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Abstract

Creatinine clearance and microalbuminuria were measured before and after an oral protein load in 17 children with a history of haemolytic uraemic syndrome, 11 with a single kidney, and 15 controls, all of them normotensive and without evidence of renal damage, to look for indirect evidence of glomerular hyperfiltration. While creatinine clearance increased significantly after the oral protein load in controls, it did not change in patients with either haemolytic uraemic syndrome or a single kidney. Basal microalbuminuria was significantly higher in those with haemolytic uraemic syndrome when compared with those with a single kidney and controls. It increased significantly in all groups after a water load; this increase was significantly higher in haemolytic uraemic syndrome. After the protein load microalbuminuria returned to baseline. In conclusion, children with a history of haemolytic uraemic syndrome have an abnormal renal functional reserve like children with a single kidney. Only patients with haemolytic uraemic syndrome exhibited an increased microalbuminuria, however, suggesting that it may be the expression of a pathophysiological mechanism involved in haemolytic uraemic syndrome and not in single kidney, that could account for their different prognosis.

The haemolytic uraemic syndrome, characterised by the triad of acute renal failure, microangiopathic haemolytic anaemia and thrombocytopenia, is the main cause of acute renal failure in late infancy and early childhood. Although more than 95% of patients survive the acute phase of the disease and most of them, moreover, recover initially normal renal function, some of these patients may develop chronic renal failure in later stages of life. Haemolytic uraemic syndrome is the main cause of chronic renal failure in childhood and adolescence in Argentina.1-4 Mechanisms responsible for the progression of renal failure in these patients remain obscure.

Glomerular hyperfiltration has been postulated as a universal mechanism implicated in the deterioration of kidney function.5-6 This hypothesis has stimulated the search for a method of early assessment of hyperfiltration in humans. Bosch et al introduced the concept of renal functional reserve as the capacity of the kidney to increase its level of glomerular filtration rate after an oral protein load.7 He reported that patients with overt renal disease had lost their renal functional reserve, suggesting that all their remaining nephrons were functioning at a maximal rate. He suggested that glomerular hyperfiltration might have played a pathogenetic part in the further derangement of their renal functional reserve. Further support for this contention may be drawn from experimental observations demonstrating an increase of the glomerular filtration rate in single remaining kidneys after unilateral renal ablation.8 Glomerular hyperfiltration may produce alterations in the glomerular capillary permeability, leading to increased urinary albumin excretion in the microalbuminuric range.9 Microalbuminuria has thus been suggested as an early marker of hyperfiltration.10

The present study was undertaken to search for indirect evidences of glomerular hyperfiltration in patients with a history of haemolytic uraemic syndrome. Past morphological studies performed on kidneys of these patients during the acute phase indicated that the glomerular involvement is in fact focal and leads to a reduction in the number of their functioning nephrons. Thus results obtained in children with haemolytic uraemic syndrome were compared with those obtained in a special control group of children with a known cause of reduced renal mass such as single kidney. None of the children included in this study showed evidence of impairment in their renal function as determined by conventional methods.

Patients and methods

STUDY POPULATION

Group 1 consisted of 17 patients (nine boys, eight girls) who had a history of haemolytic uraemic syndrome. Their mean (SD) age at the time of the study was 9.5 (2.3) years. The mean time of follow up from the onset of the haemolytic uraemic syndrome was 8.4 (1.7) years. Anuria was present during the acute episode in 11 out of 17 patients; the duration of the anuria was 8.7 (3.2) days.

Group 2 consisted of 11 patients with a single kidney (seven boys, four girls). Their mean age was 10.9 (3.0) years. All patients had undergone unilateral nephrectomy: six for reflux nephropathy, two for renal trauma, two for renal dysplasia, and one for multicystic kidney. Mean time elapsed since nephrectomy was 5.7 (1.8) years.

Group 3 was the control group and it included 15 children (nine boys, six girls), mean age 10.2 (2.8) years, without a history of renal disease.

All children were attending the outpatient
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clinic at the Children's Hospital. They were all normotensive according to the criteria of the Task Force, and were not receiving any medication at the time of the study. Their creatinine clearances were within normal limits and they did not have proteinuria. Approval of the research protocol was sought and obtained from the ethical committee of the department of paediatrics. The consent of both parents and children for the present study was obtained in all cases. The study was carried out on an outpatient basis.

ORAL PROTEIN LOAD

Studies were started at 8:00 am after an overnight fast. After emptying their bladders, the children were given an oral water load of 20 ml/kg body weight, after which two timed urine samples were collected at 30 and 60 minutes by spontaneous voiding. Blood was drawn from an intravenous butterfly needle introduced into a peripheral arm vein at the midpoint of each 30 minute period for analysis of plasma creatinine. Baseline creatinine clearance was calculated from the mean value obtained of the two 30 minute periods. The children then underwent an oral protein load (lean cooked hamburger meat) of 45 g/m² body surface area ingested during a 20–30 minute period. After the meal was completed, three urine samples were collected at 60, 120, and 180 minutes and blood was taken for creatinine at mid-point of each period for creatinine clearance. At the end of each urine collection an equivalent volume of water was ingested by each child. Blood pressure was measured every hour from the protein load to the third hour of the period after the load with a mercury sphygmomanometer.

Plasma and urinary creatinine were measured by a standard method by means of an automatic analyser (Abbott VP Bichromatic Analyzer from Abbott Laboratory, Texas), and creatinine clearances were corrected for body surface and expressed per 1.73m².

MICROALBUMINURIA

Microalbuminuria was measured in three different urine samples: (i) in a 24 hour urine collection completed during the day before the study; (ii) in the urine collection at 60 minutes after the water load and before the protein meal; and (iii) in the urine collection at 120 minutes after the protein load. Urinary urea was measured in the last two samples. Microalbuminuria was measured by radioimmunounassay with the method of Miles et al as modified in our laboratory. The first antibody was purchased from Serotec (UK). Standards for iodination and standard curves were from Calbiochem Cat 126658 and 126654 respectively. Interassay variation coefficient was 15% and 13% respectively and the sensitivity was 7.8 ng.

Urinary sodium was measured in the 24 hour collection by flame spectrophotometry.

STATISTICAL METHODS

Statistical analysis of the results was done by non-parametric methods as changes in creatinine clearances after the protein load, as well as microalbuminuria, may not have a normal distribution, as stated by other authors. The Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to evaluate changes in creatinine clearance after the protein load within each group. The median test was used to study the differences among the groups. Fisher's exact test on two by two contingency tables were used to determine possible associations between the response to the protein load and different clinical data. Results are expressed as mean (SE), except for microalbuminuria that is expressed antilogged with a geometric mean equivalent to the arithmetic mean of the logged data and the tolerance factor equivalent to the standard deviation of the logged data, except that the geometric mean is multiplied or divided by the tolerance factor. Results were considered significant when p<0.05.

RESULTS

After the oral protein load, normal control children significantly increased their creatinine clearance (ml/min/1.73m²) when compared with baseline (131 (7)) at one hour (153 (9); p<0.02), two hours (178 (10); p<0.0005), and three hours (192 (18); p<0.0005), as shown in fig 1. Mean percentages of increment above baseline values were 19 (6)%; 36 (4)%; and 43 (8)% one, two, and three hours after the protein load respectively. The mean increment throughout the three hour period was 32 (11)%.

In contrast, children with haemolytic uraemic syndrome failed to increase significantly their creatinine clearance above baseline (131 (9)) at one hour (124 (12)); two hours (125 (8)), or three hours (134 (10) ml/min/1.73m²) after the protein load. Mean percentages of increment above baseline were 1 (6%); 2 (7%); and 4 (6%) one, two, and three hours after the oral protein load respectively. Like the patients with haemolytic uraemic syndrome, patients with a single kidney also failed to increase significantly their creatinine clearance after the protein load. Values recorded were: baseline 138 (17); one hour 116 (17); two hours 136 (14), and three hours 136 (9) ml/min/1.73m². Mean increments above baseline were 12 (10%); 3 (11%); and 5 (9%) at one, two, and three hours after the protein load.

Figure 1: Creatinine clearances after an oral protein load.

*p<0.02, **p<0.0005 compared with respective baseline.
Both patients with haemolytic uraemic syndrome and a single kidney showed a great variation in the values of the individual responses of the creatinine clearance to the protein load. Thus a more discriminative analysis of the results obtained showed that six out of 17 (35%) patients with haemolytic uraemic syndrome and two of 11 (18%) patients with a single kidney were in fact able to increase their creatinine clearance to values within 2SD of the responses shown by normal controls.

No significant changes in blood pressure were recorded throughout the study.

Plasma creatinine was 0.58 (0.03) mg% at baseline and 0.60 (0.03) mg% three hours after the protein load; this was not significant.

The lack of creatinine clearance response to the protein load in patients with haemolytic uraemic syndrome was significantly related (p<0.05) to the presence of anuria during the acute phase, hypertension during the acute phase, or time elapsed between the acute phase and time of study were analysed.

Baseline urinary albumin excretion values before water load were significantly higher in the group with haemolytic uraemic syndrome (p<0.05) when compared with either of the two other groups (haemolytic uraemic syndrome: 11.7 µg/min, tolerance factor 3.4; single kidney: 2.9 µg/min, tolerance factor 1.6; and controls: 2.9 µg/min, tolerance factor 1.5). Although mean urinary albumin excretion increased one hour after the water load was significant in each group compared with its respective baseline, the increase was significantly higher in the group with haemolytic uraemic syndrome (haemolytic uraemic syndrome: 33.5 µg/min, tolerance factor 4.0; p<0.005; single kidney: 4.6 µg/min, tolerance factor 1.5; p<0.05; and controls: 8.8 µg/min, tolerance factor 1.9; p<0.05). Two hours after the protein load urinary albumin excretion had returned to baseline values in all the groups (fig 2). Although mean urinary albumin excretion one hour after the water load was higher in the children with haemolytic uraemic syndrome who showed no increase in their creatinine clearance after the protein load (‘non-responders’), when compared with children with haemolytic uraemic syndrome who did increase their creatinine clearance (‘responders’), this difference was not significant (50 µg/min, tolerance factor 4 and 15.8 µg/min, tolerance factor 3.1 respectively).

Discussion

Our results indicate that the creatinine clearance response to an oral protein load is below 2 SD of those of normal controls in most patients with either a history of haemolytic uraemic syndrome or with a single kidney. This suggests that both groups of patients present an abnormal renal functional reserve despite having shown normal renal function by standard diagnostic procedures. If a reduced renal functional reserve indicates in fact a loss of intact nephrons³ it may then be assumed that the past episode of haemolytic uraemic syndrome could have left these patients with a reduced number of disease. No additional significant associations were found when other clinical variables, such as sex, age at onset, prodrumes of the acute phase, hypertension during the acute phase, or time elapsed between the acute phase and time of study were analysed.

We used the endogenous creatinine clearance as an estimate of the glomerular filtration rate based on other authors’ findings that creatinine clearance and inulin clearance render similar results in this test.⁷ ²⁰ Although it has long been known that both clearances may be discordant because of tubular secretion of creatinine, this discrepancy becomes important only when the glomerular filtration rate is decreased. Differences between both clearances have been reported to be less than 10% in subjects with normal renal function.²¹ ²² Finally, the purpose of using an oral protein load was to observe the dynamic behaviour of the creatinine clearance rather than study its absolute value.

Some authors have reported that cooked red meat may increase the plasma creatinine, which may in turn influence the tubular secretion of creatinine.²³ If this were the case in our study we should have found ‘spurious’ increases in the creatinine clearance instead of a lack of response. Moreover, no changes in plasma creatinine were found after the protein load used in our experimental design.

Although both patients with haemolytic uraemic syndrome and a single kidney presented abnormalities in their renal functional reserve, only children with a history of haemolytic uraemic syndrome showed significantly increased baseline and water stimulated microalbuminuria when compared with normal controls. Microalbuminuria has previously been shown to increase after a water load²⁴ and after a simultaneous water and protein load.²⁵ The design of the present study allowed us to confirm that the water load, rather than the protein load, was responsible for the increase in microalbuminuria.

To our knowledge, no data on renal functional reserve or microalbuminuria in children

**Figure 2** Microalbuminuria after an oral water load and an oral protein load. *p<0.05,* **p<0.005 compared with respective baseline; fp<0.03 compared with control.
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with a history of haemolytic uraemic syndrome or a single kidney have previously been published. In adult single kidney patients, the published information is controversial, as some authors reported a diminished renal functional reserve, whereas others found it to be normal. Increased microalbuminuria in adults with a single kidney has recently been reported. Normal microalbuminuria in our children with a single kidney could be explained by either a shorter time elapsed since surgery or differences in the original disease which led to nephrectomy.

In conclusion, we have found that children with a history of haemolytic uraemic syndrome with a present normal renal function (as determined by standard diagnostic procedures) have an abnormal renal functional reserve, similar to a control group of children with a single kidney and normal renal function. The fact that only patients with haemolytic uraemic syndrome exhibited a raised microalbuminuria would suggest that, although both entities have a reduced number of functioning nephrons, additional pathophysiological mechanisms are operating in the patients with haemolytic uraemic syndrome, which may account for the different prognosis.
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