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Abstract
The aims of the present study were to investigate the relationship between severe hypoglycaemia and autonomic dysfunction in diabetic children, and to assess the glycaemic response to an insulin infusion test. In a one year period, 12 of 69 diabetic patients (17%) experienced at least one severe episode of hypoglycaemia, defined as an event which required outside assistance. All patients underwent five case re-assessment autonomic tests. Seven of the hypoglycaemic patients showed three or more abnormal autonomic tests. Among the 57 non-hypoglycaemic diabetics, there was no patient with three or more abnormal tests. In hypoglycaemic diabetics both with and without autonomic dysfunction, and in eight healthy age matched subjects an insulin infusion test was performed. A pronounced blood glucose decline and a subnormal increase in heart rate during insulin infusion were obtained in patients with autonomic dysfunction. Thus, severe hypoglycaemia may be due to impaired defence mechanisms against blood glucose decline in diabetic children with autonomic dysfunction.

Hypoglycaemia represents a constant risk for all patients with insulin dependent diabetes mellitus.1–3 Until recently, hypoglycaemia was considered as an acute event of inadequate diabetes management with no long term consequences. However, recent studies report that irreversible brain damage or intellectual impairment may be the consequence of severe hypoglycaemia.4–6 Currently available data indicate that severe hypoglycaemic episodes not infrequently accompany conventional insulin treatment and are even more frequent in patients receiving intensified insulin regimens.1 7 8 Nevertheless, little information is available concerning such episodes in children with diabetes.

There are a number of well established reasons which may explain why diabetic patients run into severe hypoglycaemic episodes. Poor compliance with injection technique, inappropriate insulin dosing with respect to meals and physical activity, and a recent change of insulin dose are factors known to precipitate hypoglycaemia9 10; however, one study reported that no cause could be defined in over one third of patients.11 One assumption is that autonomic nervous system dysfunction may be responsible for increased risk of severe hypoglycaemia, as autonomic activation plays an important role in defence mechanisms against hypoglycaemia.12–16 In this respect, the importance of disturbed autonomic function is not yet clear in childhood diabetes.

The aims of our present study were to investigate the relationship between severe hypoglycaemia and autonomic nervous system dysfunction in diabetic children treated conventionally with insulin and studied prospectively, and to assess the glycaemic response to an insulin infusion test. With this purpose, we included only those episodes of severe hypoglycaemia that caused loss of consciousness or convulsions and required outside assistance.

Patients and methods
Sixty nine children with insulin dependent diabetes mellitus who had been treated conventionally with insulin (two injections per day) since the onset of disease were considered for inclusion in this study. This represented 58% of the diabetic children seen in our clinic during the study period. All patients were receiving highly purified porcine insulin (Actrapid MC and Monotard MC, Novo-Nordisk) but no other medications. All were healthy except for diabetes, having negligible basal C peptide secretion (fasting C peptide concentration <0·1 nmol/l). The study was conducted prospectively over one year, the patients being seen at our clinic every two months. At each clinic visit, detailed information was obtained from the children and their parents on any episode of severe hypoglycaemia that had occurred since the last visit. Patients were requested to come to the clinic or contact the physician immediately in the event of severe hypoglycaemia, which was defined as loss of consciousness or convulsions and requiring outside assistance. Children with one or more episodes of severe hypoglycaemia during the study period were compared with a non-hypoglycaemic population (table 1). Comparisons between the groups were made by sex, age, duration of diabetes, fructosamine levels determined at each clinic visit, insulin doses, and autonomic function tests.

AUTONOMIC FUNCTION TESTS
Five cardiovascular tests were performed at the start of the prospective study, and one year later. Each diabetic child was examined in the lying position for the mean resting heart rate for a period of one minute, and for beat to beat variation during five maximal inspirations and expirations, for increase in heart rate and fall in systolic blood pressure during one minute standing after the lying position, and for rise in diastolic blood pressure after a one minute sus-
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Table 1 Characteristics of diabetic children with and without severe hypoglycaemia. Values are expressed as mean (SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diabetic children</th>
<th>Hypoglycaemic (n=12)</th>
<th>Non-hypoglycaemic (n=57)</th>
<th>Total patients (n=69)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycaemia (events/year)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.22 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>4/8</td>
<td>24/33</td>
<td>28/41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>13.4 (3.7)</td>
<td>13.8 (3.3)</td>
<td>13.7 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes (years)</td>
<td>6.1 (2.6)*</td>
<td>3.7 (2.4)</td>
<td>4.4 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean fructosamine (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.3 (0.6)</td>
<td>3.8 (0.4)</td>
<td>3.7 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily insulin dose (U/kg)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.02.

Serum fructosamine was measured by the Roche fructosamine kit. The mean (SD) for non-diabetic children was 2.0 (0.3) mmol/l. Fasting serum C peptide concentration was determined by the Mallincrodt kit (RIA-mat C peptide). The normal fasting value is 0.17-0.99 nmol/l. Insulin was measured with polyethylene glycol immediately after separation to precipitate antibody-bound insulin. The supernatant was then assayed within four weeks with a double antibody radioimmunoassay. The sensitivity of the assay is 0.3 mU/l with a coefficient of variation of 0.04.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data and results are expressed as mean (SD). Data were tested by Student’s paired and unpaired t tests. The frequency of cases with abnormal tests between groups was assessed by χ² test with Yates’s correction. The metabolic clearance rate was calculated as the ratio between the constant insulin infusion rate (μU/m²/min) and the steady state, plasma free insulin concentration (μU/ml).

Results

Fifteen hypoglycaemic episodes were registered in 12 (17%) patients, and 57 (83%) diabetics had no hypoglycaemia during the one year study period (table 1). There were no significant differences in respect of sex distribution, age, metabolic control assessed by mean fructosamine concentrations, and insulin doses between the two diabetic groups. However, diabetic children with severe episodes of hypoglycaemia had diabetes of longer duration than patients without hypoglycaemia (table 1).

Three or more abnormal cardiovascular tests were obtained in seven of the 12 hypoglycaemic patients, whereas among the 57 non-hypoglycaemic diabetics there was no patient with three or more abnormal autonomic tests (7/12 v 0/57, p<0.001). The remaining five patients in the hypoglycaemic group had fewer than three abnormal cardiovascular tests. The two subgroups of hypoglycaemic patients (group A, three or more abnormal autonomic tests, and group B, fewer than three abnormal tests) are characterised in table 2. Sex distribution, age, diabetes duration, metabolic control, and insulin doses were comparable in groups A and B.

Blood glucose and heart rate responses to insulin infusion test as well as plasma free...
insulin profiles in the two groups of patients and in the healthy control group (group C) were compared. As shown in the figure, blood glucose concentrations were similar in the three groups of children before insulin infusion test. Glucose profiles during the insulin infusion test were similar in groups B and C, whereas group A showed a more pronounced glucose decline. The mean blood glucose concentration at glucose nadir was lower in group A than in groups B and C (2.7 (0.3) vs 3.8 (0.4) and 3.9 (0.4) mmol/L, p<0.01). Group C had lower plasma free insulin concentrations than groups A and B before insulin infusion test (8 (3) vs 20 (4) and 23 (5) mU/L, p<0.02). The steady state plasma free insulin concentrations were similar in the three groups. The metabolic clearance rates for insulin in groups A (559 (80) ml/m²/min), B (542 (73) ml/m²/min) and C (596 (67) ml/m²/min) were not statistically different. The increase in heart rate during insulin infusion test was similar in groups B and C, whereas group A showed a subnormal heart rate increment (5 (6) vs 24 (7)/min, p<0.01 and 30 (5)/min, p<0.001). Significant change in blood pressure and increased sweating were not observed in any group studied. Hypoglycaemic symptoms, necessitating cessation of the insulin infusion, did not develop in any subject.

Discussion
In this study about 17% of diabetic children treated conventionally with insulin showed one or more severe hypoglycaemic episodes in a single year. This hypoglycaemic group had had diabetes for longer and had greater cardiovascular autonomic dysfunction than the non-hypoglycaemic group. Moreover, there was a relationship between autonomic dysfunction and abnormal glycaemic and heart rate responses to the insulin infusion test in hypoglycaemic patients.

Hypoglycaemia is the most frequent acute complication of insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. The incidence of severe hypoglycaemia in insulin dependent diabetes mellitus is controversial. Some studies report that the severity and frequency of hypoglycaemic episodes is related to the degree of blood glucose control and disease duration. In our present study overzealous attempts to achieve normoglycaemia can be excluded as a cause of severe hypoglycaemia because long term glycaemic control did not differ in those with and those without severe hypoglycaemia. However, over-insulinisation in our study may have played a part in a few subjects, but insulin dosages did not differ in those with and those without severe hypoglycaemia.

Hypoglycaemia in insulin dependent diabetic patients results from excessive insulin action, promoting the fall in blood glucose concentration to below the normal range. There are two physiological components of the hypoglycaemic response in both the normal and diabetic individual. The first is the complex of well known clinical signs of declining blood glucose concentration, resulting from autonomic activation. This phenomenon is known as hypoglycaemic awareness. The second component is biochemical in nature: with the decrease of blood glucose concentration, there is normally an increase in counter-regulatory hormone (glucagon, adrenaline) secretion leading to an immediate return of blood glucose concentration to the normal range. It is known that patients with insulin dependent diabetes may lose either their hypoglycaemic awareness, hormonal counter-regulation, or both. The mechanism for the loss of these defence systems

Table 2 Characteristics of hypoglycaemic diabetics with three or more (group A) and with fewer than three (group B) abnormal cardiovascular tests. Values are expressed as mean (SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (n=7)</th>
<th>Group B (n=5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycaemia (events/year)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>2/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>13.6 (2.4)</td>
<td>13.0 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes (years)</td>
<td>6.3 (2.9)</td>
<td>5.8 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean fructosamine (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.2 (0.6)</td>
<td>3.5 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily insulin dose (U/kg)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Blood glucose, plasma free insulin, and increase in heart rate during an intravenous (IV) insulin infusion test in patients with three or more (group A), with fewer than three (group B) abnormal cardiovascular tests, and in normal subjects (group C). *p<0.01, group A vs C; †p<0.01, group A vs B; ‡p<0.001, group A vs C; ‡p<0.02, group C vs A and B.
is unclear. Many reports suggest that hypoglycemia unawareness and impaired hormonal counter-regulation may be due to disturbed function of autonomic nerves as parasympathetic and sympathetic innervations play a part in glucagon and adrenaline secretion during hypoglycemia.\textsuperscript{12-16} According to our previous study, dysfunction of autonomic nervous system may appear early during the course of childhood diabetes,\textsuperscript{20,26} and subclinical signs of autonomic neuropathy are demonstrable in a considerable proportion of diabetic children and adolescents.\textsuperscript{24} Our present study suggests that autonomic dysfunction, at least in part, may be responsible for severe hypoglycemia in diabetic children. This is in agreement with a very recent study by Hepburn et al.,\textsuperscript{25} who found autonomic dysfunction and hypoglycemia unawareness coexistently in patients with diabetes of short duration. Nevertheless, further studies are necessary to prove the exact relationship between autonomic dysfunction and impaired defence mechanisms against hypoglycaemia in diabetic children.

With the continuing application of intensified insulin regimens, the question has been raised about an increased risk of hypoglycaemic episodes as a result of the efforts to achieve normoglycaemia in diabetic children. Recurrent and severe hypoglycaemia may be as dangerous as the late complications of diabetes, therefore we have to make an attempt at identifying patients who are at increased risk. Cardiovascular tests may help to identify diabetic children and adolescents in whom intensified insulin treatment may be dangerous and requires more caution.
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