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Attendance allowance
The attendance allowance was introduced in 1970 to provide financial assistance to those who require frequent or prolonged attention from others as a result of illness or a disabiling condition. Assistance may be required because the person requires personal attention to help with bodily functions, mobility around the house, or medication because the person requires supervision to avoid substantial danger to self or others.1 Initially children under the age of 2 years were not eligible to receive the allowance but this ruling was relaxed in April 1990 with the condition that children must need a lot of more help than other children of the same age or sex. Useful information on criteria used to determine eligibility for the allowance has been published by Ennals,2 and the Disability Alliance publishes very detailed and helpful advice for applicants.3 Attendance allowance is paid at two rates, the higher to those requiring assistance both day and night and the lower to those requiring help either during the day or during the night. The amount of allowance paid has been criticised as being inadequate to provide the level of care needed by recipients since shortly after its introduction.4 It is necessary to have required a lot of help for at least six months before attendance allowance can be paid unless the claimant is suffering from an illness likely to result in death within this period. The allowance is not dependent upon the income or savings of the claimant or family. The granting of attendance allowance may allow other benefits, such as housing benefit, to be paid at a higher rate or be one of the qualifying conditions for a carer to claim the invalid care allowance.

Cystic fibrosis
Cystic fibrosis is a multisystem disorder that places many demands upon sufferers and their families. In the younger child much effort needs to be devoted to physiotherapy and the regular administration of medication, in addition many families find that the efforts needed to ensure adequate dietary intake are time consuming in themselves. The older patient with cystic fibrosis will often need considerable help as well, not only with physiotherapy but also with the administration of intravenous or nebulised drugs. The amount of help needed by older patients is likely to increase as their clinical condition deteriorates.

It would appear that most if not all patients with cystic fibrosis should be eligible to receive attendance allowance. Anecdotal evidence within a regional cystic fibrosis clinic based in Liverpool suggested that this was not the case—many families reported difficulties in obtaining and keeping the allowance.

Experiences claiming attendance allowance
In June 1990 all patients attending the clinic were sent a questionnaire asking whether attendance allowance was currently received and whether the allowance had ever been stopped. They were also asked for information about any appeals that had been made and the period of time between application and receipt of the allowance.

The age of the patient was obtained from clinic records and a measure of disease severity was obtained by reference to their most recent modified Shwachman score.2 6 This score is derived from consideration of the patients clinical condition, lung function measurements, and x ray appearances. Scores for each of the elements are added together to give a total score with a maximum of 100 for a fit person.

Data were analysed using the Mann-Whitney U test.

Results
 Altogether 197 questionnaires were sent out and 118 (60%) were returned; two did not have the patient's name and were excluded from the analysis. Eighty one (70%) respondents were currently receiving attendance allowance. Of these 35 (43%) had submitted an appeal before that allowance was granted and 12 (15%) had had their allowance stopped at some point. The mean delay between first applying for and receiving the allowance was 6-2 months (range 1–72).

Thirty five respondents were not receiving the allowance, 20 (57%) of these had applied unsuccessfully and 15 (43%) had never applied. Twelve (60%) of those applying unsuccessfully had appealed against the decision and four of these appeals were still outstanding. Six (30%) had had attendance allowance stopped and four of these had appealed unsuccessfully against this. Twenty (17%) of respondents were receiving mobility allowance and 18 of these were receiving attendance allowance.

The characteristics of the groups of patients receiving or not receiving attendance allowance are shown in the table.

The mean age of the group receiving attendance allowance was significantly less than that of the group refused the allowance (p<0.05) but did not differ from that of the group that had not applied.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age and modified Shwachman score for groups receiving and not receiving attendance allowance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allowance received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The modified Shwachman score was not significantly different for the three groups but did show a significant negative correlation with age for the group as a whole (r = -0.459, p<0.05).

Discussion
The results of this study appear to confirm the belief held by patients with cystic fibrosis and their families that the granting of attendance allowance is often an arbitrary process. Cystic fibrosis is a progressive disease which worsens with age—as demonstrated in this study by the negative correlation of clinical score with increasing age. Despite this families with younger children are more likely to receive attendance allowance than those with older children. Additionally 15% of patients receiving the allowance had it stopped after review several years later.

There is no relationship between the clinical condition of the patient and receipt of attendance allowance, indeed there were two patients affected to the degree that they received mobility allowance but did not receive attendance allowance.

In both of these conclusions this study confirmed those performed in Southampton and Cardiff.

The procedure for assessing claims is likely to be responsible for the inconsistencies revealed in these studies. Claimants fill in an application form with few clinical details and are then visited at home by a doctor employed by the Department of Social Security (DSS) who will not usually have specialist paediatric training. These doctors often appear not to understand cystic fibrosis (one parent reported being asked when her child had caught the condition) and may be deceived by the apparent well being of many older children on initial examination. Many applicants did not feel that the examining doctor understood the time implications of treatment for cystic fibrosis or the fact that the relative good health of some sufferers was a direct result of very hard work on the part of parents.

Altogether 81% of appeals against refusal of the allowance were successful; the majority of these were supported by a detailed report from the hospital team responsible for the applicant’s care. Many parents felt that this report should have formed part of the initial application.

A better allowance?
The introduction of the disability living allowance appears to improve the likelihood of patients with cystic fibrosis receiving financial help. There has been a lot of publicity about the the new allowance which hopefully will increase awareness in both patients and professionals. The application forms give the claimant plenty of opportunity to state how their illness or disability affects them in their daily lives. It is particularly useful that disability and mobility components can be claimed using the same forms. The length and complexity of the forms, however, is likely to discourage many people from applying. It is likely to be important that professionals, particularly doctors, are prepared...
to assist in the completion of this form as the result of the application is largely dependent upon it. The fact that professionals are invited to contribute to the form goes some way to answering those critics of the system to administering attendance allowance that it did not contact involved doctors until the appeal stage. The space allowed for comment, however, is extremely limited and submission of additional sheets or covering letters is not mentioned on the form. This obviously reduces workload in the short term but may result in unnecessary delays if more detailed reports are requested by the administrator dealing with the claim.

The reduction in number of medical examinations appears likely to meet with the approval of many families in our study and should speed the processing of most claims. Some authors, however, are concerned that the reduction in medical examinations may remove the possibility of applicants having a disability explained on their behalf, although the experience of many families of children with cystic fibrosis suggests that this medical opinion could also be a hindrance.

The introduction of a third rate to the disability living allowance may reduce the number of applications that are refused but may also reduce the amount of allowance gained by successful applicants. This may lead to a number of appeals as the granting of the middle or lower rate depends on whether the applicant requires 'help' or 'some help' during the day and the basis upon which this decision is made is not clear.

In summary the introduction of the disability living allowance appears to offer the chance to correct many of the deficiencies concerning the provision of attendance allowance for children with cystic fibrosis. For the first time involved clinicians are invited to contribute early in the application process—it is essential that we use this opportunity to benefit our patients.

3 Disability Alliance. Attendance allowance guidelines and attendance allowance reviews. London: Disability Alliance, 1983. (Obtainable from 21 Star St, London W2 1QB.)
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