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Annotations

Genes and cancer

In developed countries, approximately 1 in 600 children develop cancer before they are 15 years old. Half of all childhood malignancies are diagnosed during the first 5 years of life. About 33% of cases are leukaemias, 25% brain tumours, and 10–12% lymphomas. The remaining cases are mainly embryonal tumours including neuroblastoma, Wilms's tumour, embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma, retinoblastoma, and hepatoblastoma.12 Many of the leukaemias and brain tumours also constitute embryonal neoplasms. The histological appearance of embryonal neoplasms resembles that seen in the developing embryo and fetus. The early onset of these neoplasms and their embryonal appearance strongly suggest that prenatal, including genetic, factors are important. This article considers the role of genetic predisposition in the development of childhood cancer.

Genetic predisposition to cancer may be considered under four areas:

- highly penetrant genes that give rise to distinct familial clusters of cancers with a clear pattern of inheritance
- genes that confer a lower penetrance, with most gene carriers remaining unaffected. Multiple case familial clusters would not arise but occasional cancer affected sibling pairs or parent–child pairs may occur. Such genes may well exist and could play an important role in causing childhood cancers. At present, however, none has been identified and consequently, their possible importance cannot be estimated
- various syndromes that confer an increased risk of childhood cancers but where congenital abnormalities represent the main manifestation of the genetic disorder
- normal polymorphic variants of genes may exist that confer an increased risk of developing childhood cancers by modifying response to environmental factors.

High penetrance genes and familial cancer syndromes

These syndromes are characterised by a high incidence of specific cancers within an affected family. Usually, there are no associated non-neoplastic phenotypic markers of gene carrier status. There are several such syndromes which confer a high risk of specific paediatric cancers, and two examples are described below.

RETINOBLASTOMA AND THE RB1 GENE

Retinoblastoma affects approximately 1 in 20 000 liveborn children, and occurs in hereditary and sporadic forms.3 In contrast with other embryonal tumours, prognosis for retinoblastoma has been favourable since the practice of enucleation became common towards the end of the 19th century. Affected individuals therefore survived to reproductive age and it was recognised that the tendency to develop retinoblastoma could be inherited. Hereditary retinoblastoma is usually bilateral and often diagnosed during the first year of life. Multiple tumours within a single eye are common. Non-hereditary cases are invariably unilateral and unifocal with a somewhat later onset. In 1971, Knudson proposed that sporadic unilateral disease and heritable bilateral disease both arise after two mutational events occurring in a target cell.4 In sporadic non-heritable retinoblastoma both mutations occur somatically. In heritable cases the initial mutation occurs in the germline. Every cell therefore carries the mutation, giving a high probability of a second mutation in one or more target cells. Follow up studies of survivors show that patients with heritable disease are at increased risk of developing further malignancies, particularly osteosarcoma, soft tissue sarcoma, and melanoma.5

Studies of retinoblastoma have been extremely important in the development of ideas about genetic predisposition to cancer, including the recognition that a single gene can predispose to more than one type of cancer. The concept of tumour suppressor genes, whereby it is necessary for both copies of the normal wild-type allele to be lost by mutation or deletion for tumour development to proceed, grew out of the two mutation model. Thus, although a dominant pattern of inheritance is apparent at the clinical level, at the cellular level such genes are recessive.

LI-FRAUMENI SYNDROME AND THE TP53 GENE

The Rb1 gene was the first tumour suppressor gene to be cloned and the second was the TP53 gene. Germline mutations to TP53 gene give rise to familial clusters of cancers consistent with Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS). LFS is characterised by bone and soft tissue sarcoma, breast cancer, brain tumours, leukaemia, and adrenocortical carcinoma, with onset during childhood or early adult life.7 Recent studies show that at least 70% of families with clinical features of LFS carry germline TP53 mutations.8 The pattern of cancers and penetration vary according to type of mutation.9

Historically, childhood onset tumours associated with LFS conferred a poor prognosis. Most gene carriers therefore would not have survived to reproductive age. It is thus difficult on the basis of epidemiological data to estimate the frequency of germline TP53 mutations among populations of children with these cancers. Mutation screening studies of mainly small series of patients indicate a frequency of
5–50% depending on tumour type, but it should be recognised that the techniques used in these studies would have missed some mutations. There is therefore a need for studies of large patient series using more extensive mutation analysis methods to determine the frequency of TP53 mutations among childhood tumours. Recognition of individuals with TP53 mutations could be important clinically because the presence of such a mutation may influence response to cytotoxic treatment. Furthermore, as with Rb1 mutations, the risk of developing second and subsequent malignancies is greatly increased in patients with TP53 mutations.

**Congenital malformation syndromes associated with childhood cancers**

Childhood cancers, particularly embryonal tumours, might be regarded as developmental anomalies. Certain malformations and childhood cancers could arise therefore as a result of the same aberrant developmental processes. Several examples exist of malformation syndromes where this appears to be the case. In these syndromes, the tumour represents one of several associated features. Patients may display some, but not all, of the syndrome characteristics.

**Wilms’s tumour, WAGR syndrome, Denys-Drash syndrome, and the WT1 gene**

Wilms’s tumour is an embryonal tumour arising in the developing kidney which affects approximately 1 in 10 000 liveborn children. Children with Wilms’s tumour experience an excess of various congenital anomalies including bilateral, congenital aniridia. Children with aniridia often also display genitourinary abnormalities and mental retardation. The association of Wilms’s tumour with these abnormalities is known as WAGR syndrome. In the majority of patients with WAGR syndrome, a constitutional heterozygous deletion involving the short arm of chromosome 11p13 can be detected. In patients with such deletions, aniridia is fully penetrant and Wilms’s tumour develops in up to 50% of cases. A gene designated WT1, which is important in kidney development, was isolated from this chromosome region. Whereas deletions involving WT1 give rise to WAGR syndrome, specific constitutional point mutations within WT1 give rise to Denys–Drash syndrome (DDS). DDS is characterised by severe nephropathy, which can be fatal, dysgenetic male pseudohermaphroditism, and Wilms’s tumour. Thus WT1 is involved in both syndromes, but the phenotype depends on the nature of the mutational event.

**Congenital overgrowth syndromes and embryonal tumours**

Several overgrowth syndromes are associated with increased risk of embryonal tumours. The best known is Beckwith–Weidemann syndrome (BWS). The principal characteristics of BWS are prenatal and postnatal gigantism, macroglossia, abdominal wall defects, visceromegaly, muscular hypertrophy, advanced bone age, craniofacial and ear anomalies, and neonatal hypoglycaemia. The overgrowth may affect only part of the body (hemihypertrophy). Usually, children with BWS are developmentally normal, and about 8% develop tumours. The most common malignancy is Wilms’s tumour, but hepatoblastoma and adrenocortical carcinoma are also relatively frequent. Other neoplasms seen in association with BWS include rhabdomyosarcoma, neuroblastoma, and pancreaticoblastoma.

The phenotype can be variable, with some children only mildly affected. Risk of malignancy, however, appears to be similar in children with incomplete BWS, and those showing all principal features but presence of hemihypertrophy may confer a higher risk. Most cases of BWS are sporadic, but familial cases showing autosomal dominant inheritance occur. Linkage studies indicate that the locus for BWS is within chromosome 11p15. Although a number of candidate genes have been proposed, the BWS gene has not yet been characterised.

More recently, other overgrowth syndromes which appear to predispose to embryonal tumours have been recognised, including Simpson–Golabi–Behmel syndrome (SGBS) and Perlman’s syndrome. SGBS shares many features with BWS, but in children with SGBS cleft lip and palate, cardiac and skeletal anomalies, and developmental delay are common features. SGBS is X-linked and the gene responsible, which maps to chromosome Xq26, is a glycan gene designated GPC3. The frequency of SGBS among children with embryonal tumours and the risk of neoplasia among children with SGBS has yet to be clarified. In Perlman’s syndrome there is a high associated neonatal mortality rate and mental retardation. Renal abnormalities are observed and there is an apparently high incidence of Wilms’s tumour, often bilateral, during the first year of life. Perlman’s syndrome shows autosomal recessive inheritance.

**Interaction of genetic and environmental factors in childhood cancer aetiology**

Various ways exist in which individual susceptibility to carcinogenic effects of environmental agents may depend on genetically determined factors. In adults, it is established that various drug metabolising enzymes play an important part in determining the carcinogenicity of certain chemicals. Normal polymorphic variation can lead to differences in the way or extent to which individuals metabolise chemical agents. An example of this, involving the cytochrome P450 system, is the ability to metabolise debrisoquine. Poor metabolisers appear to have a lower risk of lung and bladder cancers.

**Transplacental carcinogenesis and genetic variation in susceptibility**

Epidemiological studies have indicated an increased risk of certain childhood cancers in the offspring of individuals in occupations associated with exposure to potentially mutagenic chemicals during a child’s prenatal life. Additionally, some studies appear to show an association between maternal diet during pregnancy and risk of cancer in offspring. Although there is variation and inconsistency in results between these studies, the overall implication is that transplacental carcinogens may have a role in the aetiology of some childhood cancers. If this is the case, then childhood cancer risk may be affected by genetically determined variation in ability to metabolise transplacental carcinogens in both mother and fetus. In experimental animals, it has been shown that cancer incidence in offspring transplacently exposed to carcinogens depends on the genotype of the mother and the fetus with respect to genes involved in carcinogen metabolism. It is possible that this type of mechanism also operates in humans. Although this is speculative at present, it does suggest potential lines of research.

**Childhood leukaemia, infections, and HLA genotype**

There is mounting epidemiological evidence to suggest that childhood leukaemia arises as a rare outcome of a common infection. If infections are involved in the
Umbilical cord blood transplantation

Since Gluckman et al's first description of successful haemopoietic stem cell transplantation using umbilical cord blood (UCB) as the source of marrow progenitors in 1989,1 more than 500 umbilical cord blood stem cell transplants have been performed. Umbilical cord blood banks have been set up in the USA and Europe to store these cells, which in the past have been considered a waste product of reproduction. Although most UCB haemopoietic stem cell transplants have taken place in the past three years, recently published reports5–8 have given an important insight into the clinical potential of UCB as a source of haemopoietic progenitor cells for transplantation.

Allogeneic bone marrow transplantation (BMT) can potentially be used to cure a variety of diseases including haematological malignancies, bone marrow failure syndromes, haemoglobinopathies, immunodeficiencies, and some inborn errors of metabolism.5 The use of allogeneic BMT is limited by the need for adequate tissue matching of host and donor cells to reduce the risks of rejection and the severity of graft versus host disease (GVHD) in the short term, while allowing immune reconstitution in the longer term. Many patients who might benefit from allogeneic BMT are prevented from doing so because there is no adequately matched donor available. In part, this problem has been addressed by the establishment of large panels of unrelated adult donors who are prepared to donate their bone marrow. Approximately five million donors are available worldwide. Despite these large numbers the need for precise tissue matching compounded by the predominantly white European ethnicity of the donor panels means that a precise tissue matching compounded by the predominantly white European ethnicity of the donor panels means that a
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UCB lymphocytes are potentially less immunologically active than those usually found in the blood or marrow and may therefore produce fewer problems with GVHD than functionally mature lymphocytes harvested from live donors.

**Harvesting umbilical cord cells**

Umbilical cord cells can be harvested following vaginal delivery by cannulation of the placental vessels with the placenta still in utero or by direct expression of cord blood from the placenta following its delivery (usually in a room adjacent to the delivery suit) or at the time of caesarean section. There appears to be little difference in the quality of cells harvested by either method following vaginal delivery but cells from caesarean section may be less satisfactory; however, these recent data are not borne out by an earlier study. A significant minority of postplacental delivery harvests provide an inadequate volume of UCB and are discarded. In Europe it is considered best practice that where possible UCB is harvested by specially trained staff working for the cord blood banks. However, in the USA harvesting by different obstetric teams has not been associated with a reduction in UCB quality.

For directed family donations, cannulation of the placental vessels in utero is the preferred option to reduce the risk of an inadequate harvest. Where UCB is being donated for unrelated use postplacental delivery harvesting is more appropriate as it is less intrusive and reduces the need for donor counselling. Only mothers who produce adequate UCB harvests need to be approached for permission to store and test the UCB and to have a medical history taken. This history is relied on to ensure that the cord blood is unlikely to carry any known genetic disorders. The chances of a fetus carrying an unknown but clinically significant congenital disorder is negligible.

A very important part of the procedure is to ensure that the midwife or obstetrician attending the delivery does not clamp the cord earlier than usual just because the UCB is to be harvested. This prevents any potential harm to the fetus. Harvests over 40 ml are considered suitable for use. Usually between 40 and 150 ml are obtained, which approximates to between 4 and 11 x 10⁶ nucleated cells available for transplantation.

Samples are taken at the time of harvesting from the mother for microbiological screening, including HIV I and II, hepatitis B and C, and syphilis. Retesting of the donor for HIV at a later date is not performed because the risk of silent HIV infection at the time of delivery is extremely small, and failure to locate donors would lead to an unacceptable waste of stored harvested cord blood. As well as being tissue typed, a specimen of cord blood is often kept aside for HIV retesting should the UCB be required for transplantation. Further tests at the time of transplantation are probably unnecessary and are not performed on other types of stem cells stored in liquid nitrogen before use in transplantation. The UCB may be volume reduced but is most often directly mixed with DMSO to allow for long term storage in liquid nitrogen following a brief period in a quarantine freezer awaiting the results of virology tests. The UCB is also tested for bacteria. Improved harvest techniques have reduced the incidence of bacterial contamination.

The costs involved in harvesting and banking UCB are not insignificant. If it is to be done properly unrelated cord blood banking requires a considerable outlay in equipment, storage facilities, and trained staff. Each cord harvest costs approximately £2500.

**Theoretical advantages and disadvantages of UCB transplantation**

The advantages to the donor are obvious. As long as care is taken to clamp the cord at the normal time the fetus will come to no harm. There is no need to anaesthetise the donor. The donor feels no discomfort and does not require time off work or school.

Potential advantage for the recipient is crucially dependent on the theoretical immune tolerance of UCB lymphocytes that might allow for transplantation across presently insurmountable HLA barriers. If successful engraftment is possible across HLA barriers and GVHD is controllable, the potential donor pool will be greatly increased and many more patients will be able to undergo curative haemopoietic stem cell transplantation. There are other possible advantages. UCB cells are potentially immediately available. Thus the time from consideration of transplantation to the actual procedure should be reduced compared to donation from an unrelated panel donor. This may be important for patients with malignancies and some inborn errors of metabolism where delays in transplantation may adversely affect outcome. The risk of transmissible infection should also be less. The UCB comes already virologically tested and will be free from postnatally acquired infections such as cytomegalovirus.

There are disadvantages. The reduced number of stem cells present in the UCB may limit their use to small recipients. The theoretical immune naïveté of the transplanted lymphocytes may reduce the graft versus leukaemia effect of the UCB transplants with an increased risk of relapse in patients transplanted for malignancy. Where relapse does occur the donor is not available to donate peripheral blood lymphocytes that could be used to treat the relapse.

**Clinical results**

**DIRECTED (FAMILY) DONATION**

Eurocord has recently reported the results of 78 related UCB transplants performed in Europe between October 1988 and December 1996 with a median follow up of 29 months. The patients were mainly children; median age was 5 years (range 0.2 to 20) and median weight was 19 kg (range 5 to 50). Thirty eight patients had leukaemia. In 60 cases the donor was an HLA identical sibling and in 18 there was a degree of HLA disparity. Induction regimens were standard with 36 patients receiving cyclophosphamide and total body irradiation, and 40 chemotherapy alone, which included busulphan. Post-transplant immunosuppression was with cyclosporin alone or in combination with prednisolone or methotrexate or both. Only four patients received serotherapy with either antithymocyte globulin or monoclonal antibodies.

Overall 53 patients survived one year; 44 in the HLA matched group and six in the HLA mismatched group. In 10 patients receiving UCB mismatched at three or more HLA antigens only one survived for 12 months. Neutrophil engraftment was better in patients younger than 6 years of age and weighing less than 20 kg. Thirty five of 48 patients receiving < 3.7 x 10⁷ cells/kg had neutrophil engraftment compared with 34 of 40 who received > 3.7 x 10⁷ cells/kg. Platelet engraftment was also favoured by age under 6 years and weight more than 20 kg. HLA disparity was significantly associated with poor platelet engraftment. Acute GVHD developed to grade II or more in 9% of the fully matched recipients and in nine of the mismatched transplants. 14.3% of evaluable patients went on to develop chronic GVHD. Ten of the 38 patients with leukaemia relapsed during the median follow up of 29 months. Survival was also significantly affected by recipient...
cytomegalovirus status: 31 of 40 cytomegalovirus negative recipients but only 16 of 36 cytomegalovirus positive recipients survived for 12 months.

UCB grafts reported from the USA are broadly similar but with less acute GVHD. This may be related to the use of more intensive GVHD prophylaxis. 

UNRELATED CORD BLOOD TRANSPLANTATION

The results for 65 unrelated donor UCB transplantation from the Eurocord registry have also recently been reported.1 The patients had a median age of 9 years (range 0.3 to 45) and a median weight of 30 kg (range 4 to 90). Forty one patients had acute or chronic leukaemia. The median follow up was 10 months (range 1 to 30). Seven patients had an HLA “identical” donor at HLA-A,-B, and -DRB1; 43 had a one antigen mismatch; 11 had two mismatches; and two had three HLA differences.

Nineteen patients survived for one year. Patients receiving > 3.7 × 107 nucleated cells/kg fared better with a survival of 41% at one year compared with 22% in those infused with fewer cells. Cytomegalovirus serology was important to outcome: 42% of cytomegalovirus negative recipients survived 12 months compared with 20% of cytomegalovirus positive patients. HLA differences did not affect survival. Engraftment was slow and related to the number of nucleated cells/kg infused. The probability by day 60 of a neutrophil count > 0.5 × 109/litre was 87% and for a platelet count of > 20 × 109/litre the probability was 39%. Acute GVHD of grade II or more was seen in 21 patients: eight grade II, nine grade III, and four grade IV. The incidence of acute GVHD was significantly less in cytomegalovirus negative recipients. Seven of the 41 patients with leukaemia relapsed.

Results from the USA10-12 are better with two year survival of approximately 45%, and more rapid engraftment probably because of the greater use of growth factors post-transplant. There was a significant incidence of acute GVHD. Duke and Minneapolis13 reported an incidence of acute GVHD of ≥ grade II at 35%; Cairo and Wagner,1 in a recent review, reported an incidence of acute GVHD ≥ grade II of 57% with 9% of grade III or IV. In the largest study reported so far involving 272 cases,22 Rubinstein et al reported an incidence of acute GVHD of grade III or IV of 23%. The incidence of GVHD did not appear to be related to the apparent degree of HLA mismatch.

COMPARISON WITH UNRELATED BONE MARROW TRANSPLANTATION IN CHILDREN

The results of unrelated UCB transplantation compare favourably with results of unmanipulated unrelated BMT.13 However, in the UK at least, unmanipulated unrelated donor BMT is rarely if ever performed. The vast majority of British children treated with unmanipulated unrelated BMT receive serotherapy with antithymocyte globulin or monoclonal antibodies, and where appropriate the graft is also lymphodepleted before infusion. In 1996 the Bristol group13 reported results for 50 patients with relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukaemia transplanted with unmanipulated donor grafts in second complete remission. Event free survival at two years was 53%; 94% of patients engrafted; acute GVHD ≥ grade II was seen in 12% (only three patients had grade III or IV acute GVHD); 26% relapsed. The same group have more recently reported manipulated unrelated BMT in 15 children and adolescents with poor prognosis Philadelphia positive acute lymphoblastic leukaemia.20 All initially engrafted; the incidence of acute GVHD was 13%; two year event free survival was 37%.

Conclusions

UCB transplantation is feasible. There are apparent limitations owing to the small numbers of progenitor cells present in the harvests. Immunological naivete of cord blood has been demonstrated by the relatively reduced incidence of GVHD in umbilical cord transplants compared with unmanipulated unrelated donor BMT and by the poor outcome in patients who are cytomegalovirus positive before transplantation. However, despite routine prophylaxis, clinically significant acute GVHD was reported in all studies. Follow up is as yet too short to determine whether relapse rates are higher with cord blood than with bone marrow.

Initial results are favourable for matched related UCB transplantation in patients younger than 6 years of age weighing less than 20 kg who are cytomegalovirus negative. For suitable patients UCB offers the opportunity of prompt haemopoietic stem cell transplantation without any danger or discomfort for the donor. Results in the unrelated setting are not as good as those for children undergoing lymphocyte depleted unrelated donor BMT. However, patients from non-white European populations for whom bone marrow donors are difficult to find on the existing donor panels may benefit from the greater proportion of ethnic minority donors represented in the cord blood banks.

Future results of UCB transplantation will no doubt be better than those summarised above. In vitro expansion of cord blood progenitor cells may allow heavier patients (> 20 kg) to benefit from the immunological naivete of umbilical lymphocytes.23 The clinical outcomes need to improve to ensure the future of unrelated cord blood transplantation and to justify the continued investment in cord blood banking. New alternatives are on the horizon. In particular the initial results of mismatched CD34 selected stem cell transplantation are encouraging.24
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**FETAL AND NEONATAL EDITION**

January Issue

The following articles—being published in the January 1999 issue of the Fetal and Neonatal edition of *Archives of Disease in Childhood*—may be of particular general interest as they relate to community, social, and neurodevelopmental paediatrics.

**Clinical outcomes of newborn screening for cystic fibrosis**
*Donna L Waters, Bridget Wilcken, Les Irgw, Peter Van Asperen, Craig Mellis, Judy Simpson, John Brown, Kevin J Gaskin*

**Effects of smoking in pregnancy on neonatal lung function**
*Anthony D Milner, Michael J Marsh, Dorothea M Ingram, Grenville F Fox, Chakraphan Susita*

**Systolic blood pressure in babies of less than 32 weeks gestation in the first year of life**
*Win Tin, Northern Neonatal Nursing Initiative*

**Prevalence and clinical significance of cardiac murmurs in neonates**
*Sean B Ainsworth, Jonathan P Wyllie, Christopher Wren*

**Examination for cardiac malformations at six weeks of age**
*Jill Gregory, Alison Emslie, Jonathan Wyllie, Christopher Wren*

**Presentation of congenital heart disease in infancy: implications for routine examination**
*Christopher Wren, Sam Richmond, Liam Donaldson*

**Antibody response to accelerated Hib immunisation in preterm infants receiving dexamethasone for chronic lung disease**
*M J Robinson, F Campbell, P Powell, D Sims, C Thornton*

**Outcome of mother to infant acquired hepatitis GB-C and G infection**
*G Zain, B Saccani, S Di Giacomo, E Tanzi, A R Zanetti, N Principi*

**“Sucrose analgesia”: absorptive mechanism or taste perception?**
*Luca A Ramenghi, David J Evans, Malcolm I Levene*
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