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Abstract

Background—antenatal screening for cystic fibrosis has been endorsed by the US National Institutes of Health. Edinburgh is the only city in the UK with an established routine antenatal screening programme for cystic fibrosis.

Aims—to report the change in numbers of infants diagnosed with cystic fibrosis born in Edinburgh after the introduction of antenatal screening for the disease.

Population—Infants diagnosed as having cystic fibrosis (by sweat test or genotyping, or both) in the seven years before antenatal testing (1984–90) and the first five years of antenatal testing (1991–95) were included.

Methods—Antenatal testing for cystic fibrosis was first started in the larger of Edinburgh’s two maternity units (Simpson Memorial Maternity Pavilion) in October 1990 and in the smaller unit (Eastern General Hospital) in January 1992. Screening was offered if antenatal care began before 18 weeks of pregnancy. Samples were taken on a sequential basis (mother first, father if maternal cystic fibrosis gene identified) and then latterly offered to couples (both parents provide a mouthwash sample; the paternal sample is tested only if a maternal mutation is identified). Seventy per cent of the eligible couples chose to be tested. Screening identified four common cystic fibrosis mutations, which accounted for 85% of cystic fibrosis mutations in our population (the combination of genes tested has changed with an increased knowledge of gene prevalence in Edinburgh). Couples with two cystic fibrosis mutations were then offered prenatal diagnosis and termination.

Brock has reported the experience of the Edinburgh genetics unit with regard to the number of cystic fibrosis fetuses detected and terminated during the first five years of the antenatal screening programme. This paper completes the picture with regard to antenatal testing, showing its effect on the incidence of cystic fibrosis in Edinburgh over the past five years and providing details of the cases not identified by antenatal screening.

Conclusions—Antenatal testing for cystic fibrosis has successfully reduced the incidence of cystic fibrosis in this region. Although the numbers are small, it is possible that the reduction in numbers may have been greater than might be expected from antenatal screening alone.

(Arch Dis Child 1998;78:345–348)

Keywords: cystic fibrosis; antenatal screening

Cystic fibrosis is the most common inherited disease in the UK to be characterised by chronic disability and early death. The disease is an autosomal recessive inherited disorder with a gene frequency of one in 20 of the general population and a birth incidence of approximately one in 2000. This combination of high incidence and poor outcome (unlike many other genetically inherited diseases) provides an important opportunity to study the ability of gene testing to reduce the incidence of the disease, the public acceptability of such testing, and the cost of gene screening. Testing the population as a whole would be expensive, both in terms of gene identification and counselling the two million people in the UK with identifiable cystic fibrosis genes. Testing couples attending antenatal clinics reduces the number of subjects requiring screening, identifies the population most interested in their status, and allows prompt counselling and prenatal diagnosis.1

Antenatal screening for cystic fibrosis was first started in the larger of Edinburgh’s two maternity units (Simpson Memorial Maternity Pavilion) in October 1990 and in the smaller unit (Eastern General Hospital) in January 1992. Screening was offered if antenatal care began before 18 weeks of pregnancy. Initially, samples were taken on a sequential basis (mother first, father if maternal cystic fibrosis gene identified) and then latterly offered to couples (both parents provide a mouthwash sample; the paternal sample is tested only if a maternal mutation is identified). Seventy six per cent of the eligible couples chose to be tested. Screening identified four common cystic fibrosis mutations, which accounted for 85% of cystic fibrosis mutations in our population (the combination of genes tested has changed with an increased knowledge of gene prevalence in Edinburgh). Couples with two cystic fibrosis mutations were then offered prenatal diagnosis and termination.

Brock has reported the experience of the Edinburgh genetics unit with regard to the number of cystic fibrosis fetuses detected and terminated during the first five years of the antenatal screening programme.2 This paper completes the picture with regard to antenatal testing, showing its effect on the incidence of cystic fibrosis in Edinburgh over the past five years and providing details of the cases not identified by antenatal screening.

Neonatal screening is currently available in several regions in the UK and has been proposed as a nationwide programme. It is clear, however, that full scale antenatal and neonatal screening programmes operating concurrently in the same region will not be seen as cost effective. Clear, informed decisions are needed about the relative merits of each programme, particularly in view of the recommendation by the US National Institutes of Health to support antenatal, but not neonatal, cystic fibrosis screening.3

Methods

For the period 1984 and 1995 (inclusive), we identified all children who were booked...
(antenatal visit before or at 18 weeks’ gestation) and delivered in an Edinburgh maternity hospital and who were subsequently diagnosed as having cystic fibrosis (confirmed by a sweat test or gene testing, or both). Children cared for in Edinburgh, but booked or born outside the city, have been excluded from this paper. This information was held on the cystic fibrosis database at the Edinburgh Sick Children’s NHS Trust (confirmed by parents). The list of names obtained from our database was then checked with details on the UK cystic fibrosis survey database (held in Belfast, UK). The UK cystic fibrosis survey systematically collates data from all UK cystic fibrosis centres on all their patients diagnosed with cystic fibrosis, including the place and date of birth, genotype, and town of residence/review. Children who were born in Edinburgh, but moved away before diagnosis, could thus be identified. The date of birth of each child was noted and we further assessed those children born after the introduction of antenatal testing at the end of 1990. We obtained details of the antenatal care, antenatal gene testing, and the decisions made in the light of that testing from patient and maternal antenatal hospital notes, and from gene laboratory correspondence held in patient records. The genotype and mode of presentation of those infants not identified antenatally were taken from our cystic fibrosis database. We obtained signed parental consent to discuss the above data for those children who were born after the introduction of antenatal testing.

To ensure that changes in the incidence of cystic fibrosis did not reflect changing demographics, we obtained the number of live births occurring each year in the City of Edinburgh (home and hospital deliveries) from 1984 to 1995, at the General Registrar Office for Scotland. Furthermore, to ensure that the changes in incidence did not simply reflect an alteration in our ability to detect cases over the study period, we assessed the time interval between the initial hospital presentation with clinical features attributable to cystic fibrosis and a diagnosis being made.

### Results

Figure 1 shows the number of children born in Edinburgh each year since 1984 and subsequently diagnosed with cystic fibrosis. Only one child present on the UK cystic fibrosis survey database and born in Edinburgh was not known to us; this child, born before 1991, had moved away from Edinburgh before diagnosis.

An average of 4.6 children each year were diagnosed in the seven years before introducing antenatal gene testing. This average decreased to 1.6 each year after introducing antenatal gene testing (excluding the child born in 1991 at the Eastern General Hospital where gene testing was not available). This represents a reduction in the incidence of cystic fibrosis of 65%.

This reduction has not occurred as a result of changing demographics. The annual birth rate in the City of Edinburgh has fluctuated minimally, with a mean (SD) of 5365 (191) births each year. 1984 and 1995 had the fewest live births over the 11 year period (5090 and 5061, respectively). Neither does the reduction in incidence reflect a change in our ability to diagnose cystic fibrosis. Most such children are diagnosed at their first hospital presentation with likely symptoms; 61% before the introduction of antenatal gene testing and 88% after the introduction of antenatal gene testing (not including two infants identified antenatally).

Eight children born in the City of Edinburgh after the introduction of antenatal testing have subsequently been diagnosed as having cystic fibrosis (table 1). In three cases antenatal testing was not performed. In two cases diagnosis was through the routine genetic diagnostic

### Table 1  Details of the eight infants born with cystic fibrosis during the first five years of the antenatal screening programme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>Age at diagnosis</th>
<th>Δ genotype</th>
<th>Antenatal details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Prenatal</td>
<td>AF508/AF508</td>
<td>Paternal mutation already known. Maternal antenatal diagnostic laboratory diagnosis (close family history)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Birth (meconium ileus)</td>
<td>N1303K/Q491X</td>
<td>Previous genetic counselling (close family history). Not screened in pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20 months. Persistent right upper lobe collapse</td>
<td>AF508/P67L</td>
<td>Donor insemination. P67L mutation not part of screen performed on donor. No maternal antenatal screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 months. Failure to thrive</td>
<td>AF508/1717-1G-A</td>
<td>Antenatal screening. 1717-1G-A mutation not part of screen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6 weeks. Persistent respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis</td>
<td>AF508?</td>
<td>Unavoidable miss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Birth (meconium ileus)</td>
<td>AF508/1717-1G-A</td>
<td>Antenatal screening. 1717-1G-A mutation not part of screen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>23 months. Pseudomonas chest infection</td>
<td>AF508/R117H</td>
<td>Antenatal screening. Laboratory error. Avoidable miss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Prenatal one in four risk</td>
<td>AF508/AF508</td>
<td>Antenatal screening identified one in four risk. Twin pregnancy; prenatal diagnosis not requested</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
laboratory because of cystic fibrosis in a first degree family member of one of the parents. In case 1 a paternal ΔF508 was known before pregnancy and a maternal ΔF508 was detected on antenatal testing (the parents wished to continue the pregnancy); in case 2 pre-pregnancy genetic testing and counselling did not detect a Q493X mutation in one parent. Case 3 was a donor insemination birth; the semen donor was screened for four cystic fibrosis mutations at the time of donation, but P67L was not part of that screen. The child’s mother was not screened antenatally because of donor screening.

In five cases antenatal screening was performed. In three cases (4, 5, and 6), antenatal screening detected only one of the two cystic fibrosis mutations present in the couple; in two cases the unidentified mutation was 1717-1G-A (considered to account for <1% of cystic fibrosis mutations in our population); in the other no mutation has been identified. These missed cases were unavoidable with current mutation screening methods. In case 7, laboratory error did not detect a ΔF508 mutation in a maternal sample; the paternal sample was therefore not analysed. Case 8 was antenatally screened and identified as a one in four risk. This mother did not wish prenatal diagnosis in view of the risks to her twin pregnancy.

Discussion
Our experience is that this introduction of antenatal screening has been followed by a 65% reduction in the number of cases of cystic fibrosis diagnosed in children. Antenatal screening identifies the genes responsible for 85% of mutations for cystic fibrosis in our community. Detecting 85% in each partner produces a homozygous detection rate of 72%. As screening was performed for 70% of antenatal couples, we expected to identify 50% of high risk cystic fibrosis pregnancies (a one in four risk). If all these patients accepted prenatal diagnosis, then this would detect 50% of cases each year, so reducing the liveborn incidence of cystic fibrosis to 2.3 cases each year. Brock reported that 22 high risk (one in four) couples were identified in the period reported and all eight couples with a positive prenatal diagnosis accepted termination, giving a termination rate of 1.7 each year—that is, a 36% reduction at 4.7 births each year. As antenatal screening accounts for only 36% of our observed 65% reduction in the incidence of cystic fibrosis, other factors may be involved. Another region performing selective antenatal screening noted a decrease in the incidence of cystic fibrosis that was not completely explained by the number of terminations performed.1

Natural disease variability might account for the decreasing incidence of cystic fibrosis in our region after the introduction of gene testing; however, we do not consider that this would account for such a prolonged period of low incidence in an area with a stable birth population. Late presentation may also account for the discrepancy in the incidence. The children reported here are 1–6 years old (an average of about 3.5 years). In the years 1984–90, the median age at diagnosis in our region was 5 months, with 88% of cases diagnosed before 3.5 years. At the current rates we can therefore expect one further child born in the period 1991–5 to present in the future. In fact, we may not even see late presenters at this rate because antenatal screening has identified R117H, which is a late presenting genotype with a mean age of presentation of 10.4 years.6

The reduced incidence may also represent antenatal screening reducing the numbers of affected siblings born before the diagnosis of a subsequent child; this has been reported as accounting for 11–20% of cystic fibrosis diagnoses in areas without antenatal testing.7,8 Finally, it is possible that antenatal screening has influenced the reproductive behaviour of those couples identified as high risk (22 couples in 4.7 years), particularly those with previously healthy children. Ninety per cent of parents of children with cystic fibrosis would find antenatal diagnosis acceptable in the event of a future pregnancy, though only 20–50% would proceed to the termination of an affected fetus.9,10 The dilemma posed to parents in terminating an affected fetus when they already have a child with the disease prompts 60–77% to decide against having further children.9,11,12 The Edinburgh genetics group have shown that carriers identified by antenatal screening (one in 20 of the screened population) did not report an intention to alter their reproductive behaviour.13 The intentions of the 22 high risk couples identified were not given in that report. Four of the 22 high risk couples identified in the first five years returned for further prenatal diagnosis during the five year period (DJH Brock, personal communication).

Two methods of screening are available. Neonatal screening is performed in six regions in the UK and is proposed as a nationwide scheme,1 whereas antenatal screening is only routinely performed in Edinburgh. A panel convened by the US National Institutes of Health has recommended that cystic fibrosis gene testing should be offered to pregnant women and couples currently planning a preg-

Key messages
• Antenatal screening for cystic fibrosis has produced an effective reduction in the number of new cases
• The reduction in the number of new cases of cystic fibrosis was greater than that expected from terminations after prenatal diagnosis
• The reasons for the greater than expected decrease in the number of cases of cystic fibrosis may represent a change in reproductive behaviour by couples identified as carriers
• The effective detection rate of antenatal screening would be improved if acceptance levels for antenatal screening programmes were improved

Key messages
- Antenatal screening for cystic fibrosis has produced an effective reduction in the number of new cases.
- The reduction in the number of new cases of cystic fibrosis was greater than that expected from terminations after prenatal diagnosis.
- The reasons for the greater than expected decrease in the number of cases of cystic fibrosis may represent a change in reproductive behaviour by couples identified as carriers.
- The effective detection rate of antenatal screening would be improved if acceptance levels for antenatal screening programmes were improved.
nancy. The panel did not recommend mass screening of newborn infants as they concluded it had no proved clinical or financial benefit.

Neonatal screening has been assessed in some regions and a two or three staged screening programme is now proposed nationwide. This involves immune reactive trypsin measurement from a heelprick sample, followed by the identification of cystic fibrosis mutations, and then a sweat test to confirm the diagnosis. Early neonatal diagnosis may help to reduce early tissue damage, morbidity, and increase life expectancy. Neonatal screening would identify 70% of undiagnosed cases within 10 weeks of birth; the test has a false negative rate of 5–8%, would be too late in identifying those infants who present early (about 18% present with meconium ileus), and may miss those genotypes with borderline sweat tests in the first few years of life. Newborn screening also requires a number of healthy children to undergo sweat testing, with associated parental anxiety.

Antenatal diagnosis provides parents with a choice of termination in their first pregnancy. As all fetuses diagnosed with cystic fibrosis in our cohort were terminated, the service appears to be one parents find acceptable and useful. Unfortunately, impact success in the antenatal screening programme, particularly in terms of cost, has been assessed by the number of affected pregnancies terminated. We are disappointed that, in the Edinburgh cohort, 24% of parents did not wish to be tested, and over 60% of those stated their reason as opposition to termination or that they considered it had no proved clinical or financial benefit. Antenatal diagnosis provides parents with a choice of termination in their first pregnancy. As all fetuses diagnosed with cystic fibrosis in our cohort were terminated, the service appears to be one parents find acceptable and useful. Unfortunately, impact success in the antenatal screening programme, particularly in terms of cost, has been assessed by the number of affected pregnancies terminated. We are disappointed that, in the Edinburgh cohort, 24% of parents did not wish to be tested, and over 60% of those stated their reason as opposition to termination or that they considered it had no proved clinical or financial benefit.
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