Renal sodium handling in minimal change nephrotic syndrome
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SUMMARY  Renal sodium handling was studied in 23 children at three different stages of the minimal change nephrotic syndrome—the oedema forming state, proteinuric steady state, and remission. Clearances of inulin and para-aminomhippuric acid and urinary sodium excretion were determined basally, after intravenous infusion of isotonic saline and hyperoncotic albumin, and after furosemide injection. Absolute and fractional basal sodium excretion were significantly lower in oedema forming patients than in proteinuric patients in steady state, and non-proteinuric patients. In contrast to proteinuric patients in steady state and non-proteinuric patients, the oedema forming patients failed to respond to isotonic saline infusion with increased sodium excretion. After diuretic blockade with furosemide, the fractional sodium excretion of the oedema forming patients increased to values no different from those of the non-proteinuric patients, whereas the fractional sodium excretion of the steady state patients increased to significantly higher values. The plasma aldosterone concentration was within normal limits in 11 of 14 proteinuric patients, and did not correlate with the basal sodium excretion. Thus, sodium retention in the minimal change nephrotic syndrome was found only in oedema forming patients, and since this is not related to the plasma aldosterone concentration it may be caused by an intrarenal mechanism, probably sited in distal parts of the nephron.

That sodium is retained in patients with the nephrotic syndrome is well established, but the underlying mechanism is not clear. A common explanation is that the hypoalbuminaemia reduces the plasma oncotic pressure and results in hypovolaemia, thus stimulating the release of renin and aldosterone and leading to increased sodium reabsorption.1 Another possible mechanism might be an intrinsic inability of the nephrotic kidney to excrete sodium normally.2 3 We investigated renal sodium handling in children with the minimal change nephrotic syndrome during different stages of the disease.

Patients and methods

We studied 23 children (14 boys and nine girls) aged 2 to 15 years with minimal change nephrotic syndrome. The diagnosis was verified by renal biopsy in 21 patients. The remaining two children showed typical symptoms no different from those of the 21 who underwent biopsy and have now been in remission for more than two years. All patients had a clinical history of heavy proteinuria (greater than 40 mg/m²/hour), hypoalbuminaemia (less than 25 g/l), and oedema. None of them had hypertension or persistent haematuria. Renal sodium handling was studied during the proteinuric phase (heavy proteinuria) and non-proteinuric phase (complete remission with normal serum albumin concentration). The patients in the proteinuric phase were divided into two subgroups—those with oedema formation and those in steady state. Oedema formation was defined as a weight gain during the three days preceding the study and none of the patients with oedema formation gained less than 0-6% of their body weight per day. All but one of the oedema forming patients were studied during their first episode of the nephrotic syndrome and only one was being treated with corticosteroids at the time of the investigation. The steady state patients had had a constant weight during the three days before the study. Six of the 12 patients in steady state were on daily corticosteroid treatment and one was being treated with a low dose on alternate days. Three of the 16 patients studied in the non-proteinuric phase were on low dose, alternate day corticosteroid treatment. No patient was treated with diuretic agents during the week before the study. In all the patients...
sodium intake was standardised at 70 to 100 mmol (mEq)/m²/24 hours for at least three days before the study.

Basal urinary sodium excretion was investigated either in hydropenia after 17 hours of fluid deprivation or during water diuresis induced by the ingestion of 20 ml water/kg during the first hour and then 5 ml/kg every 30 minutes for another two hours. During the latter investigation clearances of inulin and para-aminohippuric acid were determined by a standard clearance technique including a continuous infusion of inulin (Inutest 25%, Laevosan-Gesellschaft) 1-4 mg/kg/minute and para-aminohippuric acid (aminohippurate sodium, 20%, MSD) 0-2 mg/kg/minute after a prime dose of 60 mg inulin/kg and 9 mg para-aminohippuric acid/kg. After an equilibration time of one hour, urine was collected by spontaneous voiding every 30 minutes, and midway through each collection period a blood sample was drawn for analysis of sodium, inulin, and para-aminohippuric acid.

In the patients studied in hydropenia, the renal handling of sodium was further investigated during volume expansion and after diuretic blockade. Urine was collected by bladder catheterisation using a double lumen catheter (Argyle reseal tube, MAR 2565, size 10 Fr, length 24) with one external end connected to a vacuum pump. Throughout the investigation clearances of inulin and para-aminohippuric acid were determined as described above. After two 30 minute periods in hydropenia, volume expansion was started and urine was collected every 15 minutes throughout the investigation. Volume expansion was induced by intravenous infusion of isotonic saline 0-22 ml/kg/minute for 135 minutes corresponding to 30 ml/kg. After infusion of 20 ml/kg an intravenous infusion of 20% salt poor human albumin was given to a total amount of 1 g/kg over a period of 45 minutes. An intravenous injection of furosemide 1 mg/kg was given 15 minutes later. The investigation was then continued for another four sampling periods.

In 14 of the proteinuric patients the plasma aldosterone concentration was determined the day before the sodium handling study.

Urine and blood samples were analysed for inulin by the anthrone method and for para-aminohippuric acid by a modified Smith technique. Serum albumin concentrations were analysed by a bromocresol green method. The concentration of sodium was determined by flame photometry. The plasma aldosterone concentration was determined by a radioimmunological method with antibodies from either CEA Sorin or Diagnostic Products Corporation. The body surface area was calculated according to Haycock from the height and weight of the patient when not oedematous.

Student's t test and paired t test and Wilcoxon's rank sum test were used for statistical analysis. A P value less than 0.05 was accepted as indicating a statistically significant difference.

The children and their parents gave their informed consent to the investigations. The study was approved by the ethical committee of the Karolinska Institute.

**Results**

Laboratory and renal functional data in the different stages of the disease are shown in Table 1. Statistical analysis was not performed on the oedema forming patients studied during water diuresis because of the small number of patients. The glomerular filtration rates in hydropenia of the oedema forming patients were significantly lower than those of the steady state and non-proteinuric patients. The glomerular filtration rates of the latter two groups of patients did not differ significantly in hydropenia or water diuresis.

Table 1 also shows the absolute and fractional basal urinary sodium excretion. The mean absolute as well as the mean fractional urinary sodium excretion during the oedema forming state was significantly lower than that during steady state and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of disease</th>
<th>Stage of hydration (No)</th>
<th>Serum albumin (g/l)</th>
<th>Serum sodium (mmol/lec/ml)</th>
<th>GFR (ml/min/1.73m²)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>UNaV (µmol/µEq/min/1.73m²)</th>
<th>CNa/Cin (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oedema forming</td>
<td>Hydropernia (4)</td>
<td>15 (6)</td>
<td>137 (2)</td>
<td>58 (22)</td>
<td>14-7 (3-5)</td>
<td>13 (18)</td>
<td>0-12 (0-11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=7)</td>
<td>Water diuresis (3)</td>
<td>18 (10)</td>
<td>131 (6)</td>
<td>81 (42)</td>
<td>16-8 (7-2)</td>
<td>23 (22)</td>
<td>0-12 (0-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steady state</td>
<td>Hydropernia (6)</td>
<td>31 (6)</td>
<td>141 (3)</td>
<td>96 (21)</td>
<td>17-4 (3-2)</td>
<td>112 (83)</td>
<td>0-87 (0-51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=12)</td>
<td>Water diuresis (6)</td>
<td>22 (8)</td>
<td>136 (3)</td>
<td>112 (8)</td>
<td>17-0 (2-3)</td>
<td>135 (72)</td>
<td>0-89 (0-47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remission</td>
<td>Hydropernia (6)</td>
<td>43 (2)</td>
<td>142 (2)</td>
<td>109 (13)</td>
<td>21-2 (3-6)</td>
<td>127 (50)</td>
<td>0-71 (0-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=16)</td>
<td>Water diuresis (10)</td>
<td>42 (3)</td>
<td>138 (3)</td>
<td>126 (21)</td>
<td>21-4 (3-8)</td>
<td>151 (79)</td>
<td>0-90 (0-52)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GFR=glomerular filtration rate; FF=filtration fraction; UNaV=absolute urinary sodium excretion; CNa/Cin=fractional urinary sodium excretion.
Remission. The sodium excretion of the proteinuric patients in steady state did not differ from that of the non-proteinuric patients.

Fig. 1 (a) and (b) shows the absolute and fractional urinary sodium excretion during hydropenia and after different degrees of volume expansion. After infusion of 20 ml of isotonic saline/kg the urinary sodium excretion during the oedema forming state did not change significantly from that during hydropenia. The sodium excretion during steady state was significantly higher than that during remission. After further volume expansion to 30 ml of isotonic saline/kg and hyperoncotic salt poor albumin (20%) no significant change in sodium excretion was seen in any stage of the disease, but the absolute sodium excretion of the patients in steady state turned out to be significantly higher than that of the non-proteinuric patients.

After diuretic blockade with furosemide (Table 2) the fractional urinary sodium excretion of the oedema forming patients increased to values comparable with those in remission. The fractional sodium excretion of the steady state patients increased to values significantly higher than those of the non-proteinuric patients. The absolute urinary sodium excretion was significantly lower during the oedema forming state, compared with the excretion during steady state which did not differ from that during the non-proteinuric phase. All patients studied had a urine osmolality equal to the blood osmolality after the furosemide injection.

The amount of sodium accumulated during the intravenous saline load of 30 ml/kg was mean (SD) 5-1 (0-2) mmol (mEq)/kg in the oedema forming patients; significantly more than that of the patients in steady state (4-1 (0-5) mmol (mEq)/kg) and in remission (3-9 (0-5) mmol (mEq)/kg).

Fig. 2 shows the plasma aldosterone concentration in relation to the basal urinary sodium excretion
in the proteinuric patients. One of six oedema forming patients and two of eight steady state patients had raised plasma aldosterone concentrations. No correlation was found between plasma aldosterone concentration and basal urinary sodium excretion.

Discussion

The increased sodium accumulation and the low basal urinary sodium excretion found in the oedema forming patients confirm the presence of the sodium retention known to occur in the nephrotic syndrome. The proteinuric patients in steady state, however, did not show increased sodium accumulation and exhibited no decrease in urinary sodium excretion compared with the non-proteinuric patients, suggesting that the sodium retention had ceased or that the retaining mechanisms had been compensated for. The low urinary sodium excretion in the oedema forming patients could be due to either a decreased filtered load or increased tubular sodium reabsorption, or both. The filtered sodium load was, in fact, decreased in the oedema forming patients since the glomerular filtration rates and the serum sodium concentrations were low (Table 1). The low filtered load, however, cannot be the only mechanism responsible for the sodium retention, since the fractional sodium excretion was low (Table 1). Thus, in the oedema forming state, sodium reabsorption must be increased somewhere in the nephron.

To investigate further the renal handling of sodium, some of the patients were given a saline infusion to attain a moderate volume expansion. A hyperoncotic albumin infusion was then given to increase the oncoytic pressure in the peritubular capillaries and, finally, an intravenous furosemide injection was given to block the sodium reabsorption in the thick ascending limb of the loop of Henle and the early distal convoluted tubule (the diluting segment). The renal sodium response of the non-proteinuric patients to the volume expansion conformed with that found in normal subjects. The natriuresis after volume expansion has been attributed to redistribution of the renal circulation, or to inhibited proximal tubular sodium reabsorption caused by either changes in physical factors in the peritubular capillaries or a natriuretic factor. The hyperoncotic albumin infusion might add a further natriuretic effect of volume expansion that can be counteracted by an increase in the peritubular capillary oncoytic pressure, leading to increased proximal sodium reabsorption. Thus, there was no change in sodium excretion in the non-proteinuric patients when the saline volume expansion was increased from 20 to 30 ml/kg and the albumin infusion was given.

The cause of the inability of the oedema forming patients to respond to volume expansion with natriuresis cannot be fully clarified from the present results. One possible explanation would be hypovolaemia leading to insufficient volume expansion by the saline infusion. Hypovolaemia seems improbable, however, since the plasma volume was determined before starting the renal function test in three of the oedema forming patients and was 93%, 129%, and 133% of estimated normal values (unpublished data). Furthermore, Geers and colleagues found normal or increased blood volumes to be the rule in the nephrotic syndrome. On the basis of experimental studies in puromycin induced nephrosis in the rat, Favre and Gourjon explained the absence of a natriuretic response to acute saline volume expansion in terms of an inability to produce the natriuretic factor. This explanation cannot be ruled out in our patients. Bourgoignie found evidence of a lack of a natriuretic factor in uraemic patients with the nephrotic syndrome in contrast to those without the nephrotic syndrome. A lack of redistribution of renal blood flow to cortical, less sodium retaining, nephrons after volume expansion might be contributory to the absence of natriuresis. A decrease in the ratio of outer cortical to inner cortical blood flow was shown by Banks to exist under basal conditions in aminonucleoside nephrosis in the rat. A decrease in oncoytic pressure in the peritubular capillaries is thought to be one physical factor contributing to the increased sodium excretion after volume expansion. The peritubular oncoytic pressure in hypoalbuminaemic patients is already low. In a previous study we found the distal tubular sodium delivery of nephrotic children to be inversely correlated with the serum albumin concentration, which indicates decreased proximal tubular sodium reabsorption in the most hypoalbuminaemic patients. A low proximal sodium reabsorption was also reported by Grausz in a study of nephrotic adults and by Bernard and Ichikawa in experimental studies in nephrotic rats. A further inhibition of the already low proximal tubular sodium reabsorption may occur in volume expansion but since the urinary sodium excretion did not increase, this possible effect must be masked by increased sodium reabsorption in more distal parts of the nephron.

The dose of furosemide given seems to have been sufficient to block the sodium chloride reabsorption completely in the diluting segment in all patients, since isosmotic urine was produced by all patients. After furosemide injection the fractional sodium excretion of the oedema forming patients increased
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to values no different from those in the non-proteinuric phase, which indicates an intact sodium reabsorption in the diluting segment. The lower absolute urinary sodium excretion in the oedema forming state is explained by the low filtered load.

The site of the increased sodium reabsorption in the oedema forming state cannot be fully clarified from the present results. A site distal to the diluting segment seems most probable, however, since the response to furosemide was not increased in oedema forming compared with non-proteinuric patients. Thus, increased sodium reabsorption in the proximal tubules and the diluting segment is unlikely. Furthermore, evidence of decreased proximal sodium reabsorption has been reported.18 19 In experimental studies on unilateral nephrosis in the rat, Ichikawa found that the renal salt retention was due to intrarenal factors localised beyond the distal convolution,3 and Bernard, in his experimental model, localised the increased sodium reabsorption to the collecting ducts.20

There were no signs of sodium retention in the proteinuric patients in steady state. Increased sodium excretion after furosemide injection in these patients indicates an increased sodium reabsorption in the diluting segment probably secondary to a decreased proximal sodium reabsorption—possible since the serum albumin concentrations were low (Table 1).

Studies on the influence of glucocorticosteroids on renal sodium excretion have documented a natriuretic effect.21 This effect has been attributed to a raised glomerular filtration rate increasing the filtered load, thereby obscuring the other effect of corticosteroids, viz. the increased tubular sodium reabsorption.22 Experimental studies on hypophysectomised rats indicate that corticosteroids have no influence on23 or diminish the natriuretic response to saline infusion.24 In the present study six out of the 12 patients in steady state were on daily corticosteroid treatment; but there was no difference in the renal sodium excretion between the treated and the untreated patients. Thus, the corticosteroids could not explain the high or increased sodium excretion in the steady state patients.

The role of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system in the sodium retention of the nephrotic syndrome has been widely discussed. High25–28 as well as normal29 urine and plasma aldosterone concentrations have been reported. In this study only three of 14 proteinuric patients had raised plasma aldosterone concentrations not correlating with the urinary sodium excretion. Therefore, although increased concentrations of aldosterone may contribute to sodium retention, this hormone cannot be the only, or even the major, cause of the salt accumulation.30 Instead, the present results support the concept of an intrarenal sodium retaining factor. Such a factor has been suggested by Ichikawa,3 since in his experimental model sodium was retained solely in the proteinuric kidney in unilateral nephrosis.

In conclusion, sodium retention in the minimal change nephrotic syndrome is found only in the oedema forming proteinuric patients and seems to be caused by an intrarenal mechanism acting in parts of the nephron distal to the diluting segment.
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Nephrotic syndrome is defined as oedema accompanied by proteinuria and hypoalbuninaemia, the oedema formation being due to retention of sodium by the kidney. The classic teaching is that this sodium retention is caused by stimulation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system by the low blood volume caused by the low plasma oncotic pressure. There is, however, mounting evidence that this theory is incorrect and that some other mechanism, possibly intrarenal, is responsible for the sodium retention. There are now many studies showing that plasma aldosterone, plasma renin activity, and blood volume may be low, normal, or high in patients with nephrotic syndrome who are retaining sodium. Furthermore, sodium retention continues even after blockade of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system by captopril.

The paper by Bohlin and Berg in this issue confirms the lack of correlation between plasma aldosterone and urinary sodium excretion. The authors also show that there was no change in urinary sodium excretion in patients retaining sodium when acutely volume expanded with albumin. This is in keeping with other studies showing that many patients continue to retain sodium when given albumin for many days despite profound suppression of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system.

The actual mechanism of the sodium retention of nephrotic syndrome, however, remains unclear. The finding of decreased sodium excretion only by the affected kidney in the model of unilateral aminonucleoside induced nephrosis in the rat suggests that the sodium retention may well be due to an intrarenal mechanism. What this intrarenal mechanism is, however, remains unknown.

Even the site of the increased sodium reabsorption is not fully established. This study favours the distal tubule yet there are other studies suggesting that proximal sodium reabsorption may be increased.

If an intrarenal mechanism is the cause of sodium retention in nephrotic syndrome, why is the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system stimulated in some of the patients and not in others? The most likely explanation is that those patients with more severe hypoalbuninaemia develop a low blood volume which stimulates renin release. In normal subjects, a diminution of blood volume and stimulation of the renin system is a potent mechanism causing sodium retention by the kidney. In nephrotic patients, however, there seems to be an overriding mechanism, probably intrarenal, causing sodium retention independent of the renin system. The findings of Bohlin and Berg provide further support for this theory.
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