Combination of salbutamol inhalational powder and tablets in asthma
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SUMMARY A double-blind cross-over trial was conducted to assess the effects of salbutamol administered by inhalation of dry powder, by tablet, and combined tablet and inhalational powder in a sample of 18 severely asthmatic children. The combined treatment had a rapid onset of effect and sustained action. The onset of action of the tablet treatment was less rapid but sustained, whereas the inhalational powder treatment had rapid onset but fairly short action. The response characteristics of the combined treatment were shown to be an additive function of its tablet and inhaled components. Children on the combined or tablet treatment showed a significant, but slight, increase in pulse rate. Few other side effects were observed.

The ideal drug in the management of asthma has a rapid onset and sustained action. Studies of the response characteristics of inhaled and tablet administered preparations show that both methods fall short of this ideal. Inhaled preparations have a rapid onset of action but tend to lose efficacy rapidly, whereas tablets have a slower onset of action but their effect is more sustained.1–3 Few studies have examined the effects of combination therapy and their results have been conflicting, either indicating an additional benefit without side effects,4 or no significant benefit over inhalational therapy alone and an increased incidence of adverse side effects.5 6

We report on a controlled trial of salbutamol administered by inhalational powder, in a tablet form, and by combined inhalational powder and tablet. The aim was to determine whether the combined tablet and inhalational powder administration produced a treatment having the desired characteristics of rapid onset of effect and sustained action.

Subjects and methods

We studied 18 (10 girls and 8 boys) children aged 5 to 12 (mean 8.3) years who were regular patients at a hospital asthma clinic for severe, recurrent, or chronic asthma (grade C or D).7 Mean duration of symptoms was 5.9 (range 3–9) years. All were receiving continuous bronchodilator treatment and other prophylaxis.

A double-blind cross-over design was used in which the children received salbutamol administered in three ways on three separate sessions spaced 3 to 4 days apart. The treatment order was randomised and the treatments were: (1) Oral salbutamol administered as a 4 mg tablet plus a dummy glucose powder administered by a rotahaler. (2) Inhaled salbutamol powder (400 μg capsule) administered by a rotahaler plus a dummy glucose tablet. (3) Oral salbutamol administered as a 4 mg tablet plus inhaled salbutamol (400 μg) delivered by a rotahaler.

No child received sympathomimetics within 6 hours, or theophylline within 15 hours of each trial. Sodium cromoglicate (15 children), beclomethasone dipropionate (8 children), and prednisone (1 child) were continued unchanged.

During each 6-hour session the following were recorded: (1) Peak expiratory flow rates (PEFR) using a Wright peak flow meter. Measurements were taken immediately before treatment and at 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 180, 240, 300, and 360 minutes after administration. Three measures were taken and the highest was recorded. Base values for the three treatments did not differ significantly (P>0.05). (2) Pulse rates before each measurement of PEFR. (3) Throughout, observations were made of the child's behaviour and performance during exercise and normal schoolwork.

Measurements were made blind to the treatment group.

Results

Fig. 1 shows mean percentage increase in basal
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PEFR for the three treatments. Inspection of the time-response distributions show that the three treatments varied in their characteristics. The inhaled treatment had a rapid onset of effect, reaching a peak value within 30 minutes of administration, but had a rapid decay with mean PEFRs returning to basal levels 4 hours after administration. Tablet treatment had a slower onset of effect, reaching a peak at about 2 hours after administration, but declining to baseline values 5 hours after treatment. The combined treatment had a rapid onset reaching a peak after 30 minutes from administration and a sustained period of action taking 6 hours to return to basal levels.

Two way analysis of variance of the repeated measures design showed: (1) A highly significant effect for time (F9, 153 = 22.3; P < 0.0001) reflecting the tendency for PEFRs to vary with time for all treatments. (2) A significant (F2, 34 = 12.0; P < 0.0001) effect for treatment indicating that the mean percentage increase in PEFR differed between treatments (mean percentage increase PEFR tablet = 19.5%; mean percentage increase PEFR inhalational powder = 26.2%; mean percentage increase PEFR combined = 49%). (3) A highly significant time by treatment interaction (F18, 306 = 7.38; P < 0.0001), reflecting that the time-response curves for the three treatments varied.

Fig. 1 suggests that the time-response curve for the combined therapy curve was an additive combination of the time-response curves of its tablet and inhaled powder components. To examine this further, a multiple regression equation was fitted to the data:

$$C_t = 28.8 + 0.62 (I_t) + 0.35 (T_t)$$

Where $C_t$ was the estimated mean percentage increase in basal PEFR at time $t$ for the group receiving combined therapy; it was the observed mean percentage increase in basal PEFR for the inhalational powder alone group at time $t$ and $T_t$ the corresponding mean value for the tablet alone group.

Fig. 2 shows the correspondence between the observed time-response curve for the combined treatment and the time-response curve estimated from the regression equation. It is clear that there is an extremely good fit between the observed and expected values ($r = 0.98$).

The three preparations were well tolerated but children on combined or tablet treatments showed a slight increase in pulse rate (114.5 versus 109) when compared with those on inhalational powder (F2, 34 = 6.59; P < 0.01). A child with a history of excitability and tachycardia after oral salbutamol responded in this way when given the tablet and combination treatments. Transient tremor was observed in one child on oral treatment and another became excitable for 30 minutes after combination therapy.

Discussion

A combined treatment in which salbutamol was administered both by inhalational powder and by tablet had superior characteristics to either tablet or inhaled treatment alone. The combined treatment showed a rapid onset of effect, reflecting the inhaled
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