Airway function in infants with vascular rings: preoperative and postoperative assessment
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Abstract
Aortic arch anomalies in infancy often cause intrathoracic airway obstruction. Airway function was assessed as part of the diagnostic evaluation in six symptomatic infants both by plethysmography and using a chest compression technique to obtain partial flow-volume loops. Two infants had normal intrathoracic airway function and their symptoms were unrelated to aortic arch abnormalities. The remaining four had complete vascular rings (three double aortic arch, one pulmonary sling) and had increased expiratory airway resistance (Raw) (mean Raw=700% predicted) and greatly decreased maximum flow rates at functional residual capacity (VmaxFRC; mean VmaxFRC=34% predicted) with gross shape abnormalities of the flow-volume loop. Postoperatively airway function was substantially improved (mean Raw=175% predicted, VmaxFRC=79% predicted) but some abnormality of flow-volume loop shape remained, suggesting that tracheal dynamics were not completely normal in the early postoperative period.

Anomalies of the aortic arch complex account for less than 1% of congenital cardiac malformations but frequently cause airway obstruction presenting in infancy. Surgical division of a vascular ring, first reported in 1945, is now considered safe and effective in providing symptomatic relief. Two recent reports on the long term follow up of airway function after surgery for vascular ring, however, have shown residual lung function abnormalities in 55–80% of cases despite symptomatic improvement.2,3 Objective assessment of airway function before and after operation, which demonstrates the immediate effectiveness of surgical intervention, has not been reported previously.

Patients and methods
Over an 18 month period all six infants presenting to a regional centre with symptoms of airway obstruction in association with aortic arch anomalies had full lung function assessment as part of their diagnostic evaluation. Four of these infants required surgical treatment and repeat measurements were made at a mean of eight weeks postoperatively (six to 10 weeks). All were clinically stable and breathing room air at the time of the studies. Table 1 gives clinical details of the infants. The investigative approach to these infants with stridor was to examine the airway radiologically using a Cincinnati filter and perform a barium swallow to look for indentation of the oesophagus in all cases. Laryngoscopy and bronchoscopy were performed selectively. All infants had cardiac catheterisation.

ASSESSMENT OF LUNG FUNCTION
The infants were sedated with chloral hydrate (60–100 mg/kg) and measurements made of thoracic gas volume (TGV) and airway resistance (Raw) in a modified Jaeger whole body plethysmograph.4 Predicted values for TGV and Raw were calculated from the equations: TGV(ml)=−239+6.77×length(cm) and Raw=I/Gaw (airway conductance); Gaw=−0.47+0.016×length(cm) respectively (J Stocks, C Dezateaux, personal communication). Inspiratory resistance was calculated at 50% initial inspiratory flow and expiratory resistance was calculated at 50% end expiratory flow and expressed as a percentage of the predicted inspiratory values (predicted expiratory values unavailable). Partial expiratory flow-volume curves were obtained using a chest compression technique. Briefly, the infant was placed in a double thickness polythene jacket extending from shoulders to thighs and breathed through a facemask attached to a heated screen pneumotachograph. Tidal flow-volume loops were recorded and at the end of a normal inspiration the jacket was rapidly inflated causing chest compression and rapid expiratory flow. Forced partial expiratory flow-volume curves were thus obtained. The maximum flow rate at functional residual capacity (VmaxFRC) and the ratio of forced maximum expiratory flow to tidal flow at midtidal volume (Vmid forced/tidal) were calculated. The single best value from the technically acceptable data is given for VmaxFRC; predicted values were taken from Shulman et al.6

Results

GENERAL INVESTIGATION
The ring formed by a double aortic arch resulted in classical symptoms, signs, and appearances on radiography in cases 1, 2, and 3 (tables 1 and 2). The symptoms from a pulmonary sling in case 4 were more variable and the infant presented later in respiratory distress and with two episodes of 'collapse' before referral. A soft tissue shadow between the trachea and oesophagus was missed on the first barium swallow but found on a subsequent test after intrathoracic narrowing of the trachea had been shown on a Cincinnati airway view. The finding...
of expiratory stridor on examination distinguished these four infants with aortic arch anomalies resulting in intrathoracic airways compression. Case 5 had laryngomalacia but also indentation of the oesophagus on a barium swallow and a probable posterior indentation of the trachea at bronchoscopy, and in case 6 the severity of the symptoms and oesophageal indentation led to further investigation. Both infants were found to have left aortic arch with anomalous right subclavian vessels.

LUNG FUNCTION ASSESSMENT

Severe air flow limitation due to upper respiratory obstruction was demonstrated in five of the infants (table 3). Intrathoracic obstruction was the main problem in cases 1–3. The degree of airway obstruction was such that the thoracic gas volume could not be measured accurately in case 1, while in all three infants Raw was appreciably raised during expiration (fig 1) even during tidal breathing. All demonstrated a profound decrease in forced expiratory flow rates as shown in fig 2a. Surgery resulted in dramatic improvement in airway function during both tidal and forced expiration (table 2, fig 2b) but all had some residual abnormality of flow-volume shape.

In case 4 no abnormalities were detectable during tidal breathing but a substantial decrease in \( V_{\text{max}FRC} \) and extreme variability in maximum expiratory flow during each breath suggested a dynamic component to the airway narrowing, which was not observed in the other infants studied. There was a great improvement postoperatively, particularly in the forced expiratory flow rates, although the flow patterns were still variable.

Case 5 showed extrathoracic airway obstruction on lung function testing, with greatly

Table 3 Lung function results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>Length (cm)</th>
<th>Thoracic gas volume (% predicted)</th>
<th>Raw (% predicted)</th>
<th>( V_{\text{max}FRC} ) (% predicted)</th>
<th>Midtidal expiratory : inspiratory ratio</th>
<th>( V_{\text{max}} ) forced tidal ratio</th>
<th>Functional diagnosis</th>
<th>Time after operation (weeks)</th>
<th>Symptomatic improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Preoperative 56.4</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.27(0.06)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.01)</td>
<td>Intrathoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative 55.5</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.34(0.05)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.01)</td>
<td>Extrathoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Preoperative 62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.36(0.05)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.01)</td>
<td>Airways obstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative 71</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.49(0.05)</td>
<td>1.89 (0.12)</td>
<td>Extrathoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Preoperative 70.8</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.55(0.07)</td>
<td>4.98 (0.42)</td>
<td>Airways obstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative 71.8</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.72(0.05)</td>
<td>1.91 (0.12)</td>
<td>Extrathoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Preoperative 66.9</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.50(0.06)</td>
<td>3.57 (0.38)</td>
<td>Airways obstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative 65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.61(0.01)</td>
<td>5.34 (0.68)</td>
<td>Extrathoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Test 1 58.5</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.34(0.05)</td>
<td>1.89 (0.12)</td>
<td>Airways obstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test 2 57.4</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.41(0.05)</td>
<td>4.10 (0.61)</td>
<td>Extrathoracic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Expressed as multiple of % predicted—that is, 7 = 500%.

†Approximate Raw (thoracic gas volume variable; mean value used for Raw calculation).
Flow-volume loops preoperatively and a normal pressure flow curve postoperatively. Flow marker=40 ml/s, pressure marker=4 cmH₂O.

Figure 1 Pressure-flow curves from case 2 illustrating low flow rates despite high intrathoracic pressure preoperatively and a normal pressure flow curve postoperatively.
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Figure 2 Flow-volume loops preoperatively and postoperatively in case 2.

Increased inspiratory airways resistance, a normal thoracic gas volume, and normal VmaxFRC. Case 6 had normal airway function. These two infants had an incomplete vascular ring and the demonstration of normal intrathoracic airways function provided reassurance that the aortic arch anomaly was not related to the symptomatology.

Discussion

Most children with a vascular ring present with respiratory difficulty. Those with severe airway obstruction are more likely to have an early presentation. Nevertheless, vascular rings form only a small proportion of the infants with noisy breathing from birth, most of whom have laryngomalacia. A clinical clue in differentiation is expiratory as well as inspiratory stridor.

The cases presented illustrate that in the diagnosis of vascular ring there is no single diagnostic investigation. It was apparent at presentation that all the infants had upper airway obstruction. Clinical appraisal and investigation divided these into intrathoracic and extrathoracic obstruction. Respiratory function tests confirmed this distinction and documented the degree of airway dysfunction.

Airway function was assessed during both tidal breathing and forced expiration. Tidal flow-volume loops are technically easy to record in infancy and it has been suggested that they should be used in the evaluation of upper airway obstruction. This involves both pattern recognition and their objective numerical expiratory to inspiratory ratio. It is predictable that only infants with severe degrees of airway obstruction will demonstrate airflow abnormalities during tidal breathing and this was confirmed in our infants. Airways resistance, again measured during tidal breathing, is a much more sensitive test but infant whole body plethysmography is technically complex and time consuming. The patterns obtained from the airways resistance plots are more important than numerical data and clearly distinguish intrathoracic and extrathoracic obstruction, and the direction of the looping can describe the pathophysiology.

A standardised technique for obtaining partial forced flow-volume loops in infancy has been developed, and the most commonly reported value is flow rate at functional residual capacity. Patterns of looping are less well described but a recent paper examined the importance of the shape of the curve when using a standardised compression pressure. A standardise compression pressure was not used in our infants but pressure adjusted to obtain the maximum flow rate for each infant. When airway obstruction is severe, flow limitation occurs even during tidal breathing (fig 2; case 2). A new parameter, introduced by Le Souef et al., of the ratio of forced maximum expiratory flow to tidal flow at midtidal volume (Vmid forced/tidal) was also calculated in our patients and proved a good index of postoperative improvement. This parameter has the advantage of being a non-dimensional index of airflow obstruction unlike VmaxFRC, which must be considered either as a percentage predicted based on infant length or size corrected by dividing by the absolute lung volume at functional residual capacity. Both forced expiratory flow rates at functional residual capacity and Vmid forced/tidal give a clear indication of airways reserve. It is important to remember, however, that from numerical details alone, major intrathoracic airways obstruction cannot be distinguished from peripheral airway obstruction and lung function must be interpreted in the context of clinical data.

Long term follow up studies of patients with vascular ring have shown residual lung function abnormalities in over 50%, even in those with complete resolution of symptoms. There was no association found between age at operation and outcome. The most severely compromised
Trachea is likely to present early but less severe compression for years before it is detected. The relative importance of these factors on tracheal growth is unknown. The long term function abnormalities on testing have been attributed to either residual tracheomalacia or local tracheostenosis despite the relief of compression. Early postoperative airway assessment and subsequent follow up may provide evidence on the relative importance of these factors.
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