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Abstract
In a study of 58 children under the age of 16 with insulin dependent diabetes (IDDM) and 172 matched non-diabetic controls, infection during the first year of life was associated with a reduction in diabetes risk (odds ratio 0.81, 95% confidence interval 0.67 to 0.98, per infective episode). Decreased exposure to common infections during infancy may be linked with subsequent IDDM.

(Arch Dis Child 1997;77:384–385)
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Recent observations have suggested that reduced exposure to common microbial infections in early life may increase subsequent susceptibility to insulin dependent diabetes (IDDM). The 'hygiene' hypothesis has stemmed from observations in the diabetes susceptible BB rat and NOD mouse that disease frequency is maximised when the pups are raised in pathogen-free environments but is greatly decreased by viral infection or exposure to bacterial antigens in early life. The human evidence, however, is restricted to the evidence that IDDM is associated with factors which would tend to reduce exposure to infection in childhood such as low population density and small family size. To test the hygiene hypothesis we carried out a case-control study based on routinely recorded data on childhood infections obtained from general practitioners' records.

Methods
We ascertained all children under the age of 16 with IDDM resident in the Southampton and South West Hampshire Health District between 1 October 1992 and 30 September 1993. As the paediatric diabetes service is centralised, the principal source of information was the case records of the paediatric diabetic clinic at Southampton General Hospital. To ensure completeness of ascertainment, we asked paediatricians in adjacent health districts if they knew of any Southampton children with IDDM not known to us. They did not. Each case was matched with three controls of the same sex and birth year randomly selected from the list of the attending general practitioner. Consultations for infections during the first five years of life were systematically recorded from the case records, together with the date of attendance and details of prescribed drugs. The social class at birth was based on the father's occupation. Records were located for 58 of the 60 cases and 172 of the 180 controls: for the remainder, the subjects had either moved or the notes were incomplete. Relative risks were estimated by the odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) calculated by conditional logistic regression for matched sets. Values are given as mean (SD).

Results
The children with IDDM (26 boys and 32 girls) were aged 10.5 (SD 3.8) years and were diagnosed at a mean of 7.9 (SD 3.9) years. The average number of infections reported per child fell from 2.35 in the first year of life to 1.36 in the fifth year. Figure 1 shows that infections had a protective effect during the first year of life (OR 0.81 (95% CI 0.67 to 0.98) per infective episode) but not during the remaining four years. The protective effect was observed in both genders (OR in boys 0.79 (0.61 to 1.02) and in girls 0.86 (0.69 to 1.08)), and was most apparent among cases diagnosed under the age of 6 (OR 0.81, CI 0.40 to 0.93). Inclusion of social class at birth (available for 67% of cases and 64% of controls) as an independent variable in the regression made little difference to the OR (0.79, CI 0.60 to 1.04). Analysis by category of infection suggested that respiratory infections, which accounted for 52% of the reported infections in the first year of life, had the most marked protective effect (OR 0.68, CI 0.49 to 0.92).

Discussion
The general practitioner’s record is an imperfect source for ascertaining data on infections. Clearly mothers are unlikely to consult their doctors for all childhood infections and the doctors may fail to record...
surgery consultations accurately. Thus the number of infections recorded in this study will have underestimated the true number of infectious episodes experienced by the children. However, it is unlikely that this has biased our study. Cases were closely matched with controls attending the same general practitioner in order to minimise systematic differences between cases and controls both for access to the general practitioner and the diagnostic accuracy of the records.

The results of this study suggest that children who develop IDDM spent their infancy in conditions associated with a decreased risk of common infections. The results are in accord with a Scandinavian study which found a similar trend concerning infection during the first year of life, although this did not reach statistical significance. Infection during the first year may have a protective effect by modifying the lymphocytic response to subsequent immunological challenge, as has been shown in the BB rat. A link with decreasing early exposure to common infectious diseases could account for the rise in incidence of diabetes observed in many northern European countries over the past 30 years.

Early infection and subsequent insulin dependent diabetes

Caspar Gibbon, Trevor Smith, Peter Egger, Peter Betts and David Phillips

Arch Dis Child 1997 77: 384-385
doi: 10.1136/adc.77.5.384

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://adc.bmj.com/content/77/5/384

These include:

References
This article cites 6 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at:
http://adc.bmj.com/content/77/5/384#BIBL

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections
- Child health (3922)
- Diabetes (339)
- Immunology (including allergy) (2018)
- Metabolic disorders (761)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/