Abstract

Aim—Research into intellectual impairment among children with congenital heart disease has focused mainly on older children. This study was designed to determine whether previous findings are applicable to preschool children.

Methods—Three groups of children under 3 1/2 years old were assessed immediately before treatment and 12 months later: a group with congenital heart disease awaiting surgery, another awaiting bone marrow transplantation, and a healthy comparison group.

Results—Although the means of the three groups were within the normal range, preoperatively the cardiac and transplant groups showed deficits compared with the healthy controls. Postoperatively, continuing developmental deficits were significant only in the children with cyanotic lesions.

Conclusions—Conclusions about intellectual development in older children with congenital heart disease do not apply to preschool children. Before corrective surgery, chronic illness itself appears to be the predominant influence on development. Postoperatively, cyanotic and acyanotic lesions are associated with different short term outcomes.
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Intellectual development is likely to be compromised in some children who develop chronic illness early in life,1–3 and developmental delay has been recognised in infants with congenital heart disease as early as two months of age,4 with potential signs of developmental problems being detectable even earlier.5 Impaired central nervous system functioning6 and developmental and cognitive impairment have been widely reported in children with congenital heart disease, particularly those with cyanotic lesions.9–11 Feeding problems, resulting in failure to thrive, are common in infants and young children with congenital heart disease, and can result in impaired development and intellect.17–20

Surgical intervention for congenital heart disease can result in significant increases in IQ scores, particularly among older children,19 and more especially in those children with cyanotic lesions.22 However, even after correction of cyanotic lesions, considerable neurodevelopmental and cognitive deficits may remain.27–24

There is some evidence that postponing the repair of cyanotic lesions is associated with progressive impairment in cognitive functioning.13–25 In children with acyanotic lesions, in contrast, no relation has been found between age at surgery and cognitive impairment.5 14

Previously published studies have had three major limitations. First, they have focused mainly on older children, and it is unclear to what extent their findings might apply to children less than 3 years old. Second, with few exceptions, studies have been uncontrolled. Third, most studies have had a retrospective or cross sectional design, hence limiting the causal inferences that can be drawn from their results.

Our study, focusing on children under 3 1/2 years old, was designed to overcome these limitations. To our knowledge, this is the first prospective study of developmental and cognitive functioning in younger children with congenital heart disease, and also includes two comparison groups, one of children undergoing bone marrow transplantation and another group of healthy children. We aimed to test the following hypotheses, based on the existing literature.

- Young children (less than 3 1/2 years old) with congenital heart disease will demonstrate cognitive and developmental impairment relative to healthy children and to children awaiting bone marrow transplantation.
- Preoperatively, children with cyanotic lesions will show a greater degree of cognitive and developmental impairment than those with acyanotic lesions.
- Corrective surgery will result in a greater improvement in cognitive and developmental functioning in children with cyanotic lesions compared with those with acyanotic lesions.
- For children with cyanotic defects, cognitive and developmental impairment will be positively correlated with age at time of repair, but no such association will be found for children with acyanotic lesions.

Methods

Sample
All the children in our study were aged 0–3.5 years, and we excluded any with known mental handicap. The cardiac group comprised a consecutive series of children admitted for elective surgery recruited from three specialist units in London, UK over a period of two years. The transplant group was made up of a consecutive series of children recruited over 33 months from four specialist units. The healthy group was recruited from two London health centres.
The cardiac group comprised 25 children, although one child with a cyanotic lesion was too ill to be assessed before corrective surgery. The mean age of the group was 1.5 years (SD, 0.9 years; range, 0.2–3.4). There were 10 girls. Eleven had cyanotic lesions and 14 had acyanotic lesions—these two subgroups will be referred to as the cyanotic and acyanotic groups, respectively. The mean ages of the two congenital heart disease subgroups were not significantly different (cyanotic group mean, 1.7 years; SD, 0.8; acyanotic group mean, 1.4 years; SD, 0.9). Follow up assessments were completed on 19 children; five died before the follow up assessment, and one was lost to follow up.

The transplant group comprised 15 children, of whom 14 had initial assessments. Follow up assessments were completed on eight children, the remaining seven dying before follow up. Fifteen healthy children were recruited and completed initial assessments, and 11 of these had follow up assessments (the remaining four being lost to follow up).

The groups did not differ significantly in their mean ages, sex distributions, or parents’ socioeconomic status (table 1).

### Measures

Children were assessed on admission for cardiac surgery or bone marrow transplantation, usually the day before surgery, and again 12 months later during a routine outpatient appointment, using the Ruth Griffiths Mental Development Scales.26-27 For children younger than 2 years old there are five subscales: locomotor, personal/social, hearing/speech, eye–hand coordination, and performance. A sixth, the practical reasoning scale, is added from the third year onwards (this scale applied to only 16 children in the sample and is not reported in the results). Because, in common with other measures of development, the scales rely on parental reporting and focus more heavily on sensory motor function than tests for older age groups, their validity as a predictive measure of later IQ is questionable.28-30 Nevertheless, the features on which the scales focus are those considered pertinent to the development of preschool children and the scales have been used with chronically ill or handicapped children,31-33 and they are the most widely used infant development scale in the UK.34 In addition, on both test occasions, parents took part in a semi-structured interview that included questions about the child’s behaviour.

### Statistical analysis

Developmental measures at each test occasion were compared by one way analysis of variance. Scheffe’s multiple comparison tests were used to identify the source of any significant differences (p < 0.05) between the cardiac, transplant, and healthy groups, and between the cyanotic, acyanotic, transplant, and healthy groups. Performance of the cyanotic and acyanotic subgroups was compared using independent Student’s t tests. Within group changes over time in developmental function were assessed using paired Student’s t tests. Between group comparisons over time were assessed using repeated measures analysis of variance. Correlations of age and performance were measured using Pearson correlation coefficients.

### Results

#### Initial assessments

Although all the mean subtest scores and overall IQ score for the cardiac subgroups and total cardiac, transplant, and healthy groups were within the normal range,27 both the cardiac and transplant groups obtained significantly lower scores on the locomotor, personal/social, and speech and hearing scales, together with the overall IQ score, compared with the healthy group (table 2). All of the individual scores were within the normal range with the exception of the locomotor scores, which were below the normal range in four children in the cardiac group and two children in the transplant group. There were no significant differences between the total congenital heart disease group and the bone marrow transplantation group, or within the cardiac group between the children with cyanotic and those with acyanotic lesions. Compared with the healthy group (table 2), both cardiac subgroups had significantly lower

### Table 1 Characteristics of the sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>CHD</th>
<th>BMT</th>
<th>Healthy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.2–3.4</td>
<td>0.3–3.4</td>
<td>0.3–3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-manual</td>
<td>12 (48%)</td>
<td>6 (40%)</td>
<td>6 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>13 (52%)</td>
<td>9 (60%)</td>
<td>9 (60%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| CHD, congenital heart disease; BMT, bone marrow transplantation.

### Table 2 Initial mean (SE) development quotients and IQ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>CHD</th>
<th>BMT</th>
<th>Healthy</th>
<th>95% CI (difference between CHD and BMT groups)</th>
<th>95% CI (difference between CHD and healthy groups)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Locomotor</td>
<td>95 (4)**</td>
<td>100 (6)*</td>
<td>124 (3)</td>
<td>−18.7 to 8.8</td>
<td>−41.0 to −17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal/social</td>
<td>104 (2)**</td>
<td>95 (2)**</td>
<td>122 (4)</td>
<td>2.4 to 16.4</td>
<td>−26.1 to −8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech/hearing</td>
<td>101 (3)**</td>
<td>97 (5)*</td>
<td>120 (6)</td>
<td>−6.9 to 15.1</td>
<td>−31.0 to −7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye-hand coordination</td>
<td>102 (2)</td>
<td>103 (4)</td>
<td>112 (4)</td>
<td>−8.2 to 6.3</td>
<td>−18.2 to −1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>109 (3)</td>
<td>105 (5)</td>
<td>119 (4)</td>
<td>−6.3 to 14.9</td>
<td>−19.2 to 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall IQ</td>
<td>102 (2)**</td>
<td>100 (3)**</td>
<td>119 (3)</td>
<td>−3.8 to 8.4</td>
<td>−23.7 to −10.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.01 (cardiac and BMT groups compared with healthy group).

**p < 0.001 (cardiac and BMT groups compared with healthy group).

CHD, congenital heart disease; BMT, bone marrow transplantation; CI, confidence interval.
scores on the locomotor subscale (cyanotic mean (SE) developmental quotient (DQ), 92 (9); 95% confidence interval of the difference between cyanotic and healthy groups (CI), −48.4 to −15.6; p = 0.001; acyanotic mean (SE) DQ, 97 (4); 95% CI, −37.2 to −17.8; p = 0.001), and the personal/social subscale (cyanotic mean (SE) DQ, 106 (5); healthy mean (SE) DQ, 122 (4); 95% CI, −28.7 to −3.5; p = 0.015; acyanotic mean (SE) DQ, 104 (3); 95% CI, −27.9 to −8.8; p = 0.001). Compared with the healthy group, the overall IQ was lower in both the cyanotic group (cyanotic mean (SE) IQ, 102 (3); 95% CI, −26.6 to −7.4; p = 0.001) and the acyanotic group (acyanotic mean (SD) IQ, 102 (2); 95% CI, −24.9 to −9.4; p = 0.001). Testing for negative correlations of the subscale scores with age in the two congenital heart disease subgroups, only one significant correlation was found, for eye–hand coordination in the cyanotic subgroup (r = −0.71; p = 0.01).

FOLLOW UP ASSESSMENTS
Although the mean performance on all of the subtests was again within the normal range in the congenital heart disease group, compared with the healthy group, the congenital heart disease group continued to show impaired locomotor and personal/social functioning, as well as lower overall IQ (table 3). Considering all of the individual tests, between one and three children in the cardiac group and one child in the BMT group had scores below the normal range. All individual scores for the healthy children were within the normal range. Although the poorer performance of the cardiac group at initial assessment on speech and hearing (table 2) was no longer significant at follow up (table 3), the congenital heart disease group showed poorer hand–eye coordination than the healthy group. The differences found at initial assessment between the BMT and healthy groups were no longer significant at follow up (table 3).

Table 4 summarises data for the cyanotic and acyanotic groups (note that table 4 includes data only from those children that were followed up successfully, and the preoperative test results in table 4 therefore differ slightly from those presented above for all the children assessed preoperatively). The differences at follow up between the cardiac group and the others were largely attributable to the children in the cyanotic group, with no significant differences being found between the acyanotic and healthy group scores. Compared with the healthy group (table 3), the cyanotic group showed impaired performance on the locomotor subscale (cyanotic mean DQ, 79; 95% CI, −3.25 to −25.17; p = 0.001), on personal/social functioning (cyanotic mean DQ, 88; 95% CI, −42.0 to −14.2; p = 0.001), and on overall IQ (cyanotic mean IQ, 92; 95% CI, −40.0 to −10.6; p = 0.002). The cyanotic and acyanotic subgroups differed significantly on the locomotor subscale and the differences almost reached significance on the personal/social subscale and on overall IQ (table 4). In the acyanotic group, performance on the eye–hand coordination and performance subscales was positively correlated with age at repair. In the acyanotic group there were no significant correlations between subscale scores and age.

CHANGES OVER TIME IN THE CONGENITAL HEART DISEASE GROUPS
In the acyanotic group, some subscales showed a trend to improvement postoperatively, although none of these changes were significant (table 4). In contrast, the cyanotic group showed significant deterioration in locomotor and personal/social functioning (table 4).

### Table 3 Postoperative mean (SE) development quotients and IQ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean (SE)</th>
<th>95% CI (difference between CHD and BMT groups)</th>
<th>95% CI (difference between CHD and healthy groups)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>BMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locomotor</td>
<td>98 (4)*</td>
<td>107 (8)</td>
<td>119 (4)</td>
<td>−26.5 to 7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal/social</td>
<td>100 (5)*</td>
<td>100 (5)</td>
<td>116 (3)</td>
<td>−16.6 to 13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech/hearing</td>
<td>100 (6)</td>
<td>101 (6)</td>
<td>119 (6)</td>
<td>−20.9 to 19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye-hand coord.</td>
<td>100 (3)*</td>
<td>103 (5)</td>
<td>115 (4)</td>
<td>−13.9 to 8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>111 (4)</td>
<td>112 (5)</td>
<td>120 (4)</td>
<td>−14.7 to 16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall IQ</td>
<td>102 (4)*</td>
<td>104 (5)</td>
<td>117 (4)</td>
<td>−16.3 to 11.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05 (CHD and healthy groups).

### Table 4 Mean (SE) development quotients and IQ of the two congenital heart disease subgroups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Cyanotic Pre</th>
<th>Acyanotic Pre</th>
<th>95% CI (difference between preoperative and postoperative cyanotic score)</th>
<th>95% CI (difference between preoperative and postoperative acyanotic score)</th>
<th>95% CI (difference between postoperative cyanotic and acyanotic scores)</th>
<th>95% CI (difference between postoperative cyanotic and acyanotic scores)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Locomotor</td>
<td>104 (5)†</td>
<td>79 (6)†</td>
<td>89 (3)</td>
<td>107 (3)*</td>
<td>−22.6 to 40.2</td>
<td>−15.6 to 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−10.9 to 9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−21.1 to 10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−36.1 to 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal/social</td>
<td>103 (7)†</td>
<td>88 (7)†</td>
<td>106 (5)</td>
<td>106 (5)</td>
<td>−4.6 to 25.4</td>
<td>−6.7 to 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−12.1 to 7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−19.8 to 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech/hearing</td>
<td>97 (7)</td>
<td>93 (10)</td>
<td>103 (4)</td>
<td>104 (7)</td>
<td>−20.2 to 28.9</td>
<td>−10.8 to 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−21.1 to 10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−36.0 to 14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye-hand coord.</td>
<td>100 (5)†</td>
<td>96 (7)†</td>
<td>102 (2)</td>
<td>102 (3)</td>
<td>−23.1 to 30.8</td>
<td>−6.7 to 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−12.1 to 7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−19.8 to 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>117 (6)</td>
<td>103 (8)</td>
<td>109 (4)</td>
<td>115 (5)</td>
<td>−12.5 to 41.5</td>
<td>−15.5 to 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−7.3 to 23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−31.0 to 7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall IQ</td>
<td>104 (4)</td>
<td>92 (7)</td>
<td>104 (2)</td>
<td>107 (4)</td>
<td>−3.0 to 27.7</td>
<td>−9.4 to 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−8.1 to 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−30.5 to 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p = 0.001; †p = 0.010; ‡p = 0.014 (p values for “cyanotic post” are for pre and post cyanotic scores, and post acyanotic and cyanotic scores). CI, confidence interval.

These figures are derived exclusively from children who completed both assessments, and the preoperative data differ slightly from those given in the text, which include all children who completed the initial assessment.
PARENTAL INTERVIEWS
Dependency and inactivity were the two main areas of concern mentioned by parents preoperatively. Paradoxically, a number of mothers also reported that their own anxiety resulted in them overprotecting their children, preventing them becoming more independent. After surgery, three mothers of children with cyanotic lesions specifically mentioned that their children were very clingy and did not mix with other children. Again, maternal anxiety appeared to be a major factor in these behaviour patterns. In contrast, mothers of children with acyanotic lesions did not report dependency to be a problem after surgery; correspondingly, their own level of anxiety was reduced from preoperative levels.

CHILDREN WITH CONGENITAL HEART DISEASE NOT FOLLOWED UP
Five of the six children who were not followed up died; the remaining child was lost to follow up. Comparison of the follow up sample and those who died indicated that the two samples differed significantly only on the locomotor scale, with those children who died obtaining a lower score ($t = 3.06; p = 0.006$).

Discussion
Our study is the first prospective investigation of the effects of corrective surgery on cognitive and developmental functioning in younger children with congenital heart disease. Apart from its prospective design, one of the main strengths of our study was the inclusion of two comparison groups. Comparing the cardiac group with another group of children undergoing bone marrow transplantation allowed some discrimination between the effects of chronic illness in general and congenital heart disease in particular. Although both groups showed preoperative scores in the normal range on the Ruth Griffiths scales, comparisons between these groups and the healthy control children were more revealing. On both test occasions, the healthy group achieved higher scores than would be expected from the standardised norms for the tests.26 27 The most likely explanation for this is that the standardised norms for the tests are no longer appropriate. A number of mothers admitted keeping their children away from others (for example, because of fear of infection), thus limiting their child’s social interactions. This is likely to influence potential aetiological factors. Four particular factors are likely to be important in explaining our results. First, children with cardiac disease are often physically less able to interact with their environment because of the limiting nature of their condition. The tests used depend to a significant extent on physical activity. Impaired physical abilities also hinder the development of other skills, such as exploratory behaviour. Second, maternal overprotectiveness is likely to be important.28-30 A number of mothers admitted keeping their children away from others (for example, because of fear of infection), thus limiting their child’s social interactions. This is likely to influence the development of speech and socialisation skills particularly, consistent with our finding that the children with congenital heart disease performed significantly less well than their healthy peers on the personal/social and speech and hearing scales. Third, the
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effects of prolonged illness and hospitalisation are likely to be important. Some children in the cardiac group had spent prolonged periods of time in hospital, resulting in inconsistency of the physical environment and the number of people involved with the child, which could further have compromised their development. Fourth, feeding difficulties, particularly in the infants, may also have made parents feel inadequate and could cause them to withdraw emotional support from their infants, thus contributing to developmental delays.

Several factors might have contributed to the findings of a deterioration in performance in the cyanotic group after surgery. Six children with cyanotic lesions had been re-hospitalised since surgery, compared with none in the acyanotic group. Hospitalisation, particularly between the ages of 6 months and 4 years, is acknowledged to be potentially harmful emotionally, and is also likely to compromise cognitive development. Furthermore, a number of the children had to undergo further procedures under general anaesthesia. Increasing numbers of procedures under general anaesthesia and periods of hospitalisation have been associated with adverse effects on the cognitive development of preschool age children. Although the cyanotic group did not show a loss of skills over time, they did not achieve the improved rate of development expected in one year. Poor developmental outcome after surgery in infancy has also been linked to a number of family variables, such as socioeconomic status. Maternal overprotection is also particularly relevant for the cyanotic group, with mothers of three of the six cyanotic patients in the younger age group specifically mentioning that their children were very dependent and clingy and that they did not mix with other children. From the interviews, it was apparent that these mothers were themselves anxious and unwilling to allow their children to be more active and engage in more exploratory behaviour. In contrast, the greater improvement in children with cyanotic lesions between the ages of 6 months and 4 years is acknowledged to be potentially harmful emotionally, and is also likely to compromise cognitive development.

Our results, in common with another recent study, failed to confirm the reported association in children with cyanotic lesions between age at time of repair and subsequent cognitive functioning. The studies that have found this association have been based on children with the specific condition of transposition of the great arteries. Our sample was more heterogeneous and confined to younger children whose ages spanned a smaller range. The age range in our study may not have been great enough to expect any association between age at repair and subsequent cognitive function. Equally, such an association might be more evident in some specific cardiac conditions than others.

Our findings highlight the complex effects of chronic illness on the development of young children. Preoperatively, the predominant factor influencing cognitive and developmental delay appears to be chronic illness in general, with no significant differences identified between children with cyanotic or acyanotic heart lesions or those requiring bone marrow transplantation. However, 12 months after corrective intervention, clear differences are apparent between these three diagnostic groups. For children with acyanotic lesions successfully repaired in infancy, the prognosis is good for further cognitive and developmental progress. However, for those with cyanotic lesions, cognitive and developmental delays are more likely to be prolonged. Outcome after surgery is complex in its determination. Factors related to aspects such as parenting have been implicated in the contrasting delayed development found in other groups of children one year after corrective surgery, supporting a multifactorial approach to the study of outcome in chronically sick children.

Longer term follow up is now required to determine the extent to which young children with cyanotic lesions who show deficits in the preschool years remain at risk for cognitive delay. Future research also needs to identify any specific risk factors, which in turn will serve to inform appropriate psychosocial interventions as components of the comprehensive management of children with cardiac disease.
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