Standards for skinfold thickness in British newborn infants
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SUMMARY Standards of triceps and subscapular skinfold thicknesses for 1293 Caucasian newborn infants of gestational ages 37–42 weeks, and of 0.25 kg birthweight intervals between 2.25 and 4.5 kg are presented. Female infants had a greater skinfold thickness than males, and the subscapular skinfold was greater than the triceps. The skinfold thickness in both males and females declined after 40 weeks of gestation.

Subcutaneous fat measurements by skinfold calipers have been used for over 20 years in the nutritional assessment of children and adults (Edwards et al., 1955). There are no published standards for newborn infants, though the need for such standards was pointed out by Wagner et al. (1967). We present skinfold thickness centiles for newborn infants of gestational ages 37–42 weeks, and of 0.25 kg birthweight intervals between 2.25 and 4.5 kg, using standardized techniques on 1293 infants from three areas of Great Britain.

Patients and methods

1293 Caucasian, singleton newborn infants of gestational age 37 weeks or more were measured within 48 hours of birth. Babies of diabetic mothers and babies with severe congenital malformations were excluded. Gestational age was assessed from the mother’s last menstrual period, unless the mother’s dates were in doubt, when gestational age was assessed by ultrasound biparietal diameter early in pregnancy (Campbell and Newman, 1971) and by examination of the newborn (Dubowitz et al., 1970). The left side of the body was used, following the convention of anthropometry over the last 80 years.

746 babies were examined (by J.R.O.) at the Jessop Hospital for Women, Sheffield. 477 babies at Queen Charlotte’s Maternity Hospital, London and 70 babies in Scotland at the Simpson Memorial Maternity Pavilion, Edinburgh and the Maternity Hospital, Dunfermline were examined (by A.G.L.W.). The Harpenden and the Holtain skinfold calipers were used. The two instruments both exert a pressure of 10 g/mm² over the whole range of openings but the Holtain caliper was easier to operate in a confined space such as an incubator. The instruments can be read to 0.1 mm and have small enough surfaces to be used on newborns. Each was zeroed and the calibrations checked using a block measured by a micrometer. During the measurements, the right hand was used to hold the caliper, and the left hand maintained a hold on the skinfold throughout the measurement.

Triceps skinfold was measured over the posterior belly of the triceps muscle of the left arm, half-way between the acromion and the olecranon, on a line passing upwards from the olecranon in the axis of the limb (Tanner and Whitehouse, 1975), with the arm held by the side of the body with the elbow extended. Subscapular skinfold was measured immediately below the angle of the left scapula with the fold either in a vertical line or slightly inclined, in the natural cleavage line of the skin, with the arm by the side of the body (Tanner and Whitehouse, 1975).

Brans et al. (1974) have suggested that calipers should be left on the skinfold of the newborn for 60 seconds because otherwise the presence of oedema in the neonate gives a falsely high estimate of subcutaneous fat. We agree with the suggestion that the skinfold reading after 60 seconds’ pressure represents subcutaneous fat, but in practice it is only necessary to leave the caliper on until the reading is stable. In most of the term babies this was long before 60 seconds, though in some low birthweight babies the skinfold reading continued to
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Table thickness declines after greater Weight both males and females.

Gestational skinfold greater triceps and females. Table 1 shows the number of male and female infants in each week of gestation, and Table 2 the number in each 0:25 kg birthweight interval. There are 669 males and 624 females.

Table 1 Distribution of male and female infants by gestational age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gestational age (w)</th>
<th>37</th>
<th>38</th>
<th>39</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>41</th>
<th>42</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>624</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figs. 1, 2 show the unsmoothed centile lines of the triceps and subscapular skinfold thicknesses for males and females by gestational age, indicating a greater skinfold in females at each week of gestation. In both sexes the subscapular skinfold thickness is greater than the triceps. In each figure the skinfold thickness declines after 40 weeks of gestation.

Fig. 1 Variation of triceps skinfold thickness with gestational age for (a) males (b) females.

Fig. 2 Variation of subscapular skinfold thickness with gestational age for (a) males (b) females.

Figs. 3, 4 show the unsmoothed centile lines of triceps and subscapular skinfold thickness for males and females, by 0:25 kg birthweight class intervals. Within each birthweight interval female infants have a greater skinfold thickness than males.

Table 2 Distribution of male and female infants by birthweight intervals of 0:25 kg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>624</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Discussion

These centiles are derived from Caucasian babies in three areas of Great Britain. They do not necessarily apply to non-Caucasian infants. The findings that female newborns have a greater skinfold thickness than males, and that the subscapular skinfold is greater than the triceps skinfold in term babies agree with Gampel (1965) and Farr (1966). The decline in skinfold thickness after 40 weeks' gestation may indicate aging of the placenta and the beginning of failing nutrition to the fetus.

The skinfold centiles are presented because we believe that variations in subcutaneous fat are of clinical significance in neonatology and that they shed light on fetal and neonatal nutrition. Bodyweight does not necessarily indicate the amount of body fat as individual babies may be heavy without being fat if they are large, have heavy bones, big muscles, large heads, or water retention. It has become increasingly recognized that not all babies below the 10th centile for birthweight are suffering from undernutrition. Ounsted and Ounsted (1971) have pointed out that some small-for-dates babies are not thin but are constitutionally small or 'miniatures'. The finding of reduced subcutaneous fat for gestational age, or when this is uncertain, for birthweight, may help to distinguish the truly undernourished thin-for-dates baby at risk of hypoglycaemia from the constitutionally small neonate.

Oakley and Parsons (1976) have shown that some term infants with birthweights of more than 2.5 kg may have plasma glucose levels at 4 hours of age...
which are less than 1·1 mmol/l (20 mg/100 ml) and that skinfold thickness (standard deviation score for birthweight) has a highly significant positive correlation with plasma glucose at 4 hours of age.

Whitelaw (1976) has shown that maternal obesity is associated with increased skinfold thickness in the baby, and that prolonged maternal hypertension is associated with reduced skinfold thickness in the baby. In diabetic pregnancy excessive subcutaneous fat in the neonate may be an indication of inadequate control of maternal diabetes (Whitelaw, 1977).

Skinfold thickness measurement is noninvasive, cheap, and reproducible. These centiles may assist the standardization of further investigation into perinatal nutrition.
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