalignant diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurofibromatosis type 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower limb neuro-orthopaedic abnormalities‡</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutaneous abnormalities overlying lumbosacral spine</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorectal anomaly</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigations other than MRI spine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal lumbosacral spine x ray§</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete bladder emptying on ultrasound scan</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urodynamic studies suggestive of neuropathic vesicourethral dysfunction¶</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Including those with unrelated abnormality on MRI spine.
†Other = sensation of incomplete emptying.
‡Included the following in isolation or combination: brisk lower limb reflexes (n=4), talipes equinovarus (n=1), absent ankle reflexes (n=1), pes cavus (n=1).
§As detailed in text, excluding failure of fusion L5/S1 vertebral arches.
¶As detailed in text.
However, one patient presenting with daytime wetting and constipation had normal neuro-orthopaedic examination, no cutaneous abnormalities, and normal lumbosacral spine x-ray. Ultrasound scan showed incomplete bladder emptying; VUD was carried out in view of this finding and confirmed incomplete bladder emptying and detrusor hyperreflexia. MRI spine was performed; it revealed intraspinal lipoma with secondary tethered cord, which required neurosurgical intervention.

This shows that spinal dysraphism may present with bladder dysfunction in the absence of cutaneous, neuro-orthopaedic, or significant skeletal abnormality.

As recommended by Pippi Salle et al, all children with voiding dysfunction should undergo a thorough neurological, orthopaedic, and cutaneous examination. However, the results of our study suggest, in contrast to those of Pippi Salle and colleagues and Mak and Radomski, that MRI spine is indicated in children with voiding dysfunction suggestive of neuropathic vesicourethral dysfunction, even in the absence of other markers of occult spinal dysraphism. Our study number is small; however, 4/10 of those with symptoms of difficulty voiding, urinary retention, or impaired bladder sensation had spinal cord abnormality detected on MRI. Thus we recommend that spinal cord MRI should be seriously considered in children presenting with these symptoms.

Guy’s Hospital neuourology clinic is a tertiary referral centre for children with voiding dysfunction (neuropathic and non-neuropathic). Children referred to this unit have usually undergone simple investigations (for example, renal ultrasound and sometimes plain lumbosacral spine x-rays) and therapeutic trials (for example, with anticholinergic medications) prior to referral. This is likely to have biased our results in favour of detection of spinal cord abnormality. However, our results are still helpful in terms of developing useful strategies for investigating children with voiding dysfunction in the primary and secondary care setting. Figure 2 illustrates a suggested protocol.

In contrast to MRI spine, plain x-rays are simple to perform without the need for general anaesthetic, even in the youngest children, and are relatively inexpensive. However, they carry the risk of exposure to x-rays, and our own experience is that the failure rate is high with part of the sacrum frequently omitted from films. Pippi Salle et al have suggested that plain x-ray of the lumbosacral spine is indicated in the investigation of children with complex voiding dysfunction, and that if it reveals complex abnormalities MRI spine may then be necessary. The results of our study suggest that the absence of complex spinal abnormalities on plain x-ray does not preclude the need to carry out more detailed spinal imaging. This, coupled with the fact that an abnormal spinal x-ray must be followed by MRI spine in order to define the nature of any associated spinal cord abnormality, indicates that when features in the history or on examination suggest that voiding dysfunction may be secondary to spinal cord abnormality, it may be appropriate to proceed directly to MRI spine. However, facilities for MRI are not available at all centres and the majority of children with voiding dysfunction will be referred to a general paediatrician in the first instance. Only a minority with additional abnormalities or failure to respond to usual therapeutic strategies will be referred to a specialist neurology clinic. Plain x-rays of the lumbosacral spine may be a helpful adjunct in determining which children should be referred early to a specialist centre for further investigation.

Conclusions

Spinal cord imaging is indicated in children who present with daytime wetting in association with abnormal lower limb neurology, abnormal lumbosacral spine x-ray, midline birth marks in the lumbosacral area, or anorectal anomalies, and should be considered in children with daytime wetting and a history of maternal diabetes or a family history of spina bifida. It should also be considered in children with symptoms suggestive of neuropathic vesicourethral dysfunction. This includes those with difficulty voiding, urinary retention, or impaired bladder sensation. When neuropathic bladder dysfunction has been confirmed by VUD, spinal cord imaging is mandatory. The significance of constipation associated with
daytime wetting merits further study. Our findings suggest that constipation and faecal soiling are poor predictors of lower spinal cord abnormality.
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