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Abstract
In a randomised single blind crossover study in children with cystic fibrosis and pancreatic insufficiency, two enteric coated microsphere preparations of pancreatin were compared on a capsule for capsule basis, by measuring the coefficient of fat absorption, nitrogen excretion, weight change, and symptom scores after four weeks' treatment with each preparation. Thirty nine subjects were randomly allocated to receive Pancrease followed by Creon or vice versa. Each individual subject received the same number of capsules per day in each study period. Data from 27 children (Pancrease/Creon, n=13 and Creon/Pancrease, n=14) were suitable for analysis. Results showed no significant differences between the two preparations in any variable studied. We conclude that there is no significant difference between Pancrease and Creon when compared on a capsule for capsule basis.

Pancreatic insufficiency occurs in most patients with cystic fibrosis. Although standard pancreatic enzyme preparations produce some improvement in fat absorption, the majority of lipase administered in this form is inactivated in the acid environment of the stomach. In adults with chronic pancreatic insufficiency it has been shown that less than 8% reaches the duodenum with the result that symptoms of malabsorption may persist which, in children, would lead to continuing poor growth.

With the introduction of enteric coated microspheres fat absorption can be improved significantly. Enzymes are better protected during gastric transit by an acid resistant coating, delaying release of enzyme until the pH has risen to at least 5-5. In addition, a proportion of microspheres are sufficiently small to avoid gastric retention. The net result is that a larger percentage of active enzyme may be available in the duodenum and a normal fat diet is therefore likely to be better tolerated.

Another advantage of these new preparations is that, as a result of increased efficiency, fewer capsules are needed to achieve near normal fat absorption, a factor likely to encourage compliance in a group of patients already subjected to a demanding treatment schedule.

Pancrease (Cilag) and Creon (Duphar) are two enteric coated preparations commonly available in the United Kingdom. Both preparations are presented as microspheres within a hard gelatin capsule, but their manufacture and enzyme content are appreciably different. Pancrease microspheres are produced by evenly spraying pancrelipase of small particle size around small nonpareil seeds that are then enteric coated (data on file, McNeil Pharmaceutical, Spring House, PA 19477, USA). The production of Creon microspheres involves pelletising, spheronising, and enteric coating pancreatin in a fluid bed system (Creon Training Manual: KaliChemie AG, Pharmaceutical Division, Hannover 1984).

The stated enzyme content for the two preparations differs considerably (table 1). By comparison with Pancrease, Creon contains about 60% more lipase and 210% more amylase per capsule. The protease content is 63% of that in Pancrease. In vitro studies have shown that enzyme release may occur at a lower pH for Pancrease than for Creon and that the presence of bile salts may also influence lipase release, accelerating release from Creon at pH values less than 6-0.8 Studies have also shown, however, that the dissolution of microsphere enteric coating can occur throughout a wide range of pH values and at a high pH may take as long as 18 minutes. In addition, the proportion of 'optimum' sized microspheres varies considerably between the preparations currently available, although more than 90% of them are less than 2 mm in diameter and none are larger than 3 mm.10 Lastly, the same study has demonstrated in vivo that there is interindividual variation in the rate of gastric emptying of varying sizes of spheres.

All these variables may affect the actual quantity of enzyme available for digestion in individual patients and might suggest that the lipase content estimated to be contained in each preparation is not the only consideration to be taken into account when estimating enzyme dosage. This study was therefore designed to compare the in vivo efficacy of two enteric coated microspheres on a capsule for capsule basis.

Patients and methods
Thirty nine children with a median age of 9-7 (range 5-17) years were entered into the study. All had symptoms of cystic fibrosis, at least two abnormal sweat test results, and proved pa-

| Table 1 Comparative enzyme content of supplements in units (BP) per capsule |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Pancrease | Creon |
| Lipase | 5000 | 8000 |
| Amylase | 2900 | 9000 |
| Protease | 330 | 210 |
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creatic insufficiency that was demonstrated by abnormal faecal fat excretion, low faecal chymotrypsin,\(^1\) or an abnormal p-aminobenzoic acid/p-aminosalicylic acid (PABA/PAS) test.\(^2\) Clinical state, as measured by the Shwachman score (100=normal) ranged from 37 to 91 with a median value of 79.

No patient was taking antacids or H\(_2\) blockers. Patients with abnormal liver function were excluded. One patient had diabetes mellitus that was well controlled by twice daily insulin.

Each patient was studied over a 10 week period in a single blind randomised crossover manner (fig 1). During the second week of the two week 'run in' period, a seven day weighed dietary record was kept. From this record, a standard individualised seven day menu was produced for each child based on their normal fat intake. This menu was then used during the first week of each study period. All foods that contained fat were reweighed and recorded during these weeks. If a child could not eat a specific food stipulated on the menu, this was replaced by a food that contained the same amount of fat, under the guidance of the dietitian (AM). The variability of fat content in foods was minimised by advising families to purchase the same brands of manufactured foods, cuts of meat, and even egg size. The daily fat intake was analysed using standard food tables,\(^3\) immigration food tables,\(^4\) and food composition data from many food manufacturers.

All patients were taking either Pancrease or Creon on entry to the study. During the run in period the dose for each individual was re-evaluated and adjusted to minimise symptoms and signs of malabsorption. At the end of this period, patients were randomly assigned to receive Pancrease followed by Creon or vice versa, each for four weeks. Each individual subject was then instructed to take the same total daily number of capsules, whether Pancrease or Creon, for the duration of the study. Trial medication was issued by the hospital pharmacist and the order of treatments was blinded to the doctor but not to the patients, as they were taking standard commercial preparations of the enzymes.

Three day stool collections were performed at home at the end of weeks 6 and 10, starting on the fourth day of the standardised menu. Timing was chosen to minimise disturbance to schooling. Faecal fat was measured using the method of Van der Kamer et al\(^5\) and faecal nitrogen using a Tecator Kjeltec Automodell 1030 nitrogen analyser. Faecal fat analysis performed using this method produced very consistent results with a variance of only 2-6%.

The coefficient of fat absorption was calculated using the formula:

\[
\text{Fat intake (g) - fat excretion (g) \times 100\%}
\]

Fat intake (g)

After recruitment all patients were seen at the end of weeks 2, 6, and 10. At each visit an assessment of general condition was made by both doctor and patient using a visual analogue score (maximum score 200). Patients’ weights were recorded and converted to percent weight for age\(^6\) to allow direct comparison of all patients.

During weeks 2, 6, and 10 the children, assisted by parents where necessary, kept a symptom diary recording appetite, number, colour, and consistency of stools, incidence of abdominal pain, and general condition. Children were asked to give a score out of 10, based on a linear analogue score, for each symptom on each day of the study week. The number of capsules consumed daily was also recorded. Unused capsules were returned for counting at the end of each study period. At the end of the study, children were asked to state their own preference of pancreatic enzyme supplement.

Statistical analysis was carried out using the multivariate method of Lehmacr.\(^7\) Calculation of a sample size of 30 was made using standard deviations from an unpublished similar study (Harms, Bertele. Cigli AG, report PAN 01175, Acc No 41671; Munich: 1982) to detect a 10% difference in fat absorption with a power of 80% to achieve significance at the 5% level.

Informed consent was obtained from all parents. The study was approved by the Central Birmingham Health Authority ethical committee.

Results

Twelve patients were unsuitable for analysis. Of these seven withdrew because of respiratory exacerbations or infective illnesses that interfered with dietary intake such that their standard individualised menu could not be followed. One failed to attend for follow up and one withdrew because of intolerable symptoms of steatorrhoea on Pancrease. Further assessment on Creon (her usual treatment) showed poor control of fat malabsorption with a coefficient of fat absorption of 77%. Three patients inadvertently took unequal numbers of capsules during the two study periods and were therefore excluded from the analysis.

The remaining 27 children (15 boys and 12 girls) completed the study. Daily pancreatic enzyme dosage ranged from five to 37 capsules (median 20). All patients took an unrestricted diet with a median (range) fat intake of 2-6 (0-92–4-12) g/kg/day. Dietary management was excellent and dietary fat intake varied very little between the two study periods (table 2) (p=0-19).

**FAT ABSORPTION (TABLE 2)**

There was no significant difference in the
coefficient of fat absorption between Pancrease and Creon, regardless of the order of treatments (p=0.36).

NITROGEN EXCRETION
Nitrogen excretion (g/day) showed no significant difference between the two treatments (Pancrease: mean (SD) 1.23 (1.0) and Creon: 1.40 (1.1); p=0.28).

WEIGHT CHANGE (FIG 2)
There were no significant differences in weight gain between the treatment periods on Pancrease or Creon (p=0.98). Not surprisingly, when weight was considered as a percent of weight for age there was still no difference (p=0.46).

SYMPTOM SCORES
There were no significant differences in scores in either treatment period for any symptom (Pancrease: mean (SD) 5.9 (1.24) and Creon: 5.9 (1.12); p=0.77 for all symptoms). Assessment of general condition at clinic visits by both patient and doctor did not show any differences (patient: p=0.8; doctor: p=0.15).

Analysis using χ² showed no significant difference in preference for one or other product (p=0.24), being roughly equally divided between Pancrease (n=11) and Creon (n=9); seven patients showed no preference. Of those who showed a preference, nine patients chose the preparation that had previously been taken and 11 chose the opposite. Preference for the preparation that gave a better coefficient of fat absorption was expressed by 10/20 patients. Of those children who expressed no preference, only two had a difference in fat absorption greater than 5%.

Discussion
Our results show that Pancrease and Creon are equally efficient, on a capsule for capsule basis, at improving fat absorption to normal or near normal levels, although the doses required to achieve this are frequently higher than those recommended by the manufacturers.

In 19 (70%) of our children dietary fat absorption was above 80% and in eight (30%) it was greater than 90% on both treatments. Indeed, all patients were able to achieve a coefficient of fat absorption of greater than 80% on one or other preparation. Dosage was determined during the run in period based entirely on abdominal symptoms and signs and this dose was confirmed by faecal fat estimation to be adequate, in most cases, to achieve good fat absorption.

That the two preparations were equally efficient, despite their different stated lipase contents, suggests that other variables have a significant effect on the efficiency of fat absorption. Other studies, comparing the same enteric coated enzyme preparations in vivo, also showed no significant differences, although the preparations were compared in these studies on the basis of measured lipase content. 6 18 Both these studies, however, were unblinded and one was unrandomised. Despite these failings the similar findings could be argued to be supporting evidence that actual dosage of lipase prescribed is not so important as other factors, which include gastric acid secretion, endogenous pancreatic enzyme and bicarbonate secretion, bile salt concentration in the duo-
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denum, and the in vitro variation in availability of enzymes from different microsphere preparations. These factors may also help to explain why there are a few patients in whom one preparation is significantly superior to the other.

In addition, compliance must be considered in a study where children are already established on one of the test preparations. It was necessary to exclude three patients because of poor compliance with enzyme dosage (greater than 20% difference between treatment periods), identified by capsule counts at the end of the study and by discrepant capsule counts recorded on their diary cards; however, there is no objective evidence to suggest that compliance was a problem in any other subjects. In a few cases there were remarkable differences in fat absorption that remain unexplained, and for which poor compliance may be partially responsible. There was no significant difference in preference for one or other of the enzyme preparations at the end of the study, however, or for the 'familiar' or the 'new' preparation.

There were no significant differences between the two preparations in any variable examined in this study, providing good evidence that the two preparations are equally efficient in vivo when used in equal numbers of capsules. This might suggest that Pancrease is a slightly more efficient preparation, perhaps because of the lower pH at which enzyme appears to be released. The effect of the presence of bile salts on enzyme release from both preparations is hypothetical and requires further study. The smaller percentage of microspheres of 'optimum' size in Pancrease would seem to contradict this superiority but in vivo does not appear to be important. Similarly, partial dissolution of enteric coating at low pH values with some loss of enzyme activity would seem to be disadvantageous but in fact may confer some benefit by improving the timing of enzyme release in the duodenum.

It remains to be seen whether the introduction of these more efficient enzyme preparations will have an important effect on prognosis. Their many advantages for the patient include the ability to tolerate a normal fat diet, the lower number of capsules required because of their increased efficiency, and the relative lack of taste. These features make an appreciable contribution to a better quality of life.

We conclude that, despite the smaller quantity of measured lipase contained in Pancrease, there was no significant difference between the two pancreatic enzyme preparations when used on a capsule to capsule basis. This suggests that lipase content alone is not a total predictor of the comparative clinical performance of enzyme products and that other factors such as the release profile of the microspheres and individual variability in gastrointestinal dysfunction may be important.
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