The Medicines Control Agency and the Committee on Safety of Medicines (CSM) recently stated that cisapride is contraindicated in infants born before 36 weeks’ gestation for three months after birth, and that there is insufficient data to support the use of cisapride in children up to 12 years of age. These statements need qualification. Many believe cisapride to be a safe and useful agent in a variety of intestinal motility disorders especially in premature infants. Furthermore, data seem to support the use of cisapride throughout childhood.

The only support the CSM referenced for their first statement was a study showing clinically asymptomatic electrocardiographic increases in the QTc interval to >450 in seven of 49 neonates, six of whom were born <33 weeks’ gestation. This gives reason for caution but not contraindication.

Concern relates to QTc >450, which may predispose to arrhythmias and are a risk factor for sudden infant death (SID). Increases in QTc and arrhythmias are more noticeable with high doses of cisapride and when cisapride inactivation is impaired by drugs acting via the cytochrome P450 3A4 system, such as macrolide antibiotics (erythromycin, clarithromycin) and azole antifungals (fluconazole, itraconazole, ketoconazole or miconazole). But with care is cisapride dangerous? Hill and colleagues found the mean (SD) QTc in 35 children on cisapride to be 428 (35) with QTc >450 in 11 children. Only two had torsades de pointes and both were taking a macrolide antibiotic. Lupoglazoff and colleagues reported seven cases of asymptomatic QTc prolongation with a mean of 486 (540) but dosage was high at 1–1.7 mg/kg/day; Levine et al found no change in QTc in children taking 0.8 mg/kg/day. However, we have found that in 17 surgical neonates mean (SD) QTc rose from 373 (31) to 389 (19) (p=0.03) while taking cisapride 0.6 mg/kg/day orally or 2 mg/kg/day rectally (bioavailability = 40%), but in no patient did the QTc exceed 450 after starting cisapride.

In one study of 20 ventilated premature neonates the mean gastric residue after feeds decreased on cisapride and the mean feeding volume increased. Cisapride also reduced the time to the first sustained feed and increased the mean daily net enteral balance in neonates with a prolonged ileus after abdominal surgery.

A working group of the European Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition recommended that prokinetic agents such as cisapride have a place in the treatment of gastro-oesophageal reflux (GOR) especially if positional and dietary recommendations fail. Cisapride increases lower oesophageal sphincter pressure and the amplitude and duration of peristaltic waves. Cisapride reduces oesophageal acid exposure, the duration of reflux episodes, the duration of the longest episode, and the number of long lasting episodes. Many of these studies are randomised, double blind, placebo controlled trials. Symptom improvement with cisapride treatment is greater than after postural and dietary treatment alone, and in one study nocturnal cough disappeared completely in 12 of 13 children taking cisapride. In a placebo controlled trial in 137 infants less than 1 year of age with severe GOR, cisapride significantly reduced the frequency and severity of regurgitation. In a double blind, placebo controlled trial in 20 children (aged 75 days to 47 months) with GOR and peptic oesophagitis there was significant histological improvement only in those treated with cisapride. Cisapride is also more effective than metoclopramide and is useful in children with cystic fibrosis and GOR.

In 10 children with encopresis unresponsive to vigorous treatment, symptoms ceased in eight and improved in the other two. In another trial cisapride increased stool frequency from a mean (SD) of 1.4 (0.5) to 6.5 (4.2) stools/week (p<0.05) and accidents decreased from 2.9 (2.7) to 0.5 (1.2) (p<0.05) with encopresis disappearing in 65% of cases and improving in 26%. Two further double blind, placebo controlled trials showed cisapride to improve bowel habits in children with chronic idiopathic constipation. Stool frequency was increased from 1.2 (0.6) to 5.1 (1.9) stools/week (p<0.05), and transit time was decreased from 91 (9) hours to 57 (20) hours (p<0.05).

Cisapride is useful in children with chronic intestinal pseudo-obstruction with those having migrating motor complexes responding best, especially if there is postprandial duodenal hypomotility. A prospective, randomised, controlled study in children after uncomplicated heart surgery found cisapride reduced intestinal transit time.

We believe that the case against cisapride as a safe and extremely useful agent in premature neonates and throughout childhood has not been satisfactorily outlined by the CSM. Our practice is to prescribe oral cisapride at 0.6–0.8 mg/kg/day, measuring QTc before and after starting treatment. We accept that cisapride should not be used when the QTc is >450 or with contraindicated drugs, but we are keen that the CSM should revisit the question of prematurity.
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Varicella: to vaccinate or not to vaccinate?

Despite the fact that live attenuated varicella vaccine was developed about 25 years ago, many questions remain about its use, the major, recurring one being whether it is worthwhile. Varicella is often perceived as a mild ailment. However, not only is varicella not necessarily a benign disease, but it is usually not possible to predict in advance whether an individual will develop a severe illness. In the United States, where varicella vaccine has been licensed for the past two years, utilisation rates vary across the country. The recent outbreak of cases, and the sudden infant death syndrome, has been alarmed by recent reports of deaths from varicella in healthy unvaccinated young women as well as in unvaccinated children. Currently, in the United States, varicella is the leading cause of deaths that are preventable by vaccination.

Complications of varicella include those described in this issue by Jaeggi and colleagues, and involve the central nervous system, bacterial superinfections, and severe infections in immunocompromised patients and adults. Although they concluded that the rate of complications in the population studied was low, one cannot assume that this will continue to be the case. For example, in the United States, inactive group A β-haemolytic streptococcal infections as a complication of varicella have been recognised as a mounting problem for less than a decade. Although these potentially life-threatening bacterial superinfections are not now a problem in Switzerland, they might emerge in the future in unvaccinated children with varicella zoster virus (VZV), either as varicella or following vaccination; only these individuals may harbour latent VZV with the potential to reactivate and cause zoster. Importantly, it has now been demonstrated in two immunised populations, children with leukaemia and renal transplant patients, that zoster occurs five to seven times less frequently after vaccination than after natural varicella. It is possible that the decreased frequency of zoster in vaccinated people is either because of a lower rate of latent VZV infection after vaccination than after natural varicella, or the decreased ability of the vaccine virus to reactivate in dorsal root ganglia or both.

The duration of immunity is often cited as an unknown with regard to varicella vaccine. Following the introduction of any new vaccine, it is not possible to measure the duration of vaccine-induced immunity until a lifetime has gone by. However, the record for varicella vaccine has been admirable. Small studies from Japan have indicated immunity persisting even after approximately 20 years. Recent studies from the United States indicate persistence of immunity in several hundred children for up to 10 years.
following immunisation. Additional studies on the duration of immunity are in progress.

Varicella vaccine seems to provide a lower degree of protection than some other live vaccines such as that against measles. In part, this may result from a greater scrutiny of varicella vaccine recipients in an age when seroconversions after vaccination have been evaluated, as well as from the stringent efficacy trials requiring the vaccine to prove effective even after intense household exposure to unvaccinated family members with full blown varicella. It appears that the rate of complete protection from varicella vaccine is about 90%. Risks for breakthrough varicella are described by Lim et al in this issue. These authors confirmed the importance of the immunising dose of this vaccine. Others have also found that the chance of developing a breakthrough infection is increased in people who manifest lower titres of antibodies in the weeks following immunisation, a phenomenon that is related directly to the titre of virus in the immunising dose. The very best efficacy was shown in children who received a dose of vaccine about five times higher than that in currently licensed varicella vaccines. Therefore, better protection might be afforded by use of a higher titred varicella vaccine. VZV is notoriously difficult to propagate in vitro, so development of a higher titred vaccine that is economically feasible is a recognisably difficult task, but one worth pursuing.

Another risk factor for breakthrough varicella identified by Lim et al is immunisation at less than 1 year of age. This observation is in keeping with that of Terada et al who showed that the cell mediated immune response to VZV is age dependent. Young infants with varicella develop lower cell mediated immunity responses than older children. Thus, the recommendation to withhold varicella vaccination until after the first birthday, as is done in the United States, seems worthwhile. This recommendation may also be important, in that children who experience varicella either in utero or in the first year of life are at increased risk of developing zoster in the first few years of childhood. I believe that in developed countries we should aim to immunise all children over the age of 1 year, as well as adults who are susceptible to varicella, to control the disease and its complications. This approach may not be sensible in developing countries where more serious infections such as measles and bacterial meningitis are not yet under control. However, there are arguments for the use of varicella vaccine in some developing regions where the incidence of varicella in adulthood is high, such as Southeast Asia. The physician must always remember the admonition of Hippocrates: “first do no harm”. In consideration of varicella vaccine in developed countries, the benefits continue to outweigh the mainly theoretical risks. I believe the day has arrived when not immunising will cause more potential harm than immunisation.
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