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Abstract

Background—Flu vaccination in otherwise healthy infants and young children is important to prevent severe disease, as well as to control epidemic spread of influenza infection.

Aims—To examine the safety and immunogenicity of a paediatric presentation of a purified, inactivated, triton split influenza vaccine.

Methods—Two doses of the vaccine, provided in prefilled syringes of 0.25 ml, were administered, one month apart, to 67 children under 3 years of age.

Results—Nine cases of immediate reaction to vaccination (macules/papules) were observed after the second injection only. During the study period, 9% of children experienced at least one delayed local reaction, and 28% of children presented at least one systemic reaction. Almost all reactions were mild and transient. Immunogenicity results surpassed the European Community recommendations for a 0.50 ml dose of vaccine in adults.

Conclusion—This paediatric formulation of inactivated flu vaccine appears safe and immunogenic in children from 6 months to 3 years of age; the convenient presentation in a prefilled syringe of 0.25 ml volume will facilitate administration of the dose recommended for young children.
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Influenza is an epidemic illness of viral origin that can cause serious complications in certain groups, such as the elderly or persons with chronic diseases. Children suffering from respiratory conditions, cancer, and immunodeficiencies (for example, HIV infection) also belong to a high risk group. Nevertheless, influenza infections may be serious even for children without any underlying disorders. Two recent large scale studies have shown that rates of hospitalisation for influenza in otherwise healthy children increase rapidly with decreasing age, with rates calculated in infants less than 2 years being approximately 12 times as high as rates among children aged 5 to 17 years, and approaching rates observed in children aged 5–17 years with chronic conditions. Moreover, otitis media is a known common complication of influenza in all children, and results in substantial morbidity in children under 3 years of age. Children attending kindergarten, day care centres, or other institutions are particularly at risk of becoming infected with the influenza virus and are the main disseminators of viruses during epidemics. Infants and young children, even without chronic or serious medical conditions, are clearly at increased risk for severe disease, and should be considered as targets for regular vaccination against influenza.

The viruses responsible for influenza epidemics change yearly. On the basis of the circulating strains identified, health authorities issue recommendations each February for the antigens to be represented in the influenza vaccine for the northern hemisphere. From 1998, a second set of recommendations has also been issued in September for the antigens to be included in the influenza vaccine for the southern hemisphere. In general, the influenza vaccine is trivalent and includes two subtypes of the type A strain (H3N2 and H1N1) and one type B strain.

In 1969, the Institut Mérieux (now Aventis Pasteur) developed a split influenza vaccine grown on embryonated chicken eggs, and the current formulation has been marketed since 1995. The vaccine is presented in prefilled syringes of 0.5 ml, which corresponds to the recommended adult dose. Despite some data indicating that the immune response to influenza vaccine in young children is weaker than in adults, two 1995 studies established that this vaccine was safe and immunogenic in a group of 106 children aged between 3 and 10 years of age.

Although the recommended influenza vaccination schedule for children varies from country to country, two 0.25 ml doses (that is, half of the adult dose), administered one month apart, are now recommended for children below 3 years of age by the American Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). As most manufacturers supply a ready to use adult dose of their influenza vaccines, health care personnel are obliged to vaccinate young children with half of the volume provided—a procedure that wastes product and may result in errors in manipulation. In order to facilitate the administration of flu vaccine to children, Aventis Pasteur has developed prefilled syringes that contain 0.25 ml of the adult formulation. The aim of the present study was to examine the safety and immunogenicity of this paediatric presentation of purified, inactivated, triton split flu vaccine in children between 6 months and 3 years of age.
Subjects and methods

This open, uncontrolled study was conducted between March and June 1996 at a mother and infant centre in Montevideo, Uruguay. The protocol followed the European Community recommendations for the clinical evaluation of influenza vaccines and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Maciel Mother and Infant Center, Maciel Hospital.

Children between 6 months and 3 years of age having no contraindications for flu immunisation were included. Written consent from at least one parent or guardian of each child was obtained. Exclusion criteria included: immunodepression; known allergy to any vaccine component (for example, egg protein); signs of an infection or fever (axillary temperature above 38°C) in the 72 hours preceding inclusion, previous influenza or any other vaccinations, or γ globulin treatment in the four weeks preceding inclusion; long term treatment with corticosteroids; treatment with pituitary hormone extract; participation in another clinical trial; or possibility of non-compliance with the study protocol.

The 95/96 formulation of the purified, inactivated, triton split influenza vaccine (batch S3259, Aventis Pasteur, Lyon, France) contained, per 0.25 ml dose: 7.5 µg of haemagglutinin (HA) from each of an A/Johannesburg/33/94 (H3N2) like strain, an A/Singapore/6/86 (H1N1) like strain (A/Texas/36/91), and a B/Beijing/184/93 like strain (B/Harbin/7/94). (The adult formulation contains 15 µg HA per influenza virus vaccine strain.) The vaccine also contained sodium mercurothiolate (≤0.025 mg) and formaldehyde (≤0.05 mg), and was presented in a buffered saline solution (up to 0.25 ml).

The vaccine, stored between 2°C and 8°C and presented in a prefilled syringe, was administered by intramuscular injection into the anterolateral aspect of the thigh or into the deltoid using a 25 gauge, 16 mm needle. Precautions were taken to ensure that the vaccine was administered intramuscularly (vein test).

Children complying with our requirements for inclusion into the study, and having none of the exclusion criteria, received two doses of the vaccine, one month apart. They were monitored for 15 minutes after each injection. In addition, one child suffered from severe pain (preventing voluntary movement of the vaccinated limb) from day 0 with three children developing this reaction and were characteristic of an allergic rash to vaccine.

A first blood sample was taken immediately before the first vaccination, and the second sample was drawn 27 to 33 days after the last vaccination. Serum samples were frozen at −20°C and transported in insulated containers by air to the Aventis Pasteur Clinical Seroimmunology Laboratory (Val de Reuil, France), where all analyses were performed under masked conditions. Serum antibody concentrations to each of the three strains were assessed by a haemagglutination inhibition (HI) assay. Antibody titres were defined as the reciprocal of the highest dilution of serum that inhibited haemagglutination by the specific virus. A titre of ≥40 was considered protective, while seroconversion was defined either as: a fourfold increase in the prevaccination titre; or as an undetectable titre (<10) reaching a protective concentration.

Data analysis was descriptive and performed using SAS software (version 6.08, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

Results

Sixty seven children were included in the study between March and April 1996. (A prevaccination blood sample could not be obtained from two children who were subsequently excluded from the study.) Of the 65 children who received the first vaccine injection, 16 (25%) did not complete the study. The reason for withdrawal was established for 12 of these drop outs and included a change in residence (seven cases) or another logistic reason (one case), subject age exceeding 3 years (two cases), and medical reasons independent of the study (two cases). The analysis of immediate safety was performed on all children who received at least one dose of the vaccine (65 children for the first injection, and 57 for the second); the analysis of systemic and local reactions included all children who attended the follow up visit (57 after the first dose, and 50 after the second). Pre- and post-vaccination antibody titres against the three viral strains were available for 49 children.

Table 1 presents the age and sex characteristics of children enrolled in a study. There was a majority of boys; the average age of the subjects was approximately 20 months.

The only immediate reactions observed were local—nine cases of injection site macules or papules after the second immunisation, which were characteristic of an allergic rash to vaccination (table 2). Following the 15 minute observation period, in total, only seven delayed local reactions were reported over the next three days in five children (9%). These reactions mostly consisted of reddened papules, diameter of affected area less than 3 cm, with three children developing this reaction after each injection. In addition, one child suffered from severe pain (preventing voluntary movement of the vaccinated limb) from day 0.

Table 1  Age and sex characteristics of children enrolled in a safety and immunogenicity study of two doses of a paediatric presentation of triton split, inactivated flu vaccine at the time of inclusion and at study completion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>At time of first dose (n = 65)</th>
<th>At study completion (n = 49)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number (%) of males</td>
<td>37 (57%)</td>
<td>31 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (months)</td>
<td>Min–max</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6–42</td>
<td>20.8 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6–39</td>
<td>19.9 (10.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2  Number (%) of children aged from 6 months to 3 years with adverse events following immunisation with two doses of a paediatric presentation of a triton split, inactivated flu vaccine given one month apart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immediate reactions§</th>
<th>Following injection 1</th>
<th>Following injection 2</th>
<th>Entire study period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>0/65</td>
<td>9/57 (16%)</td>
<td>9/65 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic</td>
<td>0/65</td>
<td>6/57 (10%)</td>
<td>7/65 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local reactions‡</td>
<td>4/57 (7%)</td>
<td>3/50 (6%)</td>
<td>5/57 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one systemic event§</td>
<td>6/57 (16%)</td>
<td>9/50 (18%)</td>
<td>16/57 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious adverse events</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Considered unrelated to the vaccine. †Within 15 minutes after each injection. §Within three days after each injection.

Discussion

Children less than 5 years of age are the second highest risk group, after the elderly, for severe influenza disease, with annual hospitalisation rates per 100 000 population of about 500 and 100 for those with and without underlying high risk conditions, respectively.‡ Although systematic flu vaccination has so far been recommended only for infants at high risk of developing complications to influenza (for example, those suffering from serious respiratory or heart problems), vaccinating healthy children attending nurseries and day care centres may avoid epidemic situations,³ and very young children have been shown to be at increased risk of hospitalisation during influenza seasons.⁴ Moreover, acute otitis media is a known common complication of influenza A infection in otherwise healthy children.⁵ Two studies have shown that influenza vaccination in day care children aged from 6 months of age was associated with a significant reduction in the incidence of otitis media during a flu season.⁶ ⁷

We evaluated the safety and immunogenicity of a paediatric presentation of a trivalent split inactivated influenza vaccine in children aged from 6 months to 3 years. Children enrolled in this study were healthy and presented no contraindications to flu vaccination.

Although 65 children received the first influenza vaccine injection, only 49 (75.4%) completed the study follow up. This moderate compliance with the study protocol may be partly explained by the low income and lack of a permanent residence of the study subjects in Uruguay. As reasons for loss to follow up were known in most cases and were not related to the influenza vaccine, it should not have introduced any bias into the immunogenicity and safety results.

The vaccine was well tolerated. In the three days following either the first or the second injection, delayed local reactions were reported by 7% and 6% of children, respectively, and systemic reactions by 16% and 18%, respectively. Immediate reactions—that is, those reported within 15 minutes after vaccination, characteristic of an allergic rash, increased with subsequent doses (zero after the first dose, nine after the second dose). While this observation does suggest that some children were hyposensitive to the second vaccine dose, none of the reactions were severe, and all subsided spontaneously. There was no vaccine related serious adverse event reported during this study.

The immune response to influenza vaccine is known to vary between age groups. In particular, a weaker immune response has been observed in infants and in the elderly.⁸ ⁹ In concentrations of antibodies achieved by 81.6–93.9% of the children, depending on the strain. The geometric mean titres increased from pre-immunisation concentrations by a factor of 9.8 for the A/H3N2 strain, 13.3 for the A/H1N1 strain, and 4.1 for the B strain. The increase in titres following immunisation complied fully with European Community recommendations for young adults.¹⁰

Table 3  Results of the haemagglutination inhibition assay (n = 49)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Pre-vaccination</th>
<th>Post-vaccination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GMT (95% CI)</td>
<td>GMT (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Singapore/6/86 (H1N1) like strain</td>
<td>19 (11.2–28.5)</td>
<td>175 (114–269)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Hong Kong/15/97 (H3N2) like strain</td>
<td>13.6 (5.0–5.0)</td>
<td>66.4 (51.6–85.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/Beijing/184/93 like strain</td>
<td>21.9 (17.3–27.6)</td>
<td>88.7 (71.8–110)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results express number (percentage) of children aged from 6 months to 3 years immunised with two doses of a paediatric presentation of a triton split, inactivated flu vaccine given one month apart, who seroconverted to each strain 30 days after second dose, and achieved protective antibody titres (HI ≥ 40 units), and pre- and post-vaccination geometric mean titre (GMT) values with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Protective level was defined as a titre ≥40. Seroconversion was defined as a fourfold rise versus pre-vaccination titre or an undetectable titre reaching a value ≥40.
addition, severe illnesses that induce immune deficiency (for example, cancer or AIDS) appear to reduce the response to the vaccine significantly. Nevertheless, immunogenicity results for infants and young children (6 months to 3 years old) in this study were found to be as good or better than those observed in children (3 to 10 years old) and adults. Moreover, the seroconversion rates, seroprotection rates, and the geometric mean of the haemagglutination inhibition titres for antibody bodies to the three viral strains measured in our series of children were above the European Community recommendations for influenza vaccine in young adults.

This study was conducted in the southern hemisphere autumn (between March and May) and outside the typical flu season, which usually starts in May. The results obtained are thus not likely to be a result of natural flu virus eliciting antibody titres. In addition, the absence of any flu like syndrome in any of the contacts of the study children also supports the exclusion of natural infection from these results.

Our results are in contrast to those reported by Groothuis et al, who studied the immunogenicity and safety of a split influenza vaccine in 6-18 month old infants; a low humoral immune response to some viral antigens was observed. It should be noted, however, that the children included in that study were mainly premature infants with bronchopulmonary dysplasia.

CONCLUSIONS

The effectiveness of flu vaccination will vary with the degree of match between vaccine and circulating viral strains, and will be liable to vary from year to year. Nevertheless, it is important to show, for those strains recommended, that any vaccine can elicit antibody concentrations capable of protecting against infection. In our study, we found that two 0.25 ml injections of a purified, triton split, inactivated influenza vaccine are safe and immunogenic in apparently influenza unprimed, healthy children between 6 months and 3 years of age. The convenient presentation of this vaccine in a prefilled, 0.25 ml syringe will allow medical personnel to give the exact dose recommended for young children, while avoiding errors in manipulation and eliminating product wastage.
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