Are glomerular filtration rate estimations necessary before high dose methotrexate?

H Rees, I M Hann, J M Chessells, D K H Webb

Abstract
Glomerular filtration rates (GFR) were estimated in 168 children (227 estimates) before treatment for haematological malignancies with high dose, intravenous methotrexate. Clinical management was altered on the basis of GFR in only two cases, both of whom had tumour lysis syndrome. Routine estimations of GFR do not contribute to management. (Arch Dis Child 1999; 81: 339–340)
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High dose methotrexate (MTX) is a common component of treatment regimens for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL). Methotrexate is renally excreted, and in the UK glomerular filtration rate (GFR) estimation is routinely stipulated before administration. However, this stipulation commonly causes logistic difficulties in treatment planning, may delay treatment, and may not be cost effective. Furthermore, high dose MTX is administered without routine estimation of GFR in several European countries. The experience with GFR estimation before administration of high dose MTX was reviewed in a single regional cancer centre.

Methods
The patient database at Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children (London, UK) was reviewed to identify children treated for ALL or NHL in the Medical Research Council (MRC) clinical trials UKALL XI and Infant ALL, and the United Kingdom Children’s Cancer Study Group (UKCCSG) clinical trials LMB 9001–9004. Details of GFR estimations, plasma creatinine, and clinical history were obtained for all children scheduled to receive high dose MTX. The cost of GFR estimation was calculated on the basis of consumables and laboratory time, but did not include assessment of medical and nursing staff costs.

Results
During the study period, 197 of 234 children treated for ALL or NHL received high dose MTX at dosages of 1–8 g/m². At least one GFR estimate was available for 168 children (103 boys and 65 girls): 107 with ALL, 16 with infant ALL, 14 with B cell NHL, and 31 with T cell NHL. Hospital records were unavailable for 11 children, and there was no record of a GFR estimate in 18. However, high dose MTX proceeded on schedule for these patients. Forty-nine children had multiple estimations: 42 due to protocol stipulation, and seven for a previous abnormal result.

There were 16 results outside the normal range for our laboratory (80–160 ml/min/1.73 m²). One child had a GFR > 400 ml/min/1.73 m² owing to a technical error, and a repeat GFR was normal. One patient had a repeat GFR because of delay in clearance of high dose MTX requiring folinic acid rescue for 96 hours after an initial normal estimate. The repeat showed a value of 67 ml/min/1.73 m², treatment was not modified, the child cleared subsequent high dose MTX satisfactorily, and no further estimations were made. The remaining 14 children had a low initial GFR.

Seven children had initial values from 70 to 79 ml/min/1.73 m² but treatment continued without modification or delay. Two had repeat estimations two and four weeks later, which were normal. Seven children had an initial GFR < 70 ml/min/1.73 m², but treatment was modified in only two cases. One child with infant ALL had a GFR of 47 ml/min/1.73 m² after tumour lysis syndrome during induction chemotherapy, as well as severe sepsis requiring admission to an intensive care unit and treatment with multiple nephrotoxic antibiotics and amphotericin B after the first intensification block. High dose MTX was delayed by two weeks until the GFR had improved and further assessment after one month confirmed a normal value. The second child, with B cell NHL, also had tumour lysis syndrome during induction requiring dialysis. The GFR was 68 ml/min/1.73 m²; a repeat GFR estimation after one week was normal, and high dose MTX was administered after a delay of two weeks.

Calculated GFR estimation costs for the whole group were £9500.

Discussion
We conclude that routine GFR estimation is unnecessary in most children treated with high dose MTX for ALL and NHL—in our series, estimation changed management in only two cases who were able to proceed with planned treatment in full doses after a short delay for improvement in renal function. Both of these children had experienced tumour lysis syndrome, and one had required intensive care and multiple nephrotoxic drugs including amphotericin because of severe sepsis. Tumour lysis syndrome occurs in fewer than 5% of children with ALL or T cell NHL and up to 15% of children with advanced B cell NHL; abnormal renal function may necessitate dialysis in these cases. Severe febrile neutropenia in the
early phases of treatment for ALL that requires amphotericin treatment occurs in 3–5% of children, mainly following the first intensification block, but is more common in infants who are especially vulnerable and who receive more intensive induction treatment. Children with these complications should be selected for a GFR before high dose MTX.
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