Head size, brain growth, and lateral ventricles in very low birthweight infants
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SUMMARY Ninety eight newborn infants weighing <1500 g at birth and with gestational ages from 26 to 32 weeks were followed prospectively. They were grouped according to real time ultrasound scans in the neonatal period: infants in group A (n=20) had periventricular haemorrhage (PVH) and normal ventricles; infants in group B (n=26) had PVH and dilated ventricles (none with clinical hydrocephalus); and infants in group C, who formed the control group (n=52), had no PVH and normal ventricles. At outpatient follow up a static image ultrasound scanner was used to measure the width of the lateral ventricles and brain hemispheres. The three groups of infants showed similar growth in occipitofrontal circumference, biparietal diameter, and brain hemispheres irrespective of a history of PVH or ventricular dilatation. The relation of ventricle size to biparietal diameter was similar in those infants in groups A (PVH alone) and C (controls) who had a good outcome. About a third (n=8) of the infants in group B had persistent ventricular dilatation in relation to biparietal diameter and a poor outcome associated with developmental delay and cerebral palsy. By contrast, the remaining two thirds (n=18) of the infants in group B who later had smaller ventricles in relation to biparietal diameter showed fewer neurodevelopmental sequelae. It is suggested that persistent ventricular dilatation in relation to biparietal diameter at follow up carries a bad prognosis, which might be due to brain atrophy.

Ultrasound scanning of the neonatal brain is a major advance in the diagnosis of periventricular haemorrhage (PVH). Most studies report such haemorrhages in about 50% of preterm infants weighing less than 1500 g, and the prevalence of haemorrhage is higher in infants under 1000 g at birth.1-3 Palmer et al have shown that infants with PVH and ventricular dilatation do not progress as well in their later development as infants with PVH alone.4 Usually, ventricular dilatation occurs in infants who have sustained moderate to severe PVH, but both Palmer et al and Stewart et al have occasionally noted ventricular dilatation occurring after small haemorrhages in the subependymal area and within the ventricles.4 5 Progression to clinical hydrocephalus carries a poor prognosis, due to cerebral palsy, developmental delay, and deficits in hearing and vision in later childhood.5 This has raised concern that our usual practice of not intervening in infants with ventricular dilatation until there is rapid head growth and signs of raised intracranial pressure may allow unnecessary neurological damage. Little is known about the natural history of ventricular dilatation after PVH in infants who do not develop clinical hydrocephalus. We report here our findings on later head growth and growth of the lateral ventricles and of the brain hemispheres in an unselected series of very low birthweight infants.

Patients and methods

Infants. Ninety eight newborn infants born at St Mary’s Hospital between 1980 and 1983 with birth weight <1500 g and gestational age 26–32 weeks who survived the neonatal period were followed up prospectively. Their clinical details are shown in Table 1. A real time ultrasound sector scanner fitted with a 5 mHz transducer was used for carrying out neonatal brain scans.6—7 In 20 infants there was haemorrhage in the germinal layer, and in eight of
these infants the haemorrhage extended into the ventricles but without causing obvious dilatation, in that the width of the body of the lateral ventricles was between the 3rd and 97th centiles for gestational age. These formed group A (PVH and normal sized ventricles). In 26 infants the haemorrhage had entered the ventricles and caused dilatation (>97th centile), and these formed group B (PVH and dilated ventricles). In three of these infants haemorrhage had extended into the brain parenchyma. The remaining 52 infants had no PVH and normal sized ventricles (3rd to 97th centile) and formed group C (controls). The infants were discharged from hospital by their estimated date of delivery (40 weeks postconceptional age). Five infants with clinical hydrocephalus (increase in occipitofrontal circumference about 2 cm/week and crossing centile lines) who had received neurosurgical treatment were excluded; otherwise all infants born when ultrasound was available were included.

**Follow up.** At each clinic visit the occipitofrontal circumference was measured with a paper measuring tape, biparietal diameter with steel callipers, and width of the lateral ventricles and brain hemispheres by static image (grey scale) ultrasound.

**Table 1 Clinical details of the 98 infants studied**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (PVH alone; n=20)</th>
<th>Group B (PVH and ventricular dilatation; n=26)</th>
<th>Group C (normal controls; n=52)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertex</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breech</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forceps</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean section</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) gestational age (wks)</td>
<td>29.6 (1.9)**‡</td>
<td>26.1 (2.4)**‡</td>
<td>30.8 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) birth weight (g)</td>
<td>1139 (168)*</td>
<td>1093 (202)**‡</td>
<td>1256 (179)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) occipitofrontal circumference (cm)</td>
<td>25.7 (1.3)*</td>
<td>26.4 (1.1)**‡</td>
<td>27.1 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal conditions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic respiratory distress</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaundice</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septicaemia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent ductus arteriosus</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apnoeic attacks</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrotising enterocolitis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumothorax</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycaemia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital anomaly (hydrocele, dislocated hip, hernia)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group A v group C: *p<0.05; **p<0.01; Group B v group C: ***p<0.001; Group A v group B: ‡p<0.05.

**Width of the lateral ventricles and brain hemispheres.** A static image ultrasound scanner, with a 2-25 mHz transducer, was used. The infant was placed recumbent with his head in the true lateral position. The scanning arm was placed perpendicular to the infant’s head, with gel between the transducer probe and the head. Scans were made at 5 mm intervals from the external auditory meatus to the vertex, parallel to the canthomeatal line. As echoes from the interface between transducer and skin interfered with the quality of images from the same side the dimensions of the lateral ventricle and brain hemisphere were measured on the contralateral side. The infant’s head was turned to obtain measurements on the other side. The measurements were made with electronic callipers, at the level of the mid-body of the lateral ventricle, from the midline echo to the first strong echo of the lateral ventricular wall. The hemisphere was measured at the same level from the midline echo to the first strong echo of the inner table of the skull. The standard error of our measurements was 1% or less. Each infant had between three and five ultrasound scans during follow up in the first year.

**Developmental assessment.** The Griffiths’ scale was used in assessments in the second year, and a developmental quotient was used to indicate the overall level of development as suggested by Palmer et al. When abnormal vision or hearing was suspected the infants were referred for specialised ophthalmological and audiometric assessments.

**Data analysis.** Differences in mean values were compared by Students’ t test. Differences in the frequency of proportions between two groups of infants were compared by the χ2 test or Fisher’s...
exact test where appropriate. Growth curves were
fitted to longitudinal data on the rates of increase in
occipitofrontal circumference or biparietal diam-
eter, using an exponential decay model, \( y = ae^{-bx} + c \). Linear regression analysis (\( y = ax + b \)) was used in
evaluating the relation of ventricle size to biparietal diameter.

**Results**

The clinical details in Table 1 show that infants in
groups A and B were born earlier than infants in
group C. Consequently, infants with PVH (groups
A or B) had lower birth weights and smaller
occipitofrontal circumference values than those in
group C, but the differences were fairly small. Mode
of delivery and neonatal complications were similar
in the three groups of infants. When the infants were
followed up their postnatal age was corrected for
prematurity.

**Occipitofrontal circumference. biparietal diameter,
lateral ventricles, and brain hemispheres in the first
year.** The data within each subgroup (divided
according to estimated date of delivery up to 16
weeks, 17–33 weeks, and 34–50 weeks) in Table 2
are normally distributed, and the timing of measure-
ments were similar in all three groups. The mean
occipitofrontal circumference and mean biparietal
diameter in the three groups were statistically
similar, although infants in group B had larger
ventricles. The measurements of left hemisphere
and right hemisphere in the three groups were also
similar, so it seems that infants in group B with
larger ventricles had a narrower width of brain
tissue.

**Growth rates in occipitofrontal circumference and
biparietal diameter.** All three groups had similar
growth rates in occipitofrontal circumference and
biparietal diameter. Thus the growth rates were not
significantly affected by PVH or ventricular dilata-
tion. The growth trajectory in each group showed a
progressive decline in velocity (cm/wk) from the
estimated date of delivery onwards during the first
year (Fig. 1). The period of maximal head growth
seemed to extend for a period of about 16 weeks
after the estimated date of delivery.

### Table 2  Mean (SD) [No of observations] occipitofrontal circumference, biparietal diameter, lateral ventricles, and brain hemispheres (cm) in the first year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corrected postnatal age (wks)</th>
<th>Group A (PVH alone; n=20)</th>
<th>Group B (PVH and ventricular dilatation; n=26)</th>
<th>Group C (normal controls; n=52)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occipitofrontal circumference</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDD-16</td>
<td>30-26 (2-56) [30]</td>
<td>37-76 (2-73) [43]</td>
<td>37-68 (2-51) [83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-33</td>
<td>42-55 (1-14) [22]</td>
<td>42 [2-36] [27]</td>
<td>42-56 (1-59) [61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biparietal diameter</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDD-16</td>
<td>9-45 (0-76)</td>
<td>9-37 (0-77)</td>
<td>9-40 (0-80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-33</td>
<td>11-15 (0-45)</td>
<td>10-99 (0-71)</td>
<td>11-19 (0-47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-50</td>
<td>11-74 (0-48)</td>
<td>11-44 (0-75)</td>
<td>11-83 (0-46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left ventricle</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDD-16</td>
<td>1-44 (0-13)</td>
<td>1-53 (0-18)</td>
<td>1-41 (0-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-33</td>
<td>1-70 (0-18)</td>
<td>1-69 (0-14)</td>
<td>1-64 (0-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-50</td>
<td>1-70 (0-11)</td>
<td>1-82 (0-19)</td>
<td>1-71 (0-13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right ventricle</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDD-16</td>
<td>1-46 (0-13)</td>
<td>1-54 (0-25)</td>
<td>1-40 (0-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-33</td>
<td>1-63 (0-19)</td>
<td>1-69 (0-14)</td>
<td>1-63 (0-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-50</td>
<td>1-72 (0-12)</td>
<td>1-80 (0-22)</td>
<td>1-72 (0-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left hemisphere</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDD-16</td>
<td>4-28 (0-34)</td>
<td>4-32 (0-40)</td>
<td>4-30 (0-39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-33</td>
<td>5-16 (0-36)</td>
<td>5-07 (0-37)</td>
<td>5-14 (0-30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-50</td>
<td>5-46 (0-26)</td>
<td>5-30 (0-40)</td>
<td>5-54 (0-27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right hemisphere</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDD-16</td>
<td>4-25 (0-33)</td>
<td>4-26 (0-37)</td>
<td>4-28 (0-38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-33</td>
<td>5-11 (0-30)</td>
<td>5-10 (0-33)</td>
<td>5-11 (0-30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-50</td>
<td>5-43 (0-20)</td>
<td>5-34 (0-39)</td>
<td>5-51 (0-28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EDD=Estimated date of delivery.

*Group B v group C, p<0-001*
Ventricle size in relation to biparietal diameter. The relation of ventricle size to biparietal diameter was highly significant in infants in group C (190 observations in 52 infants), and this relation was identical for the right and left ventricles (right ventricle = 0.127 biparietal diameter + 0.214, r = 0.98, p < 0.001; left ventricle = 0.122 biparietal diameter + 0.271, r = 0.98, p < 0.001). Infants in groups A and C had a similar ventricle/biparietal diameter relation. Figure 2 shows the regression line and 95% confidence limits drawn through 190 observations on size of right ventricle in infants in group C. This Figure also shows growth curves of the right and left ventricles in two infants from group B (PVH + ventricular dilatation). One growth curve shows persistent ventricular dilatation and the other shows progress to a ventricle/biparietal diameter relation consistent with control values. Of the 26 infants in group B who started off with ventricular dilatation in the neonatal period, 18 showed progress to a ventricle/biparietal diameter relation consistent with controls (transient dilatation), while the remaining eight remained persistently dilated.

In the paragraph above the ventricle size has been related to head growth as measured by the biparietal diameter (Fig. 2). There were eight infants who showed persistent ventricular dilatation. In contrast, if the corresponding Figure is produced using 'the
age after estimated date of delivery' instead of the biparietal diameter on the horizontal axis only six infants are found to have persistent ventricular dilatation (the ventricle size always remaining greater than two standard deviations above the mean for controls). These latter six infants, identified on the age graph, were a subset of the eight infants identified on the biparietal diameter figure.

**Outcome in later childhood.** Table 3 shows that infants in group A did as well in their development as infants in group C and had a similar neurological state. Infants in group B had a worse outcome, but much of this could be attributed to a subgroup of eight infants with persistent ventricular dilatation: seven of these infants had developmental delay or a neurological abnormality, which was mostly due to the athetoid type of cerebral palsy. The remaining 18 infants with a later ventricle/biparietal diameter relation consistent with controls generally had a favourable outcome, apart from three infants with developmental delay or cerebral palsy.

**Discussion**

In clinical practice measurements of head size give some indication of brain growth. In our study the initial 16 week period (after the estimated date of delivery) of fairly rapid growth in occipitofrontal circumference and biparietal diameter might be part of catch up growth previously observed in similar preterm infants, after body weight deficits in the neonatal period associated with various illnesses and feeding difficulties. Indeed, during the catch up period head growth might be preferentially enhanced compared with bodily growth but should not be mistaken for hydrocephalus. In the present study PVH does not seem to have had a significant effect on subsequent brain growth, judging by measurements of occipitofrontal circumference and biparietal diameter, although the measurements were misleading in eight infants for ventricular dilatation was not reflected in external dimensions of the head. The persistently dilated ventricles in relation to biparietal diameter in these infants possibly indicates brain atrophy.

It is known that the shape of the head alters after birth, since side to side flattening of the skull may take place due to the head lying on one side and then on the other. As a result there is an apparent change in head configuration from a near circular to an elliptical one, and we thought that this might influence the dimensions of the ventricles and brain hemispheres. The close correlations between the width of the lateral ventricles and the biparietal diameter suggest that both variables change at a similar rate during growth. This makes it possible to calculate the size of normal ventricles if the biparietal diameter is known, by using our predictive equations. In addition, it should be possible to monitor changes in ventricle size after PVH in relation to biparietal diameter by using Figure 2.

The static image (grey scale) ultrasound scanner used in this study is an instrument that is commonly used in obstetrics for measurements of fetal biparietal diameter. The accuracy of echo encephalographic measurements of brain ventricles with this technique has been previously established.

There is a close correlation between ventricle size measured by static image ultrasound and by computed tomography; also the severity of hydrocephalus indicated by computed tomography is in agreement with that indicated by ultrasound. A sharp outline of the lateral margin of the ventricles was clearly visible by ultrasound due to differences in acoustic impedance between brain and cerebrospinal fluid. The images seen of the mid-body of the lateral ventricle, a sharp outline of the lateral margin, a midline echo thought to be generated by the septum pellucidum, and a strong echo from the inner surface of the skull, enabled us to carry out accurate measurements. We found it difficult to obtain reproducible measurements with similar accuracy of the transaxial plane with a real time sector scanner.

In relating ultrasound appearances of the brain to later outcome we have shown that the predictive value of neonatal scans can be improved by further ultrasound observations in the first year. When used on an outpatient basis the ultrasound caused little disturbance to the infants, spontaneous activity in infants did not affect the quality of imaging, and sedation was not necessary. Transient ventricular dilatation has previously been reported using real time ultrasound in four (26%) of 15 infants with dilated ventricles, after scanning repeatedly for 30 days postnatally, and eight (38%) of 21 infants with dilated ventricles who had scans until discharge from hospital. In both these studies the greater proportion of infants had persistent ventricular dilatation from an assessment based on a fairly short period. In comparison, in our study most dilated ventricles in our infants in group B reverted to normal size after 12 months' observations. On this basis 'transient' ventricular dilatation occurred in 18 (70%) of the 26 infants in group B when the size of the ventricle was assessed in relation to biparietal diameter or in 20 (77%) infants when the assessment was according to age after estimated date of delivery. Clearly, it takes a longer time for blood in the ventricles to be absorbed in order that ventricle size can revert to normal.

Early ultrasound findings in our study (Table 2)
suggest that brain tissue was squeezed by distended ventricles against the inner surface of the skull. Our conclusion comes from the fact that all three groups have the same biparietal diameter, occipitofrontal circumference, and left and right hemispheres, but the ventricle size in group B is clearly increased. Thus if the skull size is fixed the brain must be squeezed when enlarged ventricles are present. This raises a possibility that the immature brain, which is known to contain less myelin, can to some extent accommodate distended ventricles by reducing its relatively large extracellular space. Unfortunately, by relating ventricle size to biparietal diameter in early infancy we cannot be certain whether the brain is merely being squeezed by distended ventricles, with a later possibility of recovery, or whether progressive brain atrophy is taking place. Measurements of head size or rates of head growth are not helpful in distinguishing between the two. Moreover, there is some uncertainty on our part about the reliability of intracranial pressure measurements, using non-invasive techniques, presently available in excluding brain atrophy. As the limitations of these methods in assessing prognosis in early infancy are recognised a more recent approach has been to investigate neonatal brains for periventricular infarction.

Infants with persistent ventricular dilatation may have included those with brain atrophy due to periventricular infarction, which is subsequently associated with retarded development and cerebral palsy.16 17 Volpe et al have shown by positron emission tomography that periventricular infarction can be more extensive than the haemorrhage suggests.18 Recently, periventricular infarction has been diagnosed by real time ultrasound using a 7-5 mHz transducer, which provides better resolution.19 20 Extension of intraventricular haemorrhage into brain tissue carries a higher risk of major disability, and because of this the outlook after intracranial extension has been regarded with considerable pessimism, notably in very premature infants.21 The three infants in our study with an intracerebral extension of haemorrhage had, indeed, persistently dilated ventricles and a poor outcome due to developmental delay and cerebral palsy. Thus in assessing outcome after PVH the relation of ventricle size to biparietal diameter at follow up should be used as a prognostic indicator.
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