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Abstract

Aim—To examine whether duration of breast feeding has any effect on a child's cognitive or motor development in a population with favourable environmental conditions and a high prevalence of breast feeding.

Methods—In 345 Scandinavian children, data on breast feeding were prospectively recorded during the first year of life, and neuromotor development was assessed at 1 and 5 years of age. Main outcome measures were Bayley’s Scales of Infant Development at age 13 months (Mental Index, MDI; Psychomotor Index, PDI), Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scales of Intelligence (WPPSI-R), and Peabody Developmental Scales at age 5.

Results—Children breast fed for less than 3 months had an increased risk, compared to children breast fed for at least 6 months, of a test score below the median value of MDI at 13 months and WPPSI-R at 5 years. Maternal age, maternal intelligence (Raven score), maternal education, and smoking in pregnancy were significant confounders, but the increased risk of lower MDI and total IQ scores persisted after adjustment for each of these factors. We found no clear association between duration of breast feeding and motor development at 13 months or 5 years of age.

Conclusion—Our data suggest that a longer duration of breast feeding benefits cognitive development.

(Arch Dis Child 2001;85:183–188)
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Child cognitive development is influenced by genetic and environmental factors. The child has a genetically determined potential for cognitive development. However environmental factors, such as adequate nutrition and the parents’ ability to create a good and stimulating home environment may also have a positive influence on the child’s cognitive development.

Several studies have shown a positive correlation between breast feeding and cognitive development in children. However, a number of confounders represent problems in these studies. Mothers who breast feed tend to be older, have a better education, and a higher socioeconomic status, than mothers who breast feed their children for a limited time or not at all. In some studies the association between breast feeding and cognitive development is not statistically significant after adjustment for such confounders.

A recent meta-analysis concluded that breast feeding is associated with significantly higher scores for cognitive development than was formula feeding, after adjustment for confounding factors. This meta-analysis included mainly studies that compared children who had been breast fed with children who were exclusively formula fed.

However, few studies have compared the effect of the duration of breast feeding in societies where almost all infants are breast fed for at least some weeks. In Scandinavia nearly 100% of mothers start breast feeding and more than 50% are still breast feeding six months after birth. Moreover, compared to other regions in the world, this population is in general considered to be relatively homogeneous in terms of socioeconomic status, being highly educated, having little poverty, and a good public health care and social security system. Under these otherwise favourable environmental conditions it may be questioned whether duration of breast feeding has any effect on cognitive development.

Against this background we wished to test the following null hypotheses:

(1) There is no difference in mental development at 13 months and 5 years between children who were breast fed for less than three months compared to children who were breast fed for at least six months.

(2) There is no difference in motor development at 13 months and 5 years between children who were breast fed for less than three months compared to children who were breast fed for at least six months.

Materials and methods

Design

This study is part of a population based prospective study in Trondheim and Bergen, Norway, and Uppsala, Sweden. Details of the study have been reported elsewhere.

Enrolment took place between 1 January 1986 and 31 March 1988. Those eligible were white, para 1 and para 2 women (women with one or two previous births, respectively) who had a singleton pregnancy and who could be registered prior to the 20th week of gestation. At study entry, the women gave information about age, smoking habits, and education. The regional ethics committees for medical research approved the study and written informed consent was obtained from the women.

In the present study, we used data from the children of a 10% randomly chosen group of the women, selected at study entry. Children
who were born before week 37 of gestation and children who had congenital malformations were excluded from the analysis.

Data on breast feeding were collected by public health nurses at 6 weeks, and 3, 6, and 9 months of age, and were recorded on research questionnaires that were prospectively returned to the project. At each examination, the mothers were asked to indicate whether they were still breast feeding or not. If not, they were asked to indicate the age they had stopped. Questions on additional feeding (including formula feeding) were also asked, but the answers were not quantifiable. At age 13 months, data on breast feeding and additional nutrition were collected in the same manner by a project paediatrician, and in addition the mothers were asked to retrospectively record the duration of exclusive breast feeding. The prospectively recorded “yes” or “no” answers at 6 weeks, and 3, 6, 9, and 13 months of age were considered as the qualitatively best data and used in the following analyses.

At age 13 months, a psychometrist assessed the children’s mental and psychomotor development, using the Bayley Scales of Infant Development (BSID),22 while the mothers completed the Home Screening Questionnaire (HSQ).24

At age 5 years, a psychometrist assessed the children’s cognitive abilities, using the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scales of Intelligence (WPPSI-R),25 and a paediatrician used the Peabody Developmental Motor Scales (PDMS) to assess the children’s motor abilities.26 The mothers were tested with Raven’s Progressive Matrices,27 and family income was used in the following analyses.

The examiners were not aware of information concerning infant feeding, nor of the hypotheses tested in the present study.

STUDY POPULATION
Of 6354 women referred to the project, 432 were not eligible for the study, and 200 (3%) failed to attend the first appointment; thus a total of 5722 women were included in the multicentre study. A total of 561 (10%) women failed to attend the first appointment; thus a total of 561 (10%) women were considered as the qualitatively best data used in the following analyses.

At age 13 months, a psychometrist assessed the children’s mental and psychomotor development, using the Bayley Scales of Infant Development (BSID),22 while the mothers completed the Home Screening Questionnaire (HSQ).24

At age 5 years, a psychometrist assessed the children’s cognitive abilities, using the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scales of Intelligence (WPPSI-R),25 and a paediatrician used the Peabody Developmental Motor Scales (PDMS) to assess the children’s motor abilities.26 The mothers were tested with Raven’s Progressive Matrices,27 and family income was recorded.

The examiners were not aware of information concerning infant feeding, nor of the hypotheses tested in the present study.

DEFINITIONS
Information concerning infant feeding was prospectively recorded at the public health routine controls at 6 weeks and 3, 6, 9, and 13 months of age. Duration of breast feeding was classified into three categories based on whether the child was still breast fed at 3 or 6 months of age. The first group was not breast fed at three months. The second group was breast fed at three months, but not at six months. The last group was still breast fed at the six month control.

Table 1 Characteristics of mothers and children and duration of breast feeding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breast feeding at:</th>
<th>&lt;3 months</th>
<th>3–6 months</th>
<th>≥6 months</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number breast fed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>214</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age</td>
<td>28.0 (3.5)</td>
<td>29.0 (3.8)</td>
<td>29.6 (3.9)*</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raven score</td>
<td>46.8 (6.6)</td>
<td>47.6 (8.0)</td>
<td>49.7 (5.9)†</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSQ score‡</td>
<td>34.7 (3.6)</td>
<td>35.1 (2.1)</td>
<td>35.6 (3.0)</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal income§</td>
<td>9692 (4076)</td>
<td>9565 (5105)</td>
<td>10985 (5555)</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal income§</td>
<td>17497 (6956)</td>
<td>19205 (7995)</td>
<td>20114 (8412)</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g)</td>
<td>3592 (494)</td>
<td>3642 (548)</td>
<td>3679 (529)</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (cm)</td>
<td>50.6 (2.0)</td>
<td>51.3 (2.0)</td>
<td>51.0 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head circumference (cm)</td>
<td>35.4 (1.2)</td>
<td>35.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>35.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (wk)</td>
<td>39.7 (1.3)</td>
<td>39.7 (1.3)</td>
<td>39.6 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.866</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results expressed as mean (SD).
*Significantly different from <3 months (p = 0.013, Scheffe’s test).
†Significantly different from <3 months (p = 0.05, Scheffe’s test).
‡Home Screening Questionnaire.
§Income per month (NOK).
The mother’s level of education was dichotomised as “high” or “low”: more than 12 years of education was defined as a high level of education, whereas 12 years or less was defined as low.

Test results were dichotomised, creating two groups of children by choosing a cut off point at the median value for the whole study population. For Bayley scales the median indexes were 116 (mental) and 110 (psychomotor), and for WPPSI-R 110 (total IQ), 111 (performance IQ), and 105 (verbal IQ). A test score below this value is defined as low, while a score equal to or above it, is defined as high.

MISSING BACKGROUND DATA
Maternal data were complete (in the range from 340 to 345) for the 345 children included in this study, except for maternal Raven score (n = 238) and monthly income (n = 252). However, there was no difference in maternal age, level of education, number of cigarettes smoked at conception, birth weight of the child, duration of breast feeding, or the child’s IQ (Bayley’s test at 13 months, WPPSI-R at 5 years of age) between mothers who completed and who did not complete the Raven test, or between mothers who provided and mothers who did not provide information of income (data not shown).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
For variables with normal distribution, one way analysis of variance was used to compare mean values. If a difference was detected, Sheffe’s test was used as post hoc test. For variables without normal distribution the Kruskal–Wallis test was used.29

Odds ratio (OR) was calculated and used as an estimate of the relative risk of getting a low test score among children who had been breast fed less than three months, compared to children who had been breast fed at least six months. Precision of the odds ratio was estimated by 95% confidence intervals (CI), using Mantel–Haenzel \( \chi^2 \) statistics.29

We also applied logistic regression analysis to calculate adjusted odds ratios,29 in order to control for possible confounding factors.

With a power of 80% (\( \beta = 0.20 \)) and \( \alpha = 0.05 \), this study may detect a 4.8 point difference in MDI and a 6.7 point difference in total IQ score (WPPSI-R).

RESULTS
Maternal age and maternal Raven score were positively associated with duration of breast feeding (table 1). The proportion of mothers with low education was higher among mothers who breast fed for less than three months (64%) than among mothers who breast fed for at least six months (34%) (p < 0.001). The proportion of smokers was 61% among mothers who breast fed for less than three months, compared to 24% in mothers who breast fed for at least six months (p < 0.001). The scores on the HSQ, the parents’ income, gestational age, and anthropometric measurements of the child at birth were not associated with the duration of breast feeding.

DEVELOPMENT AT AGE 13 MONTHS
The mean mental developmental index was lower in children breast fed for less than three months compared to children breast fed for at least six months (table 2). The mean difference between the groups was 7.8 points (95% CI: 3.7 to 11.9; p < 0.001). When data from the group breast fed between three and six months were included, there was a linear increase in the mental development index (p < 0.001). The psychomotor index did not differ significantly between the groups (p = 0.09), although there was a trend (p < 0.05) towards increasing scores with increasing duration of breast feeding.

Children breast fed for less than three months had a higher risk, compared to children who were breast fed for at least six months, of a low psychomotor index (OR = 3.2; 95% CI: 1.7 to 5.9; table 3). The risk for children breast fed for less than three months of a low psychomotor index was, in contrast, not significantly increased.

The only factor in this study, related to duration of breast feeding, which was also related to child development at 13 months, was maternal Raven score (data not shown). Adjustment for differences in maternal Raven score reduced the OR of having a low mental development index among children who were breast fed for less than three months to 1.6 (95% CI: 1.1 to 2.3; table 4).

---

Table 2 Bayley Scales of Infant Development at 13 months and duration of breast feeding (BF)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of BF</th>
<th>&lt;3 months</th>
<th>3–6</th>
<th>≥6</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>p for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number BF</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDI</td>
<td>109.9 (13.1)</td>
<td>114.3 (10.4)</td>
<td>117.7 (11.7)*</td>
<td>115.7 (11.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDI</td>
<td>103.4 (13.3)</td>
<td>107.3 (14)</td>
<td>107.9 (14.2)</td>
<td>107.0 (14.1)</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results expressed as mean (SD).

*Significantly different from <3 months (p < 0.001; Sheffe’s test).

MDI, Mental Developmental Index; PDI, Psychomotor Developmental Index.

Table 3 Estimates of the risk (odds ratio) of getting a “low” Bayley score at 13 months of age among children breast fed for less than 3 months compared to children who were breast fed for 6 months or more

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of breast feeding</th>
<th>&lt;3 months n</th>
<th>≥6 months n</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDI Low</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.2 (1.7–5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>1.5 (0.8–2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDI Low</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4  Estimates of the risk (odds ratio) of getting a “low” Bayley score at age 13 months among children breast fed for less than 3 months compared to children breast fed for at least 6 months, and odds ratio adjusted for maternal age, education, smoking, and Raven score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>MDI</th>
<th>PDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breast feeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alone</td>
<td>3.2 (1.7–5.9)</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>1.5 (0.8–2.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ mother’s age</td>
<td>1.7 (1.3–2.4)</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9–1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ education</td>
<td>1.8 (1.3–2.5)</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9–1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ smoking</td>
<td>1.9 (1.3–2.6)</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8–1.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Raven score</td>
<td>1.6 (1.1–2.3)</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>1.3 (0.9–1.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDI, Mental Developmental Index; PDI, Psychomotor Developmental Index.

Table 5  WPPSI-R score at 5 years of age and duration of breast feeding (BF)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of BF</th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;3 months</td>
<td>103.6 (14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–6 months</td>
<td>104.0 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥6 months</td>
<td>106.1 (15.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results expressed as median (range).

Table 6  Estimates of the risk (odds ratio) of getting a “low” WPPSI-R score at age 5 years among children breast fed for less than 3 months compared to children breast fed for six months or more, adjusted for maternal age, education, smoking, and Raven score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Total IQ</th>
<th>Verbal IQ</th>
<th>Performance IQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breast feeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alone</td>
<td>2.8 (1.4–5.3)</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>1.4 (0.7–2.6)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.1–4.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ age</td>
<td>1.6 (1.1–2.2)</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8–1.5)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.1–2.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ education</td>
<td>1.6 (1.1–2.3)</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8–1.5)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.0–2.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ smoking</td>
<td>1.6 (1.2–2.3)</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8–1.6)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.0–2.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Raven score</td>
<td>1.3 (1.0–2.1)</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7–1.5)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.8–1.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7  Peabody Developmental Motor Scales (PDMS) in 5 year old children and duration of breast feeding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of BF</th>
<th>&lt;3 months</th>
<th>3–6 months</th>
<th>≥6 months</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number BF</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>284*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS Balance</td>
<td>59 (48–64)</td>
<td>62 (50–66)</td>
<td>61 (48–114)</td>
<td>61 (48–114)</td>
<td>0.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS Locomotion</td>
<td>107 (86–114)</td>
<td>109 (93–114)</td>
<td>108 (82–114)</td>
<td>108 (82–114)</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS Eye-hand</td>
<td>85 (67–91)</td>
<td>84 (68–92)</td>
<td>86 (73–92)</td>
<td>85 (67–92)</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results expressed as median (range).

Discussion

In this study, we have to reject the null hypothesis of no association between duration of breast feeding and mental development. Instead we found that a shorter duration of breast feeding was associated with lower scores on mental developmental tests both at 13 months and at 5 years of age. For motor development, we cannot reject the null hypothesis, even though we found borderline significant trends towards lower scores on motor developmental tests both at 13 months, and at 5 years of age (balance score).

Children included in this study were randomly selected, and the mothers were not aware of the breast feeding hypotheses when they agreed to participate. We were not able to show differences in essential characteristics between children included and those not included, or between their mothers. Moreover, information on breast feeding was given prospectively and the examiners did not know the duration of breast feeding, nor were they aware of the hypothesis. Bias is therefore unlikely as an explanation of the association of duration of breast feeding and mental development in this study.

There is a possibility that some children who were classified as breast fed for at least six months may actually have been breast fed for a shorter duration, or that children classified as breast fed for less than six months may have been breast fed longer. Such misclassification would most likely have led to an underestimation of the difference between the groups.

Multivariate analysis suggested that maternal age, maternal education, and maternal intelligence (Raven score) were significant confounders of this association. Not all mothers completed the Raven test, and this raises the possibility of a non-random selection. However, we were not able to find any
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The difference between mothers who completed and those who did not complete this test or between their children. Moreover, although this reduced the power of the multivariate analysis, the increased risk of low MDI and total IQ scores associated with a shorter duration of breast feeding persisted after adjustment for each of the confounders, including the Raven score.

Our results are consistent with several larger studies in various communities in developed countries, using a number of developmental tests, which have shown a positive association between breast feeding and cognitive development of the child, even after adjustment for confounding factors. However, some smaller studies published in recent years and one larger study (n = 1037) showed that breast feeding had no beneficial effect on mental development after adjusting for confounding factors.

In October 1999, a meta-analysis including 20 studies from 1966 to 1996 showed that breast feeding was associated with significant higher scores on cognitive development tests.11

Adjusting for several confounders, the mean difference between breast fed children and children who had been exclusively bottle fed was 3.16 points (95% CI: 2.35 to 3.98).

Most previous studies have compared breast fed children with children who were exclusively formula fed, but some studies have found that the correlation between breast feeding and cognitive ability increases with a longer duration of breast feeding.11 12 30 A Finnish study of 1163 children found a mean difference of 2.4 points on a cognitive test at 6 months of age between children breast fed for less than five months, compared to children breast fed for at least five months.10

The small differences in IQ points between breast fed and bottle fed children, and between breast children breast fed for less than five months or more than five months, are unlikely to have clinical significance. Similarly, the unadjusted difference of 8 points between children who were breast fed for less than 3 months, compared to those who were breast fed for six months or more, is unlikely to have clinical significance in a population with a mean IQ at age 5 of 109 points. However, it may suggest that factors associated with breast feeding are necessary for optimal cognitive development.

The linear association over three time periods (table 2) between breast feeding and mental development suggests a dose–response relation which may be consistent with a causal relation. There is a possibility that the intimacy of breast feeding is important for infant development.13 However, human milk contains biological factors that may be beneficial for mental development,11 14 including biologically active peptides and essential long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids. Neural growth factors and insulin like growth factors are examples of biologically active peptides which may influence brain growth. Whether these factors reach the target tissues in the infant and whether they affect brain development is not clear.11

Human milk has a high content of long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, especially docosahexaenoic and arachidonic acid. A recent study has reported an association between dietary long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and mental development in infants.32 It has been suggested that these fatty acids can be an explanation for the beneficial effect of nutrition with human milk on mental development of the child.33 34 This may be a plausible biological explanation of the correlation between breast feeding and mental development.

MOTOR DEVELOPMENT

We found no clear association between duration of breast feeding and motor development at 13 months or 5 years of age. Previous studies of breast feeding and motor development are consistent with this.8 35–37 However, a recent Danish study of 1656 children found that motor milestones were achieved at an earlier age in breast fed than in formula fed infants.18

We found borderline significant associations between duration of breast feeding and motor development. As our study has a power of 80% (α = 0.05) to detect a difference of at least 5.7 points for the Bayley PDI and 2.6 points for the Peabody motor scales, we cannot exclude a small positive effect of breast feeding on motor development.

CONCLUSION

In this study of a population of relatively high socioeconomic status, we found a positive association between duration of breast feeding and mental development, even after adjusting for maternal age, maternal education, maternal intelligence (Raven score), and smoking at the time of conception. We found no association between duration of breast feeding and motor development at 13 months or 5 years of age. Our data support the hypothesis that a longer duration of breast feeding benefits cognitive development.

The US National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, NIH (NICHD contract no. 1-HD-4–2803, and no.1-hd–1-3127) supported this study.
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