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Abstract

Spirometric data from 86 primary school children living in an area of air pollution resulting from wire reclamation incineration were analysed and compared with 92 non-exposed schoolchildren. There were lower values for forced vital capacity and forced expiratory volume and thus a higher incidence of pulmonary function abnormalities in the children in the polluted area than those in a non-polluted area. There was no significant difference in the prevalence of respiratory symptoms between these two areas when surveyed by a questionnaire. Twenty eight schoolchildren from a non-polluted area and 26 children from the polluted area, who were said to have no respiratory symptoms and for whom consent forms were obtained, were recruited for a bronchial responsiveness test. Nine (35%) of 26 children in the polluted area were responders (<50 U) and only one of the control subjects was a responder. The mean (SD) log cumulative dose producing a 35% decrease in respiratory conductance and the minimum cumulative dose required to decrease respiratory conductance from the baseline in the children of the polluted area were significantly lower than that of the control subjects (1-32 (0-37) log units and 1-26 (0-44) log units, respectively, compared with 1-70 (0-10) log unit for both measurements in non-exposed children). These results indicate that air pollution resulting from wire reclamation can produce a detrimental effect on both pulmonary function and bronchial responsiveness in primary schoolchildren who are continually exposed to air pollutants from the time of their birth.

Wire reclamation incineration is a source of environmental contamination. The resulting air pollutants include suspended particulates, nitrogen dioxide, sulphur dioxide, heavy metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, polychlorinated biphenyls, polychlorinated dibenzofurans, and dioxins.1-3 These pollutants cause damage in the hepatic, dermatological, and immunological systems and may also cause fetal death.4 5 In Taiwan, heavy metals are recovered for reuse by wire reclamation incineration. Wires were incinerated in an open area without using any pollution control equipment. The reclamation process has been performed for about 20 years in Wanli, a severely polluted area located in the southern part of Taiwan. Several years ago, the Bureau of Environmental Protection of Taiwan detected significant concentrations of dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzofurans in the air and soil of this area.1 According to the governmental reports, there were also high morbidity and mortality rates due to chronic pulmonary disease in the Wanli area.1 Previously, we found that the incidence of pulmonary function abnormality in children of the polluted areas was greater than that of the non-polluted area.6 In order to investigate if long term exposure to air pollution resulting from wire reclamation incineration might have harmful effects on the airway reactivity, we compared the bronchial responsiveness of primary schoolchildren in the polluted area to those living in a non-polluted area.

Methods

Children in the primary school in the polluted area of Wanli, near the incineration site, and in one school in a non-polluted area located in the centre of Tainan city were chosen for this cross-sectional study. All children had been inhabitants in these districts since their birth, and their socioeconomic background was similar. By using a stratified and systematic sampling method, 100 sixth grade (12 year old) primary schoolchildren in these two areas were selected as subjects for study during April and June.

In addition to the air quality information provided by the Bureau of Environmental Protection of Taiwan, environmental air quality was evaluated by the concentrations of suspended particulates, nitrogen dioxide, and sulphur dioxide, of the air sampled from the two areas under study. The air sample for determining suspended particulates7 was collected as previously described.6

All pulmonary function tests were administered in the schools by trained technicians using a portable spirometer (Microspirom-298, Chest). The procedure for performing forced spirometry was the same as our previous report.5 The values of forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1), and forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of the forced vital capacity (FEV125-75) were expressed as percentage of predicted values calculated by the equations of Polgar and Promadhat.8 Abnormal spirometric parameters were defined as (a) FVC or FEV1 of less than 80% of predicted values or (b) a ratio of FEV1:FVC less than 75%.

A modified version of the ATS-DLD-78-C questionnaire9 concerning the anthropometric data, socioeconomic condition, smoking history of the parents, and respiratory symptoms of the children was translated into Chinese and...
answered by the parents during home visits by trained personnel. Socioeconomic status (SES), derived from parents’ occupation and education, was divided into low (V and IV), middle (III), and high (II and I) categories. Chronic cough was considered to exist when a child frequently coughed for more than two years without apparent association with a common cold. Wheezing was considered to be present when it occurred with or without a common cold.

Because the inhabitants might fear that the government would prohibit wire reclamation incineration (one of their major sources of income) if the survey revealed unfavourable results, a bias in the parents’ answers to the questionnaire existed. So only those who replied that their children had no respiratory symptoms who completed consent forms had their children chosen for methacholine inhalation tests.

Twenty-eight schoolchildren from a non-polluted area and 26 children from polluted area were recruited from the study population for bronchial responsiveness evaluation.

Methacholine inhalation tests were carried out with an Astograph (TCK-6100, Chest) as previously described. This machine can write dose response curves of respiratory resistance (Rrs) with tidal breathing during continuous inhalation of methacholine in twofold increment concentrations from 0-049 to 25 mg/ml every minute. Three indices were evaluated for the airway responsiveness in the present study: the baseline value of Rrs (Rrs-cont); the minimum cumulative dose required to start to decrease respiratory conductance from the baseline (Dmin); and the cumulative dose producing a 35% decrease in respiratory conductance (PD35Gr).

Results are presented as mean (SD) values. Statistical evaluations were performed by Student’s t test. A p value below 0.05 was considered significant. Differences in prevalence of pulmonary function abnormalities and respiratory symptoms between these two groups were evaluated by χ² test. Statistical analyses of PD35Gr and Dmin values were performed on logarithmically transformed data because they showed logarithmic normal distribution.

Results

In our study we found the polluted area had higher concentrations of sulphur dioxide and nitrogen dioxide than the non-polluted area which served as a control (sulphur dioxide 18-1 ± 2-1 parts/billion (ppb); nitrogen dioxide 12-6 ± 2-1 ppb).

Questionnaires were returned and spirometry was successfully performed by 86 children in the exposed area and 92 in the control area. Twenty-two children were excluded because of grossly incomplete questionnaires and unacceptable spirometry. There were no significant differences in demographic characteristics between these two areas (table). In addition, no significant differences in the prevalence of respiratory symptoms surveyed by questionnaire between the polluted and non-polluted areas were noticed.

The distributions of pulmonary function abnormalities in the polluted group were 15% for FVC, 17-5% for FEV1. In the control group, the distributions of abnormality were 6-5% for FVC, 3-2% for FEV1. The incidence of pulmonary function abnormality in the polluted area was thus greater than that of the non-polluted area (p<0.05, χ² test). These results were the same as in our previous report.

The results of methacholine inhalation test are shown in the figure: baseline airway resistance (Rrs-cont), bronchial reactivity (PD35Gr), and bronchial sensitivity (Dmin). There was no statistical difference in Rrs-cont between these two groups. Takishima et al. defined the subjects who had a Dmin of more than 50 U (or log Dmin >1-699 log unit) as non-responders and the subjects who had a Dmin of less than 50 U as responders. According to their criteria, none of the 35% of 26 children living in the polluted area were responders and only one of 28 children from the non-polluted area subjects was a responder. The mean (SD) log PD35Gr of the children of the polluted area was significantly lower than that of the non-polluted area (1-32 (0-37) log units and 1-70 (0-10) log units, respectively p<0.01). The mean (SD) log Dmin of the children of the polluted area was significantly lower than that of the control subjects

Demographic characteristics of the study children in polluted and non-polluted areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Polluted area</th>
<th>Non-polluted area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex: M/F</td>
<td>46/40</td>
<td>50/42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm): M/F</td>
<td>133.5/135.6</td>
<td>132.7-134.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg): M/F</td>
<td>29.8/31.2</td>
<td>30.1/31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES (p):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>3-0</td>
<td>3-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>18-2</td>
<td>25-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>69-4</td>
<td>68-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>9-4</td>
<td>3-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents smoking:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father</td>
<td>33-6</td>
<td>31-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic cough</td>
<td>4-7</td>
<td>6-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic phlegm</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>4-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheezing</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>3-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosed asthma</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>3-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest illness</td>
<td>8-1</td>
<td>6-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SES (socioeconomic status) derived from parents’ occupation and education was divided into low (V and IV), middle (III), and high (II and I) categories.
Our results were consistent with our previous report\(^6\) that there were no significant differences in the prevalence of chronic cough and wheezing. These results seemed to contradict the pulmonary function measurements. The possible explanation was the bias in answering the questionnaire due to the fact that the government would prohibit wire reclamation (a major employer) if the surveys revealed unfavourable results. The epidemiological approach to survey respiratory symptoms by questionnaire was somewhat crude. The major obstacle in investigating the effect of wire reclamation on primary morbidity and mortality data are usually held by local government officials in diverse disciplines. A complete environmental analysis and health prevalence study could meet with further resistance from the industry, economists, and local politicians.\(^7\) Our results on bronchial responsiveness might provide further evidence of the impairment in pulmonary health in the children of the polluted area.

In conclusion, our results showed that air pollution resulting from wire reclamation incineration could produce a detrimental effect on both pulmonary function and bronchial responsiveness in primary schoolchildren who had been chronically exposed to the air pollutants since their birth.
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